

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

**SHOPIFY, INC.**

**Petitioner**

**v.**

**DDR HOLDINGS, LLC**

**Patent Owner**

**U.S. Patent 9,639,876**

**TITLE: METHOD AND COMPUTER SYSTEM FOR SERVING  
COMMERCE INFORMATION OF AN OUTSOURCE PROVIDER IN  
CONNECTION WITH HOST WEB PAGES OFFERING COMMERCIAL  
OPPORTUNITIES**

**Issue Date May 2, 2017**

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312**

## Petitioners' Exhibit List

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,639,876 ('876 Patent)
1002	Declaration of Michael Shamos
1003	Declaration of James Pichler
1004	Digital River Brochure (Brochure)
1005	Digital River April 1997 Website (April 1997 Website)
1006	Digital River December 1997 Website (December 1997 Website)
1007	Web Page of Corel, a Digital River customer (July 1998)
1008	Web Page of 21 Software Drive, a Digital River customer (April 1998)
1009	Web Page of 21 Software Drive, a Digital River customer (April 1998)
1010	U.S. Patent No. 6,330,575 ( <i>Moore</i> )
1011	U.S. Patent No. 6,016,504 ( <i>Arnold</i> )
1012	RESERVED
1013	RESERVED
1014	RESERVED
1015	RESERVED
1016	RESERVED
1017	<i>DDR Holdings, LLC, v. Hotels.com, L.P., et al.</i> , 773 F.3d 1245 (2014)
1018	BPAI Decision, <i>Ex parte</i> DDR Holdings, LLC, Appeal No. 2009-0013987, Reexamination Control No. 90/008,374, U.S. Patent No. 6,993,572, April 16, 2010
1019	BPAI Decision, <i>Ex parte</i> DDR Holdings, LLC, Appeal No. 2009-0013988, Reexamination Control No. 90/008,375, U.S. Patent No. 6,629,135, April 16, 2010
1020	Affidavit of Christopher Butler, Office Manager at the Internet Archive
1021	Definition of "commission" - <u>The American Heritage Collegiate Dictionary</u> 280 (Robert B. Costello et al. eds., 3rd ed. 1997)
1022	Definition of "commission" - <u>Webster's New World Basic Dictionary of American English</u> 167-168 (Michael Agnes et al. eds., 1998)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	<u>11</u>
II. MANDATORY NOTICES .....	<u>11</u>
A. Real Party in Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) .....	<u>11</u>
B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	<u>11</u>
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)).....	<u>44</u>
D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)) .....	<u>44</u>
III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	<u>44</u>
IV. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CLAIM CHALLENGED .....	<u>54</u>
A. Claims for Which Review is Requested (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)) .....	<u>55</u>
B. Statutory Grounds of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2)) .....	<u>55</u>
1. The Asserted References are Printed Publications and Available as Prior Art .....	<u>66</u>
2. The Asserted Grounds are not Cumulative.....	<u>66</u>
V. REASONS FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22(a)(2) AND 42.104(b)(4) .....	<u>77</u>
A. Background .....	<u>77</u>
1. Field of Technology .....	<u>77</u>
2. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	<u>88</u>
3. The ‘876 Patent .....	<u>99</u>
B. Claim Construction (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)).....	<u>1010</u>
1. “merchants” (Claims 1, 3, 7, 11, 13, 17) .....	<u>1010</u>
2. “host” (Claims 1, 4, 6, 11, 14, 16) .....	<u>1010</u>
3. “commerce object” (Claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 17, 19) .....	<u>1010</u>
4. “commission” (Claims 4, 14) .....	<u>1111</u>

C.	The Challenged Claims Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and 103 .....	<u>1111</u>
1.	Ground 1: The Challenged Claims are obvious in view of the Digital River Publications .....	<u>1111</u>
(a)	The Digital River Publications .....	<u>1111</u>
(b)	Claim 1 is rendered obvious by the Digital River Publications.....	<u>1616</u>
(c)	Claim 2 is rendered obvious by the Digital River Publications.....	<u>2121</u>
(d)	Claim 3 is rendered obvious by the Digital River Publications.....	<u>2222</u>
(e)	Claim 4 is rendered obvious by the Digital River Publications.....	<u>2222</u>
(f)	Claim 5 is rendered obvious by the Digital River Publications.....	<u>2323</u>
(g)	Claim 7 is rendered obvious by the Digital River Publications.....	<u>2423</u>
(h)	Claim 8 is rendered obvious by the Digital River Publications.....	<u>2525</u>
(i)	Claims 11-13 and 16-18 are rendered obvious by <i>the Digital River Publications</i> .....	<u>2626</u>
2.	Ground 2: The Challenged Claims are anticipated by <i>Moore</i> .....	<u>2626</u>
(a)	<i>Moore</i> .....	<u>2626</u>
(b)	Claim 1 is anticipated by Moore .....	<u>2928</u>
(c)	Claim 2 is anticipated by Moore .....	<u>3737</u>
(d)	Claim 3 is anticipated by Moore .....	<u>3838</u>
(e)	Claim 4 is anticipated by Moore .....	<u>3939</u>
(f)	Claim 5 is anticipated by Moore .....	<u>4040</u>
(g)	Claim 7 is anticipated by Moore .....	<u>4141</u>
(h)	Claim 8 is anticipated by Moore .....	<u>4242</u>
(a)	Claims 11-13 and 16-18 are anticipated by <i>Moore</i> ..	<u>4342</u>
3.	Ground 3: Claims 1, 7, 10 and 17 are rendered obvious by <i>Moore</i> in view of <i>Arnold</i> .....	<u>4343</u>
(a)	Summary of Arnold.....	<u>4343</u>
(a)	It was obvious to a POSITA to combine the teachings of <i>Moore</i> and <i>Arnold</i> .....	<u>4545</u>

(a)	Claim 1 is rendered obvious by <i>Moore</i> in view of <i>Arnold</i> .....	<a href="#">4747</a>
(b)	Claim 7 is rendered obvious by <i>Moore</i> in view of <i>Arnold</i> .....	<a href="#">4747</a>
(c)	Claim 11 is rendered obvious by <i>Moore</i> in view of <i>Arnold</i> .....	<a href="#">4948</a>
(d)	Claim 17 is rendered obvious by <i>Moore</i> in view of <i>Arnold</i> .....	<a href="#">4949</a>
4.	Ground 4: Claims 1-5, 7-8, 11-15, and 17-18 are rendered obvious by <i>Moore</i> in view of the <i>Digital River Publications</i> .....	<a href="#">4949</a>
(a)	It was obvious to a POSITA to combine the teachings of <i>Moore</i> and the <i>Digital River Publications</i> .....	<a href="#">4949</a>
(b)	Claims 1-5, 7-8, 11-15, 17-18 are rendered obvious by <i>Moore</i> in view of the <i>Digital River Publications</i> .....	<a href="#">5150</a>
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	<a href="#">5151</a>

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.