UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20436

In the Matter of

CERTAIN MOBILE ELECTRONIC DEVICES AND RADIO FREQUENCY AND PROCESSING COMPONENTS THEREOF

Inv. No. 337-TA-1065

ORDER NO. 28: CONSTRUING TERMS OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS

(March 5, 2018)

The claim terms construed in this Order are done so for the purposes of this Investigation. Hereafter, discovery and briefing in this Investigation shall be governed by the construction of the claim terms in this Order. Those terms not in dispute need not be construed. *See Vanderlande Indus. Nederland BV v. Int'l Trade Comm'n*, 366 F.3d 1311, 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2004) (noting that the administrative law judge need only construe disputed claim terms).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction1			
II.	Relevant Law			
III.	The Asserted Patents			
	A.	U.S. Patent No. 8,698,5585		
	В.	U.S. Patent No. 9,608,6756		
	C.	U.S. Patent No. 8,838,9496		
	D.	U.S. Patent No. 8,633,9366		
	E.	U.S. Patent No. 9,535,4907		
	F.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art7		
IV.	Cons	struction of Disputed Claim Terms9		
	А.	'558 Patent9		
		1. "based on"9		
		2. "current sense amplifier"		
		3. "envelope signal"		
	В.	'675 Patent14		
		1. "a plurality of carrier aggregated transmit signals being sent simultaneously"		
		2. "power tracker"		
		3. "single power tracking signal"20		
	C.	'949 Patent23		
		1. "means for receiving at a secondary processor, from a primary processor via an inter-chip communication bus, an image header for an executable software image for the secondary processor that is stored in memory coupled to the primary processor" (claim 16)23		

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

	2.	"means for receiving at the secondary processor, from the primary processor via the inter-chip communication bus, each data segment"	
		(claim 16)	27
D.	'936	Patent	29
:	1.	"programmable streaming processor" (claims 1, 10, 19, 29, 38, and 67)	29
8	2.	"(conversion/executable) instruction(s) [to] convert[] graphics data [from a] (first/second/different) data precision [to a] (second/first/indicated) data precision" (claims 1, 10, 19, 29, 38, 49, 55, and 67)	32

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. The following abbreviations may be used in this Order:

~

DOCKET

R M

Α

ALJ	Administrative Law Judge
Compl.	Complainants or Complainants'
Decl.	Declaration
EDIS	Electronic Document Imaging System
IMB	Initial Markman Brief
PMB	Post-Markman "Bullet-Point" Brief
РТО	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Resp.	Respondents or Respondents'
RMB	Reply Markman Brief
Tr.	Transcript

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

I. Introduction

By publication of a notice in the Federal Register on August 14, 2017, pursuant to

subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, the Commission instituted

this investigation to determine:

Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile electronic devices and radio frequency and processing components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–27, 29, 38, 49, 55–60, 67, and 68 of the '936 patent [U.S. Patent No. 8,633,936]; claims 1 and 6–20 of the '558 patent [U.S. Patent No. 8,698,558]; claims 9, 10, 12, 14, and 20–22 of the '658 patent [U.S. Patent No. 8,487,658]; claims 1–8, 10–14, 16, 20, and 22 of the '949 patent [U.S. Patent No. 8,838,949]; claims 1–6, 8, 10, 16, 17, and 31 of the '490 patent [U.S. Patent No. 9,535,490]; and claims 1–3 and 7–14 of the '675 patent [U.S. Patent No. 9,608,675]; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

82 Fed. Reg. 37899 (Aug. 14, 2017).

Additionally, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), the Commission ordered:

Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding Administrative Law Judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1).

Id.

DOCKE

The complainant is Qualcomm Incorporated ("Qualcomm") of San Diego, California.

The named respondent is Apple Inc. ("Apple") of Cupertino, California. The Commission

Investigative Staff ("Staff") is also a party to this investigation. Id.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.