

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
15/457,816	03/13/2017	Isaac Levanon	AP026CON5	3049
137611 Bradium Techn	7590 10/04/201 ologies LLC	EXAMINER		
75 Montebello Suffern, NY 10	Road	LAZARO, DAVID R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2455	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2017	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)



	Application 15/457,816	plication No. Applicant(s) LEVANON ET AL.		Γ AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner DAVID LAZ	'ARO	Art Unit 2455	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status No			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/13/17.							
A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.1	30(b) was/v	vere filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is no	n-final.					
3) An election was made by the applicant in response	onse to a res	striction requirement s	et forth durin	g the interview on			
; the restriction requirement and election	have been	incorporated into this	action.				
4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims*							
5) Claim(s) 25-80 is/are pending in the application	٦.						
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) <u>25-80</u> is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
* If any claims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may be eli				way program at a			
participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see							
http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send	an inquiry to	PPHfeedback@uspto.g	<u>ov</u> .				
Application Papers							
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
11)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>3/13/17</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is require	d if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 3	37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).				
Certified copies:							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some** c) ☐ None of the:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		3) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
2) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08a and/or PTO/S	SB/08h)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	'				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		4)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-13)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20170929A



Continuation of Attachment(s) 2). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :5/5/17, 8/17/17, 9/19/17, 9/19/17,9/20/17.



Application/Control Number: 15/457,816 Page 2

Art Unit: 2455

1. The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

DETAILED ACTION

2. Claims 25-80 are pending in this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112(a):
 - (a) IN GENERAL.—The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor or joint inventor of carrying out the invention.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 25-80 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(a) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor or a joint inventor, or for pre-AIA the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.



Application/Control Number: 15/457,816 Page 3

Art Unit: 2455

5. Claim 25 and each other independent disclose in part:

a. "the wireless mobile device stores the first image parcel and the second image parcel received by the wireless mobile device in a local store of the wireless mobile device"

b. "the local store configured as an embedded server to provide access to at least some image parcels received by the wireless mobile device, the at least some image parcels comprising the first image parcel and the second image parcel"

This subject matter is not sufficiently described in the specification. Page 8 of the specification, in relation to the description of Fig. 1, states "Alternately, a dedicated function client system 20 may be connected through a separate or plug-in local network server 22, preferably implementing a small, embedded Web server, to a fixed or removable storage local image repository 24." This description of the embedded server notably indicates it is a "local network server". This is further supported in the depiction of Fig. 1 showing item 22 as being distinct from the client system 20. There is no further description of an embedded server in the specification.

Fig. 3 and Page 11 of the specification indicates the client system may have a "local parcel data store 46". The specification does not indicate this local parcel data store being configured as an embedded server. Particularly, the specification does not state at any point that this local data store of the client is configured as an embedded server to provide access to image parcels to the client. There is no suggestion that the



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

