```
Page 1
1
             MICHAEL I. SHAMOS, PH.D., J.D.
2
        UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
3
4
        BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
5
6
                       SHOPIFY, INC.
7
                        Petitioner
8
                            v.
                    DDR HOLDINGS, LLC
                        Patent Owner
10
11
                   Case IPR2018-01008
12
                    Patent 9,639,876
13
14
15
    DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL I. SHAMOS, PH.D., J.D.
16
                Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
17
                    January 24, 2019
18
19
20
    Reported by: Michelle L. Hall, RMR
21
22
23
    Job No. 154075
24
25
                                            DDR Holdinas, LLC - Ex. 2027
```



```
Page 2
1
             MICHAEL I. SHAMOS, PH.D., J.D.
     DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL I. SHAMOS, PH.D., J.D.,
    a witness herein, called by the Patent Owner
    for examination, by and before Michelle L.
5
    Hall, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary
    Public in and for the Commonwealth of
    Pennsylvania, at the Wyndham Pittsburgh
    University Center, 100 Lytton Avenue,
    Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, on Thursday, January
10
    24, 2019, at 9:00 a.m.
11
12
    COUNSEL PRESENT:
13
    For the Petitioner:
14
          Michael McNamara, Esquire
          Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and
15
          Popeo
          One Financial Center
16
          Boston, MA 02111
17
    For the Patent Owner:
18
          Ian Crosby, Esquire
          Susman Godfrey
19
          1201 Third Avenue
          Seattle, WA 98101
20
                   And
          Louis Hoffman, Esquire
21
          Hoffman Patent Firm
          7689 East Paradise Lane
22
          Scottsdale, AZ 85260
23
    ALSO PRESENT: Vivek Narayanadas, Esquire
24
25
```



```
Page 3
1
             MICHAEL I. SHAMOS, PH.D., J.D.
2
                        INDEX
        WITNESS: MICHAEL I. SHAMOS, PH.D., J.D.
5
6
    EXAMINATION:
                                                   PAGE
7
                                                     4
8
    BY MR. CROSBY
9
10
11
    EXHIBITS:
                                                   PAGE
12
13
    EXHIBIT 1 (Marked and then Withdrawn.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



- 1 MICHAEL I. SHAMOS, PH.D., J.D.
- PROCEEDINGS
- 3 - - -
- 4 MICHAEL I. SHAMOS, PH.D., J.D.
- 5 a witness herein, having been first duly sworn,
- 6 was examined and testified as follows:
- 7 - -
- 8 EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MR. CROSBY:
- Q. All right. So, I would like to
- start with the materials that you considered,
- 12 and if you want to refer to your declaration in
- the 11 PTAB IPR, that will be as good as any of
- 14 them.
- $^{15}$  A. The 1011?
- Q. Yeah. Exactly.
- 17 A. Okay.
- Q. Here we go.
- MR. CROSBY: And do you mind
- if I just for things that are of record in the
- 21 PTAB, like his declaration, unless I'm going to
- have him mark up an exhibit or something like
- that, just not admit it or would you --
- MR. McNAMARA: You don't need
- $^{25}$  to admit it. As long as I have a copy, I can



- 1 MICHAEL I. SHAMOS, PH.D., J.D.
- refer to my own copy. If I don't have a copy
- 3 then --
- 4 BY MR. CROSBY:
- <sup>5</sup> Q. So I'm referring to your declaration
- 6 in the 1011 IPR.
- A. I'm sorry, which one -- in the which
- 8 one?
- 9 Q. The 1011 IPR.
- 10 A. Yeah. I'm there, yes.
- 11 Q. I think your materials considered
- are on Page 26 of that document.
- Sir, you considered the prosecution
- 14 histories of the three patents that are being
- challenged in the six IPRs at issue; right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And, so, you're aware that the claim
- charts for Moore were submitted in each of
- those prosecutions; is that right?
- A. I don't -- I don't recall that
- 21 sitting here.
- Q. Okay. So, it looks like -- let's --
- I'm going to hand you -- this is loose,
- <sup>24</sup> unfortunately.
- THE COURT REPORTER: I have a



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

