

---

INTEL CORPORATION,  
Petitioner,

v.

QUALCOMM, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2018-01154  
Patent 8,698,558 B2

---

GRANT OF GOOD CAUSE EXTENSION  
*35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11); 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c)*

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11), “the final determination in an inter partes review [shall] be issued not later than 1 year after the date on which the Director notices the institution of a review under this chapter, except that the Director may, for good cause shown, extend the 1-year period by not more than 6 months . . . .” The Director has delegated the authority to extend the one-year period to the Chief Administrative Patent Judge. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c). In particular, 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c) provides:

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c), the Chief Administrative Patent Judge has determined that good cause exists to extend the one-year period for issuing a Final Written Decision in this proceeding.

The Federal Circuit recently issued a decision in *Koninklijke Philips v. Google*, No. 2019-1177, slip op. at 9–11 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 30, 2020), discussing circumstances in which the PTAB is limited to the grounds set forth in the petition. In view of the limited time before the one-year period for issuing a Final Written Decision in this proceeding, and under the unique circumstances of this case, the Chief Administrative Patent Judge has determined that good cause exists to extend the one-year period for issuing a Final Written Decision.



---

Scott R. Boalick  
Chief Administrative Patent Judge

david.cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com  
richard.goldenberg@wilmerhale.com  
theodoros.konstantakopoulos@wilmerhale.com

PATENT OWNER:

Joseph M. Sauer  
David B. Cochran  
Richard A. Graham  
David M. Maiorana  
Joshua R. Nightingale  
Matthew W. Johnson  
JONES DAY  
jmsauer@jonesday.com  
dcochran@jonesday.com  
ragraham@jonesday.com  
dmaiorana@jonesday.com  
jrnightingale@jonesday.com  
mwjohsnon@jonesday.com