# Guidance for Industry

# **Statistical Approaches to Establishing Bioequivalence**

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) January 2001 BP

M

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

# **Guidance for Industry**

## **Statistical Approaches to Establishing Bioequivalence**

Additional copies are available from:

Office of Training and Communications Division of Communications Management Drug Information Branch, HFD-210 5600 Fishers Lane Rockville MD 20857 (Tel) 301-827-4573

(Internet) http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) January 2001 BP

### **Table of Contents**

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	1
A B.	. General Statistical	
III.	STATISTICAL MODEL	3
IV.	STATISTICAL APPROACHES FOR BIOEQUIVALENCE	3
A B. C.	A VERAGE BIOEQUIVALENCE	
V.	STUDY DESIGN	7
A B.	. Experimental Design . Sample Size and Dropouts	
VI.	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	9
A B.	. LOGARITHMIC TRANSFORMATION DATA ANALYSIS	
VII.	MISCELLANEOUS ISSUES	
A B. C. D.	. Studies in Multiple Groups Carryover Effects Outlier Considerations Discontinuity	
REF	ERENCES	16
APPENDIX A		
APPENDIX B2		25
APPENDIX C		28
APPENDIX D		
APPENDIX E		
APPENDIX F		
APPENDIX G		
APPENDIX H		

### **GUIDANCE FOR INDUSTRY<sup>1</sup>**

# Statistical Approaches to Establishing Bioequivalence

This guidance represents the Food and Drug Administration's current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This guidance provides recommendations to sponsors and applicants who intend, either before or after approval, to use equivalence criteria in analyzing in vivo or in vitro bioequivalence (BE) studies for investigational new drug applications (INDs), new drug applications (NDAs), abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs) and supplements to these applications. This guidance discusses three approaches for BE comparisons: average, population, and individual. The guidance focuses on how to use each approach once a specific approach has been chosen. This guidance replaces a prior FDA guidance entitled *Statistical Procedures for Bioequivalence Studies Using a Standard Two-Treatment Crossover Design*, which was issued in July 1992.

#### II. BACKGROUND

#### A. General

Requirements for submitting bioavailability (BA) and BE data in NDAs, ANDAs, and supplements, the definitions of BA and BE, and the types of in vivo studies that are appropriate to measure BA and establish BE are set forth in 21 CFR part 320. This guidance provides recommendations on how to meet provisions of part 320 for all drug products.

Defined as *relative BA*, BE involves comparison between a test (T) and reference (R) drug product, where T and R can vary, depending on the comparison to be performed (e.g., to-be-marketed dosage form versus clinical trial material, generic drug versus reference listed drug,

DOCKF

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This guidance has been prepared by the Population and Individual Bioequivalence Working Group of the Biopharmaceutics Coordinating Committee in the Office of Pharmaceutical Science, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) at the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

*J:\!GUIDANC\3616fnl.doc* 01/31/01

drug product changed after approval versus drug product before the change). Although BA and BE are closely related, BE comparisons normally rely on (1) a criterion, (2) a confidence interval for the criterion, and (3) a predetermined BE limit. BE comparisons could also be used in certain pharmaceutical product line extensions, such as additional strengths, new dosage forms (e.g., changes from immediate release to extended release), and new routes of administration. In these settings, the approaches described in this guidance can be used to determine BE. The general approaches discussed in this guidance may also be useful when assessing pharmaceutical equivalence or performing equivalence comparisons in clinical pharmacology studies and other areas.

#### B. Statistical

DOCKE.

In the July 1992 guidance on Statistical Procedures for Bioequivalence Studies Using a Standard Two-Treatment Crossover Design (the 1992 guidance), CDER recommended that a standard in vivo BE study design be based on the administration of either single or multiple doses of the T and R products to healthy subjects on separate occasions, with random assignment to the two possible sequences of drug product administration. The 1992 guidance further recommended that statistical analysis for pharmacokinetic measures, such as area under the curve (AUC) and peak concentration (Cmax), be based on the two one-sided tests procedure to determine whether the average values for the pharmacokinetic measures determined after administration of the T and R products were comparable. This approach is termed average bioequivalence and involves the calculation of a 90% confidence interval for the ratio of the averages (population geometric means) of the measures for the T and R products. To establish BE, the calculated confidence interval should fall within a BE limit. usually 80-125% for the ratio of the product averages.<sup>2</sup> In addition to this general approach, the 1992 guidance provided specific recommendations for (1) logarithmic transformation of pharmacokinetic data, (2) methods to evaluate sequence effects, and (3) methods to evaluate outlier data.

Although average BE is recommended for a comparison of BA measures in most BE studies, this guidance describes two new approaches, termed *population* and *individual bioequivalence*. These new approaches may be useful, in some instances, for analyzing in vitro and in vivo BE studies.<sup>3</sup> The average BE approach focuses only on the comparison of population averages of a BE measure of interest and not on the variances of the measure for the

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  For a broad range of drugs, a BE limit of 80 to 125% for the ratio of the product averages has been adopted for use of an average BE criterion. Generally, the BE limit of 80 to 125% is based on a clinical judgment that a test product with BA measures outside this range should be denied market access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For additional recommendations on in vivo studies, see the FDA guidance for industry on *Bioavailability* and *Bioequivalence Studies for Orally Administered Drug Products* **C** *General Considerations*. Additional recommendations on in vitro studies will be provided in an FDA guidance for industry on *Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies for Nasal Aerosols and Nasal Sprays for Local Action*, when finalized.

# DOCKET



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

