

# Transcript of Arthur W. Kelley, Ph.D.

Date: June 21, 2019

Case: Intel Corporation -v- Qualcomm Incorporated

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

planetdepos.com

Intel v. Qualcomm Exhibit 1128 IPR2018-01153



### Transcript of Arthur W. Kelley, Ph.D. Conducted on June 21, 2019

```
3
                                                                                                    APPEARANCES:
          UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
          BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
                                                                                                               On Behalf of the Petitioner:
                                                                                               3
                                                                                                                      JAMES M. DOWD, ESQ. WILMER HALE
     - - - - - - - x
                                                                                                                      WILMER HALE
350 South Grand Avenue
Suite 2100
Los Angeles, CA 90071
213.443.5309
213.443.5309 FAX
    INTEL CORPORATION
                                    : IPR2018-01152; -01153;
                : -01154; -01240
Petitioner
                                                                                               6
                                                                                                                      James.dowd@wilmerhale.com
             VS.
                                                                                               8
                                                                                                                      RICHARD GOLDENBERG, ESQ.
WILMER HALE
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
617.526.6548
617.526.55000 FAX
Richard.goldenberg@wilmerhale.com
     QUALCOMM INCORPORATED : U.S. Patent No. 8,698,558
                                                                                               9
                Patent Owner. :
                                                                                               11
                                                                                               12
12
          Deposition of ARTHUR W. KELLEY, PH.D.
                                                                                               13
                                                                                                               On Behalf of the Patent Owner:
13
                         Cleveland, Ohio
                                                                                                                      JOSEPH M. SAUER, ESQ.
DAVID E. ANDERSON, ESQ.
JONES DAY
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114
1.216.586.3939
1.216.579.0212 FAX
14
                     Friday, June 21, 2019
                                                                                               15
15
                             9:30 a.m.
                                                                                               16
16
                                                                                               17
17
                                                                                               18
                                                                                                                      jmsauer@jonesday.com
danderson@jonesday.com
18
                                                                                               19
19
                                                                                               20
20
                                                                                                                                 - - - - -
    Job No.: 244258
                                                                                               21
    Pages: 1 - 309
                                                                                               22
     Reported By: Cheryl L. Baker, RPR
                                                                                                                            EXAMINATION INDEX
      Deposition of ARTHUR W. KELLEY, PH.D., held at
    the offices of:
                                                                                                    EXAMINATION OF ARTHUR W. KELLEY, PH.D.
                                                                                               3
            TONES DAY
                                                                                                         BY MR. DOWD
BY MR. SAUER
                                                                                               4
                                                                                                                                                                306
            901 Lakeside Avenue
                                                                                               5
                                                                                                                              EXHIBIT INDEX
            Cleveland, Ohio 44114
            (216) 586-3939
                                                                                                    1026, 1126, 1227, 1328 Order Construing Claims
      Pursuant to notice, before Cheryl L. Baker,
                                                                                               10
     Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public
10
    in and for the State of Ohio.
                                                                                               12
                                                                                               13
12
13
                                                                                               15
                                                                                               16
                                                                                               17
16
                                                                                               18
                                                                                               19
18
                                                                                               20
19
                                                                                               21
                                                                                               22
20
22
```

ARTHUR W. KELLEY, Ph.D. 1 A Okay. 2 Q You can take a break at any time. The Being first duly sworn or affirmed to 3 testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing only thing I'll ask is if we have a question but the truth, was examined and testified as pending, that you answer the question before we take a break. Okay? EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER A I understand. BY MR. DOWD: Q Now, you understand that Intel has filed four IPR petitions seeking to invalidate Q Welcome back, Dr. Kelley. 9 Claims 1 through 20 of U.S. Patent No. 8,698,558? A Good morning. 10 Q Please state your full name for the 10 A That's right. Q If I refer to it as the '588 patent, 11 record. 11 12 A Arthur Woodton, Kelley, K-e-l-l-e-y. 12 that will make sense? Q What's your current address? A That will be fine. 13 13 The IPR numbers are IPR208-1152 --14 A 2033 Weston Green Loop, three words. 14 15 sorry -- 2018-1152, 1153, 1154, and 1240. Is it 15 Q And you understand you're under oath 16 okay if I refer to those as just by their last 16 today? 17 A Cary, North Carolina. 17 digits? 18 Q Sorry? 18 A That would be fine. A Do you want the zip? Q Now, you've submitted four 19 Q Sure. 20 20 declarations, one in each of the IPRs; is that 21 right? A 27513. 21 22 Q You understand you're under oath 22 That's correct. 8 1 today? 1 Q Let me just hand you copies of the depositions -- I'm sorry -- the declarations so A I do. Q And that means you must answer my 3 that you have them handy. The first is in the 1152 4 questions truthfully and fully just as though you 4 IPR, Exhibit 2005. Then in the 1153 IPR, again, were in a court or a hearing room in front of a Exhibit 2005; in the 1154 IPR, Exhibit 2002, and 6 Judge. 6 finally in the 1240 IPR, again, in Exhibit 2002. 7 A I understand. A You know, as I sit here and look at Q Is there any reason why you can't 8 this, these have binder clips on them. Could I 9 provide complete and accurate testimony today? 9 have stapled copies? Could I perhaps have the 10 stapled ones? That would avoid some of the 10 A No reason. Are you suffering from any medical 11 problems. 11 Q 12 condition? 12 Q No problem. 13 13 A That would be great. So let's A I'm not. Q Under the influence of any 14 14 inventory. 53, 4 – I have the documents. 15 medications? 15 Q Thank you. And the documents that A I'm not. 16 have been placed before you and previously marked, 16 Q I know you've been deposed before, so 17 those are your four declarations in these IPRs; is 18 you understand the ground rules. But I'll just 18 that correct? 19 briefly note them. If there's any question that I 19 A That's correct. 20 ask of you that you don't understand, please let me Q Now, in each of your declarations, 21 know so I can try to rephrase my question in the 21 have you listed all of the documents that you 22 moment to avoid any confusion. Okay? 22 considered in forming your opinions?





20 ITC case?

A That's fine.

18

21

Q Now, before you were retained for the

Had you heard of the '558 patent

19 first case that involved '558, I think that was the

20

21

18 power management circuit will manage the power

22 circuit is to make sure the power supplied to that

And one goal of the power management

19 that's supplied to some load. Is that fair?

A That's fair.

15

16

13

1 load is sufficient to operate. Right?

A The load will have certain

3 specifications. And then the power management

4 circuit of the power supply is intended to stay

5 within that specification. That's correct.

Q Okay. And then another goal is to

7 make sure that you don't waste power unnecessarily.

8 Is that fair?

A High efficiency would be typically the 10 way we would say that. But yes, we would like them 11 as highly efficient as possible.

Q And so in designing a power management 13 circuit, you're balancing those competing concerns, 14 providing enough power for the load while at the 15 same time being as efficient as you can be. Is 16 that fair?

A I'm not sure I'd characterize them as 18 being competing. There's certainly simultaneous 19 concerns. You worry about both of those in terms 20 of making your power supply work properly.

O Okay. But those are kind of standard 22 objectives of a designer designing a power

1 references in this case?

A I have.

Q Prior art references that perform

envelope tracking, right?

A Prior art references describe themselves as envelope tracking.

Q If you would turn to Figure 2 in the

patent. There's 2A through 2C.

A Okav.

Q Let me focus you on 2C for a moment. 10

11 A Okav.

12 Q Now, that is -- that shows an envelope 13 tracker, right?

14 A That shows a block 230, which is an 15 envelope tracker, yes.

Q And then on the right-hand side of 2C, 17 that shows kind of a graph that shows the actual 18 envelope tracking function, right?

A Well, it's an illustrative figure that 20 shows how that particular implementation would 21 work. Sure.

Q Now, the envelope tracker, as shown in

14

1 management circuit?

A At least.

Q Okay. Let me provide you a copy of

4 the patent. So this has previously been marked in

5 the 1152 IPR as Intel 1001. I'll give you the

6 version that's stapled.

A Thank you.

And Exhibit 1001, that's the '558

9 patent?

10 A That's right.

Q If you -- withdrawn. 11

12 Am I correct that the '558 patent is not the

13 first to invent envelope tracking?

14 MR. SAUER: Objection; form.

15 A Well, certainly the '558 patent 16 describes a way of doing envelope tracking. Could 16 descriptive text relating to these figures talks 17 the words "envelope tracking" be applied to 18 something that came before the '558 patent? I'd 19 imagine they could be, but we'd have to look at 20 specifics to really answer that question 21 definitively.

But you've seen some prior art

1 Figure 2C, that approach was known in the prior

art, right?

3 A I believe that it was in some 4 implementations.

Q Okay. Now, if you look at Figures 2A 6 through 2C, what's shown on the right for each of these figures illustrates power savings that is

8 provided -- let me break that down.

So along the top, there's a constant power 10 source, Vbat, in 2A. Do you see that?

A That I see.

12 Q And in Figure 2B, it shows some power 13 savings by using the average power tracking 14 approach. Is that fair?

15 A Well, actually, I mean — I think the 17 about that. I'm not sure if it's labeled as such 18 on these figures. But it's certainly meant to be 19 an illustration of some text that would talk about 20 how to save power; example, using average power 21 tracking.

22 And then if we step down from Figure



## DOCKET

### Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

#### **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

#### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

#### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

