

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00952
Patent No. 9,253,239

**BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC'S
PATENT OWNER RESPONSE PURSUANT TO
37 C.F.R. §42.120**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Unified Has Not Identified All Real-Parties-in-Interest.....	3
A. Under the <i>AIT</i> Decision, Unified is Not the Only Real-Party-in-Interest.....	4
B. The Board’s Institution Decision Does Not Comport with <i>AIT</i> or the Trial Practice Guide	12
III. The Board Should Exercise its Discretion to Terminate the IPR.....	15
A. The Board Should Terminate Review Under § 325(d) and § 318(a).....	17
B. The Board Should Terminate Review Under § 314(a) and § 318(a).....	18
IV. The Board Lacks Constitutional Power to Decide this IPR Proceeding	29
A. PTAB Judges Are “Officers” Who Must Meet the Requirements of the Appointments Clause.....	30
B. PTAB Judges Do Not Satisfy Appointments Clause Requirements.....	30
C. The Board Should Terminate this Proceeding	31
V. The ’239 Patent.....	32
A. The ’239 Patent	32
B. Claim 20	37
C. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	38
D. Claim Construction	38
1. “Data Parcel” (Claim 1).....	39

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

Patent Owner Response, IPR2018-00952

U.S. Patent No. 9,253,239

2. “User-Controlled Image Viewpoint” (Claim 1)39

VI. Claim 20 is Not Unpatentable as Obvious Over the Asserted
Combination of Reddy, Hornbacker and Rosasco40

A. The Asserted Prior Art43

1. Reddy43

a) TerraVision II’s “GeoTile” Node Links to
Image and Terrain Tiles.....46

b) Distance-Based Level of Detail (LOD).....46

c) QuadLOD: Loading and Display of Image
Data.....50

d) Additional “Coarse to Fine” Algorithm
Asserted by Petitioner.....51

e) “Flying” Mode52

f) Pre-Fetching.....54

g) Locally-stored Image Tile Set55

2. Hornbacker.....56

3. Rosasco56

B. The Asserted Prior Art Combination Does Not Teach or
Suggest the Elements of Claim 2060

1. Reddy Does Not Teach or Suggest the Required
Prioritization of Requests Based on a First and
Second User-Controlled Image Viewpoint.....61

2. Rosasco Also Does Not Teach or Suggest the
Required Prioritization of Requests Based on a
First and Second User-Controlled Image
Viewpoint.....67

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

Patent Owner Response, IPR2018-00952

U.S. Patent No. 9,253,239

3. The Combination of Reddy and Rosasco Does Not
Teach or Suggest the Elements of Claim 20.....68

C. A POSITA Would Not Combine Rosasco with Reddy
and Rosasco to Achieve Claim 2071

VII. Conclusion73

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
Cases	
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Realtime Data, LLC</i> , IPR2016-01738, Paper 59 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 16, 2018)	29
<i>Applications in Internet Time, LLC v. RPX Corp.</i> , 897 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	4, 9, 11
<i>Becton, Dickinson & Company v. B. Braun Melsungen AG</i> , Case IPR2017-01586 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2017) (Paper 8)	16
<i>Cf. General Plastic Industrial Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , No. IPR2016-01357 (Paper 19) (P.T.A.B., Sept. 6, 2017)	15
<i>Collins v. United States</i> , 14 Ct. Cl. 568 (1878)	31
<i>Edmond v. United States</i> , 520 U.S. 651 (1997).....	30
<i>Freytag v. Commissioner</i> , 501 U.S. 868 (1991).....	31
<i>Hospira, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.</i> , Case IPR2017-00739 (PTAB July 27, 2017) (Paper 16).....	16
<i>Hulu v. Sound View Innovations</i> , IPR2018-00017, Paper 14 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 14, 2018).....	29
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	41
<i>In re Smith Int'l, Inc.</i> , 871 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	38
<i>Lucia v. S.E.C.</i> , 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018).....	29, 30, 31

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.