

Transcript of Arthur W. Kelley, Ph.D.

Date: June 21, 2019 Case: Intel Corporation -v- Qualcomm Incorporated

Planet Depos Phone: 888.433.3767 Email: <u>transcripts@planetdepos.com</u> planetdepos.com

OCK

Intel v. Qualcomm Exhibit 1229 IPR2018-01154

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Transcript of Arthur W. Kelley, Ph.D. Conducted on June 21, 2019

1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	1 APPEARANCES:
2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	2 On Behalf of the Petitioner:
3	3 JAMES M. DOWD, ESQ.
4 x	4 WILMER HALE 350 South Grand Avenue
INTEL CORPORATION : IPR2018-01152; -01153;	5 Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90071
6 : -01154; -01240 _ Petitioner	6 213.443.5309 213.443.5400 FAX 7 James.dowd@wilmerhale.com
7 : vs.	
8 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED : U.S. Patent No. 8,698,558 9	8 RICHARD GOLDENBERG, ESQ. 9 WILMER HALE
9 Patent Owner. : 10	60 State Street 10 Boston, MA 02109
11x	617.526.6548 11 617.526.5000 FAX
2 Deposition of ARTHUR W. KELLEY, PH.D.	Richard.goldenberg@wilmerhale.com
13 Cleveland, Ohio	13 On Behalf of the Patent Owner:
14 Friday, June 21, 2019	14 JOSEPH M. SAUER, ESQ.
15 9:30 a.m.	DAVID E. ANDERSON, ESQ. 15 JONES DAY
6	North Point 16 901 Lakeside Avenue
17	Cleveland, OH 44114 17 1.216.586.3939
18	1.216.579.0212 FAX 18 jmsauer@jonesday.com
19	danderson@jonesday.com 19
20	20
Job No.: 244258 21	21
Pages: 1 - 309 22	22
Reported By: Cheryl L. Baker, RPR	
2 1 Deposition of ARTHUR W. KELLEY, PH.D., held at	4 1 EXAMINATION INDEX
2 the offices of:	2
3 JONES DAY	EXAMINATION OF ARTHUR W. KELLEY, PH.D.
4 901 Lakeside Avenue	BY MR. DOWD 5 4 BY MR. SAUER 306
5 Cleveland, Ohio 44114	5 EXHIBIT INDEX
6 (216) 586-3939	6 Exhibit
7	7 1026, 1126, 1227, 1328 Order Construing Claims 137
	8
8 Pursuant to notice, before Cheryl L. Baker,	9
9 Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public	10
10 in and for the State of Ohio.	12
11	13
12	14
13	15
14	16
15	17
16	18
17	19
18	20
19	21
	22
20	
20 21	
20	
20 21	
20 21	

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

5 7 ARTHUR W. KELLEY, Ph.D. 1 1 A Okay. 2 Q You can take a break at any time. The Being first duly sworn or affirmed to 2 3 testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing only thing I'll ask is if we have a question 3 4 but the truth, was examined and testified as 4 pending, that you answer the question before we take a break. Okay? 5 follows: 5 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER A I understand. 6 6 7 BY MR. DOWD: 7 Q Now, you understand that Intel has filed four IPR petitions seeking to invalidate 8 Q Welcome back, Dr. Kelley. 8 9 Claims 1 through 20 of U.S. Patent No. 8,698,558? 9 A Good morning. 10 Q Please state your full name for the 10 A That's right. Q If I refer to it as the '588 patent, 11 record. 11 12 A Arthur Woodton, Kelley, K-e-l-l-e-y. 12 that will make sense? Q What's your current address? A That will be fine. 13 13 The IPR numbers are IPR208-1152 --14 A 2033 Weston Green Loop, three words. 14 0 15 sorry -- 2018-1152, 1153, 1154, and 1240. Is it 15 Q And you understand you're under oath 16 okay if I refer to those as just by their last 16 today? 17 A Cary, North Carolina. 17 digits? 18 Q Sorry? 18 A That would be fine. A Do you want the zip? 19 Q Now, you've submitted four 19 Q Sure. 20 20 declarations, one in each of the IPRs; is that 21 right? A 27513. 21 22 Q You understand you're under oath 22 That's correct. Α 6 8 1 today? 1 Q Let me just hand you copies of the depositions -- I'm sorry -- the declarations so 2 A I do. 2 3 Q And that means you must answer my 3 that you have them handy. The first is in the 1152 4 questions truthfully and fully just as though you 4 IPR, Exhibit 2005. Then in the 1153 IPR, again, were in a court or a hearing room in front of a Exhibit 2005; in the 1154 IPR, Exhibit 2002, and 5 5 6 Judge. 6 finally in the 1240 IPR, again, in Exhibit 2002. 7 A I understand. 7 A You know, as I sit here and look at Q Is there any reason why you can't 8 8 this, these have binder clips on them. Could I 9 provide complete and accurate testimony today? 9 have stapled copies? Could I perhaps have the 10 stapled ones? That would avoid some of the 10 A No reason. Are you suffering from any medical 11 problems. 11 Q 12 condition? 12 Q No problem. 13 13 A That would be great. So let's A I'm not. Q Under the influence of any 14 14 inventory. 53, 4 -- I have the documents. 15 medications? 15 Q Thank you. And the documents that A I'm not. 16 have been placed before you and previously marked, 16 17 Q I know you've been deposed before, so 17 those are your four declarations in these IPRs; is 18 you understand the ground rules. But I'll just 18 that correct? 19 briefly note them. If there's any question that I 19 A That's correct. 20 ask of you that you don't understand, please let me 20 Q Now, in each of your declarations, 21 know so I can try to rephrase my question in the 21 have you listed all of the documents that you 22 moment to avoid any confusion. Okay? 22 considered in forming your opinions?

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Conducted on June 21, 2019

-	···· , ·· , ··· , ··· , ··· , ··· , ··· , ··· , ·· ,
9 1 A That's right.	1 before?
2 Q And have you provided all the opinions	2 A No.
3 that you have in each of the IPRs?	3 Q Had you heard of any of the inventors
4 A That's right.	4 named on the '558 patent before that?
5 Q Great. And all bases for each	5 A I had not.
6 opinion?	6 Q If you turn to the 1152 Declaration,
7 A That's right.	7 but I think it's appended to each of them, turn to
8 Q Do you have any plans to supplement	8 your CV at the back. In the 1152 Declaration,
9 your declarations?	9 Appendix A.
10 A I have no plans to do so, but things	10 A I have that.
11 happen. If I'm asked, I will. But as far as I	11 Q And is your CV here accurate?
12 know, there's no plan to do that.	12 A Let me just review and make sure.
13 Q Any plan to change any opinion stated	13 A Yes. I think it is all the recent
14 in any declarations?	14 work that I've done is here. And the other things
15 A No.	15 I know to be accurate.
16 Q Now, you've provided opinions about	16 Q If you turn to Page 3, you were a
17 the validity of the '588 patent in the related ITC	17 senior design engineer at Linear Technology
18 case and the District Court case in San Diego; is	18 Corporation from 2000 through September 2007?
19 that right?	19 A Page 3 of 9. And yes, that's what it
20 A That's right.	20 says here on Linear Technology Corporation.
21 Q Have your opinions changed since the	21 Q While working at Linear, did you work
22 ITC case?	22 with power management circuits?
10	12
1 A No.	1 A I did.
2 Q Has the basis for your opinion	2 Q Were you involved in designing power
3 changed?	3 management circuits at Linear Technologies?
4 A No. 5 O How shout since the San Diago acce?	4 A I was.
 5 Q How about since the San Diego case? 6 A No. 	5 Q Now, am I correct that power
 6 A No. 7 Q Are you providing any theories of 	 6 management circuits manage power? 7 A That's kind of a tautology. But
8 validity that were not presented in the ITC	
9 validity report?	8 certainly power management, integrated circuits get9 used in power supplies.
10 MR. SAUER: Objection; form.	10 Q Is it fair to say that one goal of a
11 A I would perhaps have to go back and	11 management circuit is to make sure that the circuit
12 review. But as I sit here today, I don't recall	12 that's being managed has enough power to operate?
13 any differences. But I couldn't answer without a	13 A When you say, "the circuit that's
14 direct review.	14 being managed," I'm not quite sure of that.
15 Q Okay. And is your answer the same for	15 There's input power. There's output power.
16 the District Court case?	16 There's a supply in between.
17 A That's right.	17 Q Sure. So I'm thinking here of the
18 Q Now, before you were retained for the	18 power management circuit will manage the power
19 first case that involved '558, I think that was the	19 that's supplied to some load. Is that fair?
20 ITC case?	20 A That's fair.
21 A That's fine.	21 Q And one goal of the power management
22 Q Had you heard of the '558 patent	22 circuit is to make sure the power supplied to that

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

Conducted on June 21, 2019

13	15
1 load is sufficient to operate. Right?	1 references in this case?
2 A The load will have certain	2 A I have.
3 specifications. And then the power management	3 Q Prior art references that perform
4 circuit of the power supply is intended to stay	4 envelope tracking, right?
5 within that specification. That's correct.	5 A Prior art references describe
6 Q Okay. And then another goal is to	6 themselves as envelope tracking.
7 make sure that you don't waste power unnecessarily.	7 Q If you would turn to Figure 2 in the
8 Is that fair?	8 patent. There's 2A through 2C.
9 A High efficiency would be typically the	9 A Okay.
10 way we would say that. But yes, we would like them	10 Q Let me focus you on 2C for a moment.
11 as highly efficient as possible.	11 A Okay.
12 Q And so in designing a power management	12 Q Now, that is that shows an envelope
13 circuit, you're balancing those competing concerns,	13 tracker, right?
14 providing enough power for the load while at the	14 A That shows a block 230, which is an
15 same time being as efficient as you can be. Is	15 envelope tracker, yes.
16 that fair?	16 Q And then on the right-hand side of 2C,
17 A I'm not sure I'd characterize them as	17 that shows kind of a graph that shows the actual
18 being competing. There's certainly simultaneous	18 envelope tracking function, right?
19 concerns. You worry about both of those in terms	19 A Well, it's an illustrative figure that
20 of making your power supply work properly.	20 shows how that particular implementation would
21 Q Okay. But those are kind of standard	21 work. Sure.
22 objectives of a designer designing a power	22 Q Now, the envelope tracker, as shown in
14	
1 management circuit?	1 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior
 management circuit? A At least. 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right?
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations.
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of these figures illustrates power savings that is
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of these figures illustrates power savings that is provided let me break that down.
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 patent? 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of these figures illustrates power savings that is provided let me break that down. So along the top, there's a constant power
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 patent? A That's right. 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of these figures illustrates power savings that is provided let me break that down. So along the top, there's a constant power source, Vbat, in 2A. Do you see that?
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 patent? A That's right. Q If you withdrawn. 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of these figures illustrates power savings that is provided let me break that down. So along the top, there's a constant power source, Vbat, in 2A. Do you see that? A That I see.
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 patent? A That's right. Q If you withdrawn. Am I correct that the '558 patent is not the 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some 4 implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A 6 through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of 7 these figures illustrates power savings that is 8 provided let me break that down. 9 So along the top, there's a constant power 10 source, Vbat, in 2A. Do you see that? 11 A That I see. 12 Q And in Figure 2B, it shows some power
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 patent? A That's right. Q If you withdrawn. Am I correct that the '558 patent is not the first to invent envelope tracking? 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of these figures illustrates power savings that is provided let me break that down. So along the top, there's a constant power source, Vbat, in 2A. Do you see that? A That I see. Q And in Figure 2B, it shows some power savings by using the average power tracking
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 patent? A That's right. Q If you withdrawn. Am I correct that the '558 patent is not the first to invent envelope tracking? MR. SAUER: Objection; form. 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some 4 implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A 6 through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of 7 these figures illustrates power savings that is 8 provided let me break that down. 9 So along the top, there's a constant power 10 source, Vbat, in 2A. Do you see that? 11 A That I see. 12 Q And in Figure 2B, it shows some power 13 savings by using the average power tracking 14 approach. Is that fair?
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 patent? A That's right. Q If you withdrawn. Am I correct that the '558 patent is not the first to invent envelope tracking? MR. SAUER: Objection; form. A Well, certainly the '558 patent 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of these figures illustrates power savings that is provided let me break that down. So along the top, there's a constant power source, Vbat, in 2A. Do you see that? A That I see. Q And in Figure 2B, it shows some power savings by using the average power tracking approach. Is that fair? A Well, actually, I mean I think the
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 patent? A That's right. Q If you withdrawn. Am I correct that the '558 patent is not the first to invent envelope tracking? MR. SAUER: Objection; form. A Well, certainly the '558 patent describes a way of doing envelope tracking. Could 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of these figures illustrates power savings that is provided let me break that down. So along the top, there's a constant power source, Vbat, in 2A. Do you see that? A That I see. Q And in Figure 2B, it shows some power savings by using the average power tracking approach. Is that fair? A Well, actually, I mean I think the descriptive text relating to these figures talks
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 patent? A That's right. Q If you withdrawn. Am I correct that the '558 patent is not the first to invent envelope tracking? MR. SAUER: Objection; form. A Well, certainly the '558 patent describes a way of doing envelope tracking. Could the words "envelope tracking" be applied to 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of these figures illustrates power savings that is provided let me break that down. So along the top, there's a constant power source, Vbat, in 2A. Do you see that? A That I see. Q And in Figure 2B, it shows some power savings by using the average power tracking approach. Is that fair? A Well, actually, I mean I think the descriptive text relating to these figures talks about that. I'm not sure if it's labeled as such
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 patent? A That's right. Q If you withdrawn. Am I correct that the '558 patent is not the first to invent envelope tracking? MR. SAUER: Objection; form. A Well, certainly the '558 patent describes a way of doing envelope tracking. Could the words "envelope tracking" I'd 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of these figures illustrates power savings that is provided let me break that down. So along the top, there's a constant power source, Vbat, in 2A. Do you see that? A That I see. Q And in Figure 2B, it shows some power savings by using the average power tracking approach. Is that fair? A Well, actually, I mean I think the descriptive text relating to these figures talks about that. I'm not sure if it's labeled as such an these figures. But it's certainly meant to be
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 patent? A That's right. Q If you withdrawn. Am I correct that the '558 patent is not the first to invent envelope tracking? MR. SAUER: Objection; form. A Well, certainly the '558 patent describes a way of doing envelope tracking. Could the words "envelope tracking" be applied to something that came before the '558 patent? I'd 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some 4 implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A 6 through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of 7 these figures illustrates power savings that is 8 provided let me break that down. 9 So along the top, there's a constant power 10 source, Vbat, in 2A. Do you see that? 11 A That I see. 12 Q And in Figure 2B, it shows some power 13 savings by using the average power tracking 14 approach. Is that fair? 15 A Well, actually, I mean I think the 16 descriptive text relating to these figures talks 17 about that. I'm not sure if it's labeled as such 18 on these figures. But it's certainly meant to be 19 an illustration of some text that would talk about
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 patent? A That's right. Q If you withdrawn. Am I correct that the '558 patent is not the first to invent envelope tracking? MR. SAUER: Objection; form. A Well, certainly the '558 patent describes a way of doing envelope tracking. Could the words "envelope tracking" be applied to something that came before the '558 patent? I'd imagine they could be, but we'd have to look at 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of these figures illustrates power savings that is provided let me break that down. So along the top, there's a constant power source, Vbat, in 2A. Do you see that? A That I see. Q And in Figure 2B, it shows some power savings by using the average power tracking approach. Is that fair? A Well, actually, I mean I think the descriptive text relating to these figures talks about that. I'm not sure if it's labeled as such an illustration of some text that would talk about how to save power; example, using average power
 management circuit? A At least. Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of the patent. So this has previously been marked in the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the version that's stapled. A Thank you. Q And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558 patent? A That's right. Q If you withdrawn. Am I correct that the '558 patent is not the first to invent envelope tracking? MR. SAUER: Objection; form. A Well, certainly the '558 patent describes a way of doing envelope tracking. Could the words "envelope tracking" be applied to something that came before the '558 patent? I'd 	 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior art, right? A I believe that it was in some 4 implementations. Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A 6 through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of 7 these figures illustrates power savings that is 8 provided let me break that down. 9 So along the top, there's a constant power 10 source, Vbat, in 2A. Do you see that? 11 A That I see. 12 Q And in Figure 2B, it shows some power 13 savings by using the average power tracking 14 approach. Is that fair? 15 A Well, actually, I mean I think the 16 descriptive text relating to these figures talks 17 about that. I'm not sure if it's labeled as such 18 on these figures. But it's certainly meant to be 19 an illustration of some text that would talk about

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.