

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

Petitioner,

v.

BAYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, GMBH,

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2018-01143

Patent No. 9,539,218

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS..... ii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iii

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART4

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION5

 A. “Rapid-Release Tablet”5

 1. The Phrase “Rapid-Release Tablet” Should Be Construed According to the Express Definition in the ’218 Patent.....5

 2. The Petition’s Proposal to Adopt a Different Construction of “Rapid-Release Tablet” Should Be Rejected.....10

 B. Other Claim Construction Issues.....17

IV. ARGUMENT.....17

 A. Trial Should Not Be Instituted Pursuant to Section 325(d)19

 1. The Board Should Decline to Institute Based on Ground 1.....20

 2. The Board Should Decline to Institute Based on Ground 2.....21

 B. Trial Should Not Be Instituted Because the Petition Fails to State the Asserted Grounds with Particularity.....25

V. CONCLUSION.....32

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

AIA Eng’g Ltd., et al. v. Magotteaux Int’l S/A, et al.,
657 F.3d 1264, 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)14

Becton, Dickenson and Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG,
IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (PTAB, Dec. 15, 2017) (informative).....20

Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. LG Elecs., Inc.,
880 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2018)14

Dorco Co., Ltd. v. The Gillette Co., LLC,
IPR2017-00500, Paper 7 (PTAB June 21, 2017)19, 20, 25

Dynamic Drinkware LLC v. Nat’l Graphics, Inc.,
IPR2013-00131, Paper 16 (PTAB Oct. 28, 2013).....27

Enovate Medical LLC v. Intermetro Industries Corp.,
IPR2015-00300, Paper 12 (PTAB May 20, 2015)28

Ford Motor Co. v. Paice LLC,
IPR2015-00800, Paper 12 (PTAB Oct. 27, 2015).....28

Gea Process Eng’g, Inc. v. Steuben Foods, Inc.,
IPR2014-00054, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 10, 2014)27

Google Inc. v. Zuili,
CBM2016-00021, Paper 11 (PTAB June 1, 2016).....28, 29

Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.,
815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016)19

Hologic, Inc. v. bioMerieux, Inc.,
IPR2018-00568, Paper 9 (PTAB Aug. 7, 2018).....17, 20

Hopkins Mfg. Corp., et al. v. Cequent Performance Prods., Inc.,
IPR2015-00609, Paper 9 (PTAB Aug. 14, 2015).....30

In re Power Integrations, Inc.,
884 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2018)8

<i>In re Smith International, Inc.</i> , 871 F. 3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	8
<i>Kayak Software Corp. et al. v. Int’l Business Machines Corp.</i> CBM2016-00075, Paper 16 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2016) (informative)	3, 18, 25
<i>Martek Biosciences Corp. v. Nutrinova, Inc.</i> , 579 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	6
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	9
<i>Multiform Desiccants, Inc. v. Medzam, Ltd.</i> , 133 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	5
<i>Neil Ziegman, N.P.Z., Inc. v. Stephens</i> , IPR2015-01860, Paper 11 (PTAB Feb. 24, 2016).....	20
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	14
<i>Profectus Technology v. Huawei Techs. Co.</i> , 823 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	14
<i>Sinorgchem Co., Shandong v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 511 F.3d 1132 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	5, 14
<i>Telebrands Corp. v. Tinnus Enterprises, LLC</i> , PGR2017-00015, Paper 16 (PTAB Oct. 11, 2017)	21
<i>Thorner, et al. v. Sony Comput. Entm’t Am. LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	12
<i>TI Grp. Auto. Sys. Inc. v. VDO, L.L.C.</i> , 375 F.3d 1126 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	15
<i>TRW Auto. US LLC v. Magna Elecs., Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00293, Paper 21 (PTAB Aug. 28, 2014).....	31
<i>Unified Patents Inc. v. Berman</i> , IPR2016-01571, Paper 10 (PTAB Dec. 14, 2016) (informative).....	3, 21

Wi-Lan, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.,
811 F.3d 455 (Fed. Cir. 2016)14

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2), (4)-(5)26
35 U.S.C. § 10326
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)4, 19, 25
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)passim
H.R. Rep. No. 112-98, pt. 1 (2011).....20

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.