

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GOOGLE LLC,  
Petitioner,

v.

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,  
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 8,213,970  
Filing Date: November 26, 2008  
Issue Date: July 3, 2012

Inventor: Malcolm K. Beyer, Jr.  
Title: METHOD OF UTILIZING FORCED ALERTS FOR INTERACTIVE  
REMOTE COMMUNICATIONS

---

**PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY TO  
PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

Case No. IPR2018-01079

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page(s)</u></b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	4
II. THE BOARD SHOULD REJECT PETITIONER’S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF THE TERM “PACKET” .....	5
III. PETITIONER HAS NOT PROVEN BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS OVER GROUNDS 1-3.....	7
A. The Prior Art does not disclose or suggest the claimed “forced message alert” .....	7
IV. PETITIONER HAS NOT PROVEN BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS OVER GROUNDS 2-3.....	15
V. CONCLUSION.....	17

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**Cases**

*Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v Lee*,  
136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....5

*Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.*,  
821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....16

*Trivascular, Inc. v. Samuels*,  
812 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....5

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Document</b>
2001	Appendix 1 to P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction and Pre-Hearing Statement in <i>AGIS Software Development LLC v. Huawei Device USA, Inc., et al.</i> – Parties’ Proposed Constructions and Supporting Evidence, filed in <i>AGIS Software Development LLC v. Huawei Device USA, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 2:17-cv-000513-JRG-RSP (Dkt. 149-1)
2002	P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction and Pre-Hearing Statement, filed in <i>AGIS Software Development LLC v. Huawei Device USA, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 2:17-cv-000513-JRG-RSP (Dkt. 149)
2003	Appendix 1 to P.R. 4-3 - Updated Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement in <i>AGIS Software Development LLC v. Huawei Device USA, Inc., et al.</i> – Parties’ Updated Proposed Constructions and Supporting Evidence, filed in <i>AGIS Software Development LLC v. Huawei Device USA, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 2:17-cv-000513-JRG-RSP (Dkt. 162-1)
2004	P.R. 4-3 - Updated Joint Claim Construction and Pre-Hearing Statement, filed in <i>AGIS Software Development LLC v. Huawei Device USA, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 2:17-cv-000513-JRG-RSP (Dkt. 162)
2005	Declaration of Jaime G. Carbonell, Ph.D.
2006	Curriculum Vitae of Jaime G. Carbonell, Ph.D.
2007	Transcript of March 21, 2019 Deposition of David Hilliard Williams
2008	Transcript of July 24, 2019 Deposition of David Hilliard Williams

## I. INTRODUCTION

Patent Owner AGIS Software Development LLC (“AGIS” or “Patent Owner”) submits this sur-reply to Petitioner’s Reply (Paper 22, “Pet. Reply”) to Patent Owner’s Response (Paper 17, “PO Resp.”) to the Petition (Paper 2, “Petition” or “Pet.”) filed by Google LLC (“Google” or “Petitioner”) requesting *inter partes* review (“IPR”) of claims 1 and 3-9 of U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970 (Ex. 1001, “the ’970 Patent”). *Inter partes* review has been instituted as to claims 1 and 3-9 (the “Challenged Claims”) and all grounds raised in the Petition (Paper 9). Patent Owner respectfully submits that the arguments presented herein and the additional evidence submitted herewith, such as the testimony from Petitioner’s expert witness, Mr. David Hilliard Williams (Ex. 2008, Transcript of July 25, 2019 Deposition of David Hilliard Williams, “Second Williams Dep.”) demonstrate that the Challenged Claims are not unpatentable in view of the grounds authorized for trial.

As noted in Patent Owner’s Response (Paper 17 at 2), the prior art does not disclose or suggest a forced message alert and the claim limitations relating to the implementation of the forced message alert. Instead, the prior art is limited to (1) ordinary e-mail applications that require users to manually open e-mail, (2) conventional read receipts and priority flags, and (3) data structures for storing receipts on a server. Ex. 2005, ¶ 22. Petitioner’s expert Mr. Williams confirmed

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.