

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC
Petitioner

v.

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-01079
Patent 8,213,970

**DECLARATION OF DAVID HILLIARD WILLIAMS IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,213,970**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| I. | Overview | 1 |
| II. | List of Documents Considered in Formulating My Opinion..... | 2 |
| III. | Qualifications | 5 |
| IV. | Legal Principles | 7 |
| | A. My Understanding of Claim Construction | 7 |
| | B. My Understanding of Obviousness | 8 |
| V. | Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art | 11 |
| VI. | Overview of the '970 Patent | 12 |
| VII. | Understanding of Certain Claim Terms..... | 12 |
| | A. “data transmission means” | 13 |
| | B. “means for attaching . . .” | 13 |
| | C. “means for requiring . . .” | 13 |
| | D. “means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have automatically acknowledged . . .” | 14 |
| | E. “means for periodically resending . . .” | 14 |
| | F. “means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have transmitted . . .” | 15 |
| VIII. | Overview of the State of the Art at the Time of Filing | 15 |
| | A. Sending and Receiving Mandatory Responses in Electronic Messaging were Known..... | 16 |
| | B. Industry Trend: Applications on Cell Phones and/or Personal Communications Devices | 18 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| C. | Tracking Delivery and Responses of Electronic Messages was Known | 23 |
| D. | Personal Digital Assistant (PDA) with Touchscreen and Stylus were Known..... | 25 |
| E. | Sending Alerts to a Recipient of an Email Message with a Mandatory Response was Known | 25 |
| IX. | Analysis of Disclosure in Earlier-Filed Applications | 29 |
| X. | Ground of Unpatentability | 33 |
| A. | Ground 1: Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Kubala in view of Hammond | 33 |
| 1. | Overview of Kubala..... | 33 |
| 2. | Overview of Hammond..... | 37 |
| 3. | Overview of the Combination of Kubala and Hammond..... | 39 |
| 4. | Motivation to Combine Kubala and Hammond..... | 40 |
| 5. | Claims 1-13 are obvious over Kubala in view of Hammond | 44 |
| B. | Ground 2: Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Hammond in view of Johnson further in view of Pepe | 82 |
| 1. | Overview of Hammond..... | 83 |
| 2. | Overview of Johnson | 86 |
| 3. | Overview of Pepe | 86 |
| 4. | Overview of the Combination of Hammond, Johnson, and Pepe | 87 |
| 5. | Motivation to Combine Hammond, Johnson, and Pepe..... | 87 |
| 6. | Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Hammond in view of Johnson further in view of Pepe..... | 89 |

C. Ground 3: Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Hammond in view of Johnson, Pepe, and Banerjee 115

XI. Conclusion..... 116

EXHIBIT LIST

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|--|
| 1001 | U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970 B2 to Beyer (“970 patent”) |
| 1002 | Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970 (Application No. 12/324,122) (“970 Pros. Hist.”) |
| 1003 | Declaration of David H. Williams |
| 1004 | <i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of David H. Williams |
| 1005 | U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0218232 to Kubala <i>et al.</i> (“Kubala”) |
| 1006 | U.S. Patent No. 6,854,007 to Hammond (“Hammond”). |
| 1007 | U.S. Patent No. 5,325,310 to Johnson <i>et al.</i> (“Johnson”) |
| 1008 | U.S. Patent No. 5,742,905 to Pepe <i>et al.</i> (“Pepe”) |
| 1009 | U.S. Publication No. 2003/0128195 to Banerjee <i>et al.</i> (“Banerjee”) |
| 1010 | <i>Simon Says “Here’s How!” Simon™ Mobile Communications Made Simple</i> , Simon Users Manual, IBM Corp., 1994. (“Simon”) |
| 1011 | Prosecution History of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/711,490 (“490 application”) |
| 1012 | Prosecution History of U.S. Application No. 11/308,648 (“648 application”) |
| 1013 | Prosecution History of U.S. Application No. 11/612,830 (“830 application”) |
| 1014 | McKinsey & Company, <i>The McKinsey Report : FDNY 9/11 Response</i> (2002) (“The McKinsey Report”) |
| 1015 | <i>History of Mobile Phones</i> , Wikipedia.com, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_mobile_phones (last visited May 10, 2018) (“Hist. Mobile Phones”) |
| 1016 | <i>Apple Newton</i> , Wikipedia.com, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apple_Newton (last visited May 10, 2018) (“Apple”) |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.