UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
RIMFROST AS
Petitioner
v.
AKER BIOMARINE ANTARCTIC AS
Patent Owner
IPR2018-01178
U.S. Patent No. 9,375,453 B1

PETITIONER'S

REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R § 42.70, the Scheduling Order dated January 14, 2019 (Paper 8), and the email from Trials dated September 6, 2019, Petitioner Rimfrost AS respectfully requests oral argument in connection with IPR2018-01178 and IPR-01179, currently scheduled for October 16, 2019, in the Silicon Valley USPTO, 26 S. Fourth Street, San Jose, CA 95113, (408) 918-9900. Petitioner requests sixty (60) minutes in which to present its arguments regarding its Petition (Paper 2) and its Opposition to Patent Owner's Motion to Amend (Paper 11). Petitioner also requests that the court reporter be present in the hearing room.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R § 42.70 and without intending to waive any issue not specifically identified, Petitioner specifies the following issues to be argued:

1. That the claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,375,453 B1 are not patentable over the applied art on the grounds presented in the Petition as summarized in Table I below.

TABLE 1				
Ground	References	Basis	9,375,453 B1	
			Claims Challenged	
	Breivik II, Catchpole,		1-3, 5-10, 12, 14-17, 19-	
1	Bottino II, and	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	20, 23-26, 28, 30-32	
	Sampalis I			
	Breivik II, Catchpole,		4	
2	Bottino II, Sampalis I,	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)		
	and Sampalis II			
	Breivik II, Catchpole,		11, 18, 21, 27	
3	Bottino II, Sampalis I,	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)		
	and Fricke			



Breivik II, Catchpole, Bottino II, Sampalis I, and Randolph	35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	13, 22, 29
---	--------------------	------------

2. That Patent Owner's ("PO's") Motion to Amend the Claims ("MTA") (Paper 11) be denied as the proposed substitute claims are not patentable over the applied art on the grounds presented in the Petition and in Petitioner's Opposition to PO's MTA (Paper 19) and Petitioner's Sur-Reply to PO's Reply to Petitioner's Opposition to PO's MTA (Paper TBD) and as summarized in Table II below. (The proposed substitute claim is followed by the claim, in italics, it amends/substitutes.)

TABLE II				
Ground	References	Basis	9,375,453 B1	
			Amended Claims Challenged	
			Amended Claim (Original No.)	
	Yoshitomi, Catchpole,			
5	Bottino II, Sampalis I,	35 U.S.C.	62(1), 63(2), 64(3), 66(5), 67(6),	
	Sampalis II, Randolph	§ 103(a)	68(7), 69(8), 70(9), 71(10),	
	and NKO (Applicant		73(12)	
	Admitted Prior Art)			
	Yoshitimi, Catchpole,			
6	Bottino II, Sampalis I,	35 U.S.C.	65(4)	
	Sampalis II, Randolph	§ 103(a)		
	and NKO (Applicant			
	Admitted Prior Art)			
	Yoshitomi, Catchpole,			
7	Bottino II, Sampalis I,	35 U.S.C.	72(11)	
	Sampalis II, Fricke,	§ 103(a)		



	Randolph and NKO (Applicant Admitted Prior Art)		
	Yoshitomi, Catchpole,		74(13)
8	Bottino II, Sampalis I,	35 U.S.C.	
	Sampalis II, Randolph	§ 103(a)	
	and NKO (Applicant		
	Admitted Prior Art)		

- 3. That a POSITA would have been motivated to combine the applied references and would have had a reasonable expectation of success in so doing.
 - 4. Any issues raised by Patent Owner in its Request for Oral Argument.
- 5. Rebuttal to Patent Owner's oral argument and presentation on all matters.
- 5. Any objections to evidence, and any motions to exclude and oppositions thereto.
- 6. Any other issues that the Board deems necessary for issuing a final written decision.

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board make available audio-visual equipment, including a projector to be connected to a laptop, an ELMO and an easel, to display demonstrative exhibits and documents of record.



Dated: September 10, 2019 Respectfully submitted,

/james f. harrington/ James F. Harrington (Reg. No. 44,741)

Hoffmann & Baron, LLP 6900 Jericho Turnpike Syosset, New York 11791 jfhdocket@hbiplaw.com Tel: 516.822.3550 Lead Counsel for Petitioner

Michael I. Chakansky (Reg. No. 31,600) Hoffmann & Baron, LLP 6 Campus Drive Parsippany, N.J. 07054 mchakansky@hbiplaw.com Tel: 973.331.1700 First Back-Up Counsel for Petitioner



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

