

Filed on behalf of Google LLC

By: Robert E. Sokohl, Reg. No. 36,013
Ryan C. Richardson, Reg. No. 67,254
Dohm Chankong, Reg. No. 70,524
Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox PLLC
1100 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20005
Tel: (202) 371-2600
Fax: (202) 371-2540

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC
Petitioner

v.

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-01082
Patent 9,445,251

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,445,251**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1))2

II. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)).....5

III. Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)).....5

 A. Statutory Ground for the Challenge.....5

 B. Citation of Prior Art.....5

IV. The '251 Patent6

 A. Background of the '251 Patent6

 B. The Priority Date of the '251 Patent Cannot Be Earlier Than April 17, 2006 9

 C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art11

 D. Claim Construction12

 1. “second georeferenced map”12

V. Ground of Rejection14

 A. Claim 1 is Obvious Over Haney in View of Fumarolo.....14

 1. Overview14

 2. The combination of Haney and Fumarolo renders independent claim 1 obvious.....20

 B. Ground 1: Claims 13-19 and 21 are Obvious Over Haney and Fumarolo in Further View of Spaargaren.38

 1. Overview38

 2. The combination of Haney, Fumarolo, and Spaargaren renders claim 13 obvious.....41

 3. The combination of Haney, Fumarolo, and Spaargaren renders claim 14 obvious.....46

 4. The combination of Haney, Fumarolo, and Spaargaren renders claim 15 obvious.....51

 5. The combination of Haney, Fumarolo, and Spaargaren renders claim 16 obvious.....54

 6. The combination of Haney, Fumarolo, and Spaargaren renders claim 17 obvious.....56

Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,445,251

7. The combination of Haney, Fumarolo, and Spaargaren renders claim 18 obvious.....56

8. The combination of Haney, Fumarolo, and Spaargaren renders claim 19 obvious.....57

9. The combination of Haney, Fumarolo, and Spaargaren renders claim 21 obvious.....58

C. Dependent Claims 13-19 and 21 Recite Nothing More Than Obvious Design Choices.....59

VI. The Instant Petition Should be Instituted Under § 325(d)61

A. The References in the Instant Petition Were Either Not Cited And/Or Not Considered by the Office During Examination of the '251 Patent.61

B. The Instant Petition is Not Cumulative with the Concurrently-Filed Petition Based on Fumarolo and Both Petitions Should be Instituted61

C. The Instant Petition is Not Cumulative with the Petition filed in IPR2018-00817 and Both Petitions Should be Instituted63

VII. Conclusion64

Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,445,251

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,445,251 to Beyer, Jr. et al. (“the ’251 patent”)
1002	File History of U.S. Patent No. 9,445,251 (“’251 patent File History”)
1003	Declaration of David Williams
1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of David Williams
1005	U.S. Patent No. 7,353,034 to Haney <i>et al.</i> (“Haney”)
1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,366,782 to Fumarolo <i>et al.</i> (“Fumarolo”)
1007	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1008	Complaint for Patent Infringement, <i>AGIS Software Development LLC v. Huawei Device USA Inc., et al.</i> , Civ. No. 2:17-cv-00513 (E.D. Tex.), filed June 21, 2017 (“Infringement Complaint”)
1009	Microsoft Word document compare of specifications between U.S. Patent No. 7,630,724 to Beyer, Jr. <i>et al.</i> and 7,031,728 to Beyer, Jr. <i>et al.</i>
1010-1011	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1012	911 and E911 Services, Federal Communications Commission, www.fcc.gov/e911 (last visited May 7, 2018)
1013	Fact Sheet, FCC Wireless 911 Requirements (January 2001), <i>available at</i> https://transition.fcc.gov/pshs/services/911-services/enhanced911/archives/factsheet_requirements_012001.pdf
1014	Jock Christie, <i>et al.</i> , <i>Development and Deployment of GPS Wireless Devices for E911 and Location Based Services</i> (Position, Location, and Navigation Symposium, 2002) (“Christie”)

Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,445,251

1015	Dale N. Hatfield, <i>A Report on Technical and Operational Issues Impacting The Provision of Wireless Enhanced 911 Services</i> , Federal Communications Commission (2002) (“Hatfield”)
1016	Charles E. Perkins, “Ad Hoc Networking.” Nokia Research Center (November 28, 2000) (“Perkins”)
1017	Duncan Scott Sharp, <i>Adapting Ad Hoc Network Concepts to Land Mobile Radio Systems</i> (1972 Ph.D. dissertation, University of Alberta) (on file with Simon Fraser University, December 2002) (“Duncan”)
1018	Madhavi W. Subbarao, <i>Mobile Ad Hoc Data Networks for Emergency Preparedness Telecommunications - Dynamic Power-Conscious Routing Concepts</i> (Submitted as an interim project for Contract Number DNCR086200 to the National Communications Systems, February 1, 2000) (“Subbarao”)
1019	McKinsey & Company, <i>The McKinsey Report : FDNY 9/11 Response</i> (2002) (“The McKinsey Report”)
1020	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0100326 A1 to Grube <i>et al.</i> (“Grube”)
1021	International Publication No. WO 02/17567 A2 to Spaargaren (“Spaargaren”)
1022	U.S. Patent No. 6,182,114 to Yap <i>et al.</i> (“Yap”)
1023	U.S. Patent No. 6,700,589 to Canelones <i>et al.</i> (“Canelones”)
1024	U.S. Patent No. 6,654,683 to Jin <i>et al.</i> (“Jin”)
1025-1027	<i>Intentionally Left Blank</i>
1028	William K. Rashbaum, <i>Report on 9/11 Finds Flaws In Response of Police Dept.</i> , N.Y. Times (July 27, 2002), available at http://www.nytimes.com/2002/07/27/nyregion/report-on-9-11-finds-flaws-in-response-of-police-dept.html?mcubz=0 (“Rashbaum”)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.