

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Unified Patents Inc.

Petitioner

v.

Bradium Technologies, LLC

Patent Owner

IPR2018-00952  
U.S. Patent 9,253,239

**SECOND DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER WILSON**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS .....	2
III.	QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE .....	5
	A. Education and Work Experience .....	5
	B. Compensation .....	5
	C. Documents and Other Materials Relied Upon .....	6
IV.	STATEMENT OF LEGAL PRINCIPLES .....	6
	A. Claim Construction .....	6
	B. Obviousness .....	7
	C. Written Description .....	7
	D. Indefiniteness .....	8
V.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	8
VI.	TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND OF THE 239 PATENT .....	9
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE 239 PATENT .....	9
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	9
	A. “Update Data Parcel” .....	10
	B. “User-Controlled Image Viewpoint” .....	10
	C. “A Step For Determining Priority of the First Request and the Second Request” .....	11
IX.	UNPATENTABILITY OF CLAIM 20 OF THE 239 PATENT .....	15
	A. The Prior Art References Render Obvious Determining Priority of the First Request and the Second Request .....	16
	B. The Prior Art References Render Obvious Determining Priority Between the First Request and the Second Request .....	21
X.	MOTION TO AMEND .....	26
	A. The Proposed Claims Lack Written Description Support .....	26

1. U.S. Patent Application No. 14/547,148 Does Not Provide Written Description Support .....	27
2. U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/258,489 Does Not Provide Written Description Support.....	28
B. The Proposed Claims Are Indefinite .....	31
C. The Proposed Claims Are Obvious .....	34
1. Description of the Prior Art- “Yaron” .....	35
2. Proposed Claim 20.....	38
3. Proposed Claim 21 .....	56
XI. CONCLUSION.....	60

I, Christopher Wilson, declare as follows:

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Christopher Wilson. I am a consultant in the fields of mapping, navigation systems, and telematics. I have been engaged by Unified Patents Inc. (“Unified”) to investigate and opine on certain issues relating to U.S. Patent No. 9,253,239 B2 (the “239 Patent”) entitled “System and methods for network image delivery with dynamic viewing frustum optimized for limited bandwidth communication channels.” The opinions set forth in this declaration relate to Unified’s Reply to Patent Owner’s Response (“Unified’s Reply”) and Unified’s Opposition to Patent Owner’s Motion to Amend (“Unified’s Opposition”) I previously opined on issues related to Unified’s Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of the 239 Patent (“Unified’s IPR Petition”) (Ex. 1005).

2. This declaration is based on the information currently available to me. To the extent that additional information becomes available, I reserve the right to continue my investigation and study, which may include a review of documents and information that may be produced, as well as testimony from depositions that may not yet be taken.

3. In forming my opinions, I have relied on information and evidence identified in this declaration, including the 239 Patent, the prosecution history of the 239 Patent, and prior art references listed as Exhibits to this IPR, including a number of

references known to those in the art to describe technical concepts relevant to the subject matter of the 239 Patent, and include (for example) patents, technical publications, and industry standards. In my opinion, an expert or a person of ordinary skill in the art in the subject matter relevant to the 239 Patent would have considered each of the references relevant to the subject matter of this declaration and would reasonably rely on such materials to form an opinion as to the state of the art prior to December 27, 2000, the interpretation of the prior art references relied upon, and the obviousness of the substitute claims proposed in Patent Owner's Contingent Motion to Amend. I have also relied on my own personal experience in the field of mapping and navigation systems, which includes the design and development of map rendering hardware, software, and display systems.

## II. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS

4. Claim 20 of the 239 Patent relates to a system and method for dynamic visualization of image data transferred through a communications channel. For the reasons explained below, none of the features described in claim 20 of the 239 Patent were novel as of October 1999, nor does the 239 Patent teach a novel and non-obvious way of combining these known features.

5. Claim 20 of the 239 Patent relates to well-known technologies in the computer industry such as multi-resolution hierarchical maps, image compression, packetized data transmission, and three-dimensional (3D) graphics rendering. No element of

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.