

Paper No. _____
Filed: June 21, 2019

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC,
Petitioner

v.

ALKERMES PHARMA IRELAND LIMITED,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-00943
Patent 7,919,499

Patent Owner's Sur-Reply

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction	1
II. Petitioner’s Declarants Should Be Given Little or No Weight	2
III. Claim Construction	6
A. “the step of parenterally administering a long acting formulation comprising about 310 mg to about 480 mg of naltrexone”	6
B. “initial oral dose of naltrexone”	8
IV. Amneal Failed to Establish That Any of the Claims Are Anticipated	9
A. Neither Comer nor Nuwayser Discloses Administering a Single Injection	9
B. Neither Comer nor Nuwayser Discloses the Claimed AUC Differential	10
1. Comer’s Data Is Incomplete	12
2. Comer’s Data Is Contaminated by Initial Oral Dosing	14
C. Comer Does Not Disclose Treating	15
D. Nuwayser Does Not Disclose the Claimed Dosage Range	17
E. Claims 10 and 11 Are Not Anticipated	17
1. Comer Does Not Disclose Administration to an Individual Afflicted by Alcohol Dependency	17
2. Neither Comer nor Nuwayser Disclose Administration Without an Initial Oral Dose	17
V. Amneal Failed to Establish That Any of the Claims Are Obvious	18
A. A POSA Would Not Have Pursued the Claimed AUC Differential ..	18

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
B. Grounds 3 & 4: The Claims Are Not Obvious Over Comer, Nuwayser, Rubio, and Wright.....	19
1. Claims 2, 6–9, and 11 Are Not Obvious	20
C. Ground 5: The Claims Are Not Obvious Over Nuwayser, Kranzler, Rubio, and Wright	22
1. Claims 2, 6–9, and 11 Are Not Obvious	23
D. Ground 6: The Claims Are Not Obvious Over Alkermes 10-K, Vivitrex Specimen, Rubio, and Wright	23
VI. Objective Indicia Show Nonobviousness.....	24
VII. Conclusion.....	26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Page(s)****CASES**

<i>Acorda Therapeutics, Inc. v. Roxane Labs., Inc.</i> , 903 F.3d 1310 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	24
<i>Finisar Corp. v. DirecTV Grp., Inc.</i> , 523 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	9
<i>Harari v. Lee</i> , 656 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	6
<i>In re Oelrich</i> , 666 F.2d 578 (C.C.P.A. 1981).....	10
<i>In re Robertson</i> , 169 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	10–11
<i>Insite Vision Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 783 F.3d 853 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	18
<i>Institut Pasteur v. Focarino</i> , 738 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	19
<i>K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC</i> , 751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014).	19
<i>Par Pharm., Inc. v. TWI Pharms., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	18
<i>Pers. Web Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 917 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	10
<i>Takeda Pharms. U.S.A., Inc. v. West-Ward Pharm. Corp.</i> , 785 F.3d 625 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	16
<i>Therasense, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.</i> , 593 F.3d 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2010), <i>vacated on other grounds</i> , 374 F. App'x 35 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	25, 26
<i>TiVo, Inc. v. Echostar Communs. Corp.</i> , 516 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	6–7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>WBIP, LLC v. Kohler Co.</i> , 829 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	25
<i>Yorkey v. Diab</i> , 601 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	4
<i>Broad Ocean Techs., LLC v. Nidec Mot. Corp.</i> , IPR2015-01617, Paper 70 (Apr. 25, 2019).....	5
<i>CaptionCall LLC v. Ultratec, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00636, Paper 97 (Sept. 7, 2016).....	4
<i>Celltrion, Inc. v. Biogen, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-01095, Paper 12 (Oct. 6, 2017).....	23
<i>eBay Inc. v. Global Equity Mgmt. (SA) Pty. Ltd.</i> , IPR2016-01829, Paper 63 (Apr. 19, 2018).....	2
<i>Fox Factory, Inc. v. SRAM, LLC</i> , IPR2016-01876, Paper 59 (Apr. 2, 2018).	24, 25
<i>J.R. Simplot Co. v. McCain Foods Ltd.</i> , IPR2018-00314, Paper 7 (June 29, 2018).....	4, 12
<i>Luxshare Precision Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Bing Xu Precision Co., Ltd.</i> , IPR2017-01492, Paper 52 (Jan. 11, 2019).....	7
 OTHER AUTHORITES	
37 C.F.R. § 42.65.....	2

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.