

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. _____

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Bradium Technologies LLC (“Bradium”) alleges as follows against defendant Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”):

THE PARTIES

1. Bradium Technologies LLC is a Delaware Limited Liability Company (LLC) with a place of business at 75 Montebello Road, Suffern, New York 10901-3746.

2. Microsoft Corporation is a Washington corporation with a place of business at One Microsoft Way, Redmond, WA, 98052-6399. Microsoft may be served via service upon Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Rd., Suite 400, Wilmington, DE 19808.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This is an action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, arising from Microsoft’s making, using, selling, putting into service, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the



Bradium Exhibit 2016

United States, and/or supplying in or from the United States, various products, services, and components, including those related to Bing Maps, and/or inducing others to do the same, and/or contributing to others doing the same, and/or inducing or contributing to others combining such components in an infringing manner, during the term of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,139,794, 7,908,343 and 8,924,506, and from the date Microsoft had notice of the application published as United States Patent Application Publication No. 2011/0175914. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, including at least 35 U.S.C. §§ 154(d), 271(a), (b), (c), and (f).

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Microsoft because, among other things, Microsoft has made, used, sold, and/or offered for sale Bing Maps products and services in the State of Delaware and within this District, and the causes of action alleged herein arise in part from such conduct, and because Microsoft regularly and systematically transacts business in this District at least through its store located at 137 Christiana Mall, Newark, DE 19702.

5. Furthermore, Microsoft has purposefully availed itself of the benefits of doing business in the State of Delaware and in this District by, among other things, the acts alleged in Paragraph 4 of this Complaint and the acts of filing numerous lawsuits in this District, including, for example, *Microsoft Corp. v. Robocast Inc.*, C.A. 13-cv-313 (D. Del. Feb. 25, 2013), D.I. 1 (Microsoft complaint alleging patent infringement); *Microsoft Corp., et al. v. GeoTag Inc.*, C.A. 11-cv-175 (D Del. Mar. 1, 2011), D.I. 1 (Microsoft complaint seeking declaratory judgment of patent invalidity). Microsoft has not contested that this District is a proper venue and that it is subject to personal jurisdiction in this venue in past litigation. *Interdigital Comm'ns, et al. v.*

Nokia Corp., et al., C.A. 13-cv-010 (D Del. Mar 7, 2013), D.I. 14 at ¶¶ 8-9 (Microsoft answer, consenting to litigate patent infringement matter in the District of Delaware).

6. The exercise of personal jurisdiction over Microsoft would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

7. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 because Microsoft resides or is deemed to reside in this District, is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District, has committed acts of infringement in this District, has a regular and established place of business in this District, may be found in this District, and has one or more agents who reside in or may be found in this District.

BACKGROUND

8. This lawsuit asserts causes of action for infringement of United States Patent Nos. 7,139,794 (the “’794 patent”), 7,908,343 (the “’343 patent”) and 8,924,506 (the “’506 patent”), and of United States Patent Application Publication No. 2011/0175914 (“Publication No. 2011/0175914”) (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”).

9. Isaac Levanon and Yoni Lavi invented the technology claimed in the ’794, ’343 and ’506 patents and Publication No. 2011/0175914. A company, 3DVU, was created in order to commercialize this technology.

10. 3DVU met with Microsoft executives on multiple occasions in or around 2005.

11. At these meetings, 3DVU discussed the possible acquisition by Microsoft of the technology invented by Messrs. Levanon and Lavi. In relation to these meetings, 3DVU

disclosed to Microsoft executives the technology and the patent applications that ultimately led to the '794, '343 and '506 patents and Publication No. 2011/0175914.

12. Instead of purchasing this technology, upon information and belief, Microsoft without consent or authorization began to incorporate the technology of the '794, '343 and '506 patents and Publication No. 2011/0175914 into its products and services.

13. Microsoft has prior knowledge of the '794, '343 and '506 patents. Microsoft's own patents and patent applications refer to the '794 and '343 patents. The '794 patent was cited during the prosecution of U.S. Patent No. 7,664,870 ("the '870 patent") on August 15, 2008. The '870 patent was assigned to Microsoft as of August 15, 2008. The '794 patent was also cited during the prosecution of U.S. Patent No. 8,386,560 ("the '560 patent") on September 8, 2008. The '560 patent was assigned to Microsoft as of September 8, 2008. The '343 patent, and its Publication No. 2010/0064002, were cited in an International Search Report for International Application No. PCT/US2011/038008, for which Microsoft was the applicant, on December 28, 2011. Publication No. 2010/0064002 is listed on the face of U.S. Patent No. 8,446,441 that issued from International Application No. PCT/US2011/038008.

14. Bradium and its managing member and licensing agent General Patent Corporation wrote to Microsoft on May 27, 2014 and again on December 23, 2014, regarding the patent family that includes the '794, '343, and '506 patents, but, despite these invitations, Microsoft did not enter into licensing or business discussions with Bradium or General Patent Corporation. (Exhibit A.) In both the May 27, 2014 and December 23, 2014 letters, Bradium and General Patent Corporation noted the '794 and '343 patents, and informed Microsoft of Application No. 13/027,929, which issued as the '506 patent on December 30, 2014, and which

published as Publication No. 2011/0175914 on July 21, 2011. In the December 23, 2014 letter, Bradium and General Patent Corporation informed Microsoft that Application No. 13/027,929 would be issuing as U.S. Patent No. 8,924,506 on December 30, 2014.

15. Microsoft provides mapping products and services, including Bing Maps products and services, in the United States, including in the District of Delaware. Microsoft distributes at least its Bing Search and Bing Maps Preview applications in this District, and Microsoft makes Bing Maps available via the web in this District.

16. On information and belief, at least Microsoft's currently-available mapping products and services, including Bing Maps products and services, use the patented technology of the '794, '343, and '506 patents and Publication No. 2011/0175914.

17. Bing Maps is a part of Microsoft's Online Services Division ("OSD"). The sale of search and display advertising accounts for nearly all of OSD's revenue.

18. Bing Maps generates revenue for Microsoft directly and/or indirectly through the sale of search and display advertising and/or licensing.

19. OSD's online advertising revenue for fiscal year 2013 was approximately \$3.0 billion dollars.

20. Microsoft has distributed, and continues to distribute, the Bing Maps Preview application via the Windows store, and the Bing Search application (which includes Bing Maps) via at least the Google Play Store, the iOS App Store, and the Windows Store, and Microsoft has made, for example, Bing Maps available via Microsoft web sites to users via at least smartphone web browsers.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.