#### Evaluation of the Bioequivalence of Two Brands of Naltrexone 50 mg Tablet in Healthy Volunteers

In-hwan Baek<sup>a</sup>, Hwi-yeol Yun<sup>a</sup>, Wonku Kang<sup>b</sup> and Kwang-il Kwon<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Pharmacy, Chungnam National University, Daejeon, Korea <sup>b</sup>College of Pharmacy, Catholic University of Daegu, Kyungbuk, Korea

날트렉손은 μ-opioid 수용체에 특이적이고 선택적으로 길항작용을 나타내어 마약이나 마약성 진통제의 강한 의존성 치료에 쓰일 뿐만 아니라, 알코올 의존성 치료에도 쓰이는 약물이다. 본 연구는 날트렉손 제제인 레비아 정(50 mg tablet, 제일약품)을 대조약으로 하여 시험약인 명인 제약의 트락손 50 mg정의 생물학적 동등성 평가를 하기 위해 22명의 건강한 지원자를 모집하였다. 지원자를 두 군으로 나누어 1정씩 투여하였고 2×2 교차시험을 실시하였다. 날 트렉손의 혈장 중의 농도를 정량하기 위하여 발리데이션된 LC/MS/MS를 사용하였다. 채혈 시간은 투약 전 및 투약 후 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 12 시간에 걸쳐 시행하였다. 생물학적 동등성을 판정하기 위한 파라미 터로 12시간까지의 혈장 중 농도 곡선 하 면적(AUC<sub>12hr</sub>)과 최고 혈중 농도(C<sub>max</sub>)를 사용하였다. AUC<sub>12hr</sub> 의 평균은 43.45 ng·hr/ml (시험약) 과 43.31 ng·hr/ml (대조약) 으로 관찰 되었고, C<sub>max</sub>의 경우 각각 12.01 ng/ml (시험약) 과 12.27 ng/ml (대조약) 으로 관찰 되었다. AUC<sub>12hr</sub> 의 경우 로그변환한 평균치 차의 90%의 신뢰구간이 log0.95 - log1.07 이었고, C<sub>max</sub>의 경우 log0.87 - log1.14로 계산되어 두 항목 모두 log0.8 - log1.25 이어야 한다는 식품의약품 안전 청과 FDA의 기준을 모두 만족시켰다. 이상의 결과를 종합하면 시험약 트락손 정 50 mg은 대조약 레비아 정 50 mg에 대하여 생물학적으로 동등한 것으로 판정되었다.

□ Key words – Naltrexone, bioequivalence, LC/MS/MS, Traxone, Levia<sup>®</sup>

Bioequivalence of two formulations of the same drug comprises equivalence with respect to the rate and extent of their absorption. While the area under concentration time curve (AUC) generally serve as the characteristic of the extent of absorption, the peak concentration ( $C_{max}$ ) and the time of its occurrence ( $T_{max}$ ), reflect the rate of absorption, especially in fast-releasing drug formulations.<sup>1,2)</sup> The present study was conducted to evaluate the bioequivalence of two brands of naltrexone 50 mg tablets in fasting, 22 healthy human volunteers. Typical bioavailability, including AUC<sub>t</sub> (the area under the plasma concentration-time curve from 0 until the last sampling time, 12 hr) and  $C_{max}$  (the maximum plasma concentration) parameters were compared.

Naltrexone,17-(cyclopropylmethyl)-4,5-epoxy-3,14dihydroxymorphinan-6-one, as shown in Fig. 1, has long been available as an orally available antagonist at opioid

Correspondence to : Kwang-il Kwon 충남대학교 약학대학 임상약학연구실 대전광역시 유성구 궁동 충남대학교 약학대학 Tel: +82-42-821-5937, Fax: +82-42-823-6781 E-mail: kwon@cnu.ac.kr



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of naltrexone

receptors, with a relative seletivity for the  $\mu$ -opioid receptor at lower doses.<sup>3)</sup> Naltrexone block the effects of opioids by competitive binding at opioid recepters. Also, naltrexone is effective medication for treatment of alcohol dependence but the mechanism of action of naltrexone in alcoholism is not understood but involvement of the endogenous opioid system is suggested.<sup>4)</sup>

Following oral administration, naltrexone undergoes rapid and nearly complete absorption with approximately 96% of the dose absorbed from gastrointestinal tract. Naltrexone is primarily eliminated from the body by hepatin metabolism and the major metabolite of naltrexone is 6- $\beta$ -naltrexol. The percentage of the administered dose excreted in urine as free naltrexone is about 1%.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Reported half-life for naltrexone, after anal administration, is about 4hr.<sup>5)</sup>

The purpose of this study was to determine the pharmacokinetic parameters of two brands of naltrexone 50 mg capsules and then to compare these parameters statistically to evaluate the bioequivalence between the brands. Traxone 50mg (Myung In Pharm. Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea) was used as test product while Levia<sup>®</sup> 50 mg (Jeil Pharm. Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea) was used as reference product in 22 healthy volunteers.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Test and reference products

The test product, Traxone 50 mg (50 mg of naltrexone hydroxide, lot no. 353501, Myung In Pharm. Co., Ltd.) and the reference product, Levia<sup>®</sup> 50mg (50 mg naltrexone hydroxide, lots no. RVE301, Jeil Pharm. Co., Ltd.) were supplied by tablets.

#### Subjects and methods

DOCKET

RM

The 50 mg naltrexone bioequivalence study involved 22 healthy volunteers with the age from 19 to 28 years  $(23.09\pm2.18 \text{ years})$ , in weight from 55 to 96 kg  $(71.00\pm$ 9.15 kg), and height from 167 to 182 cm (174.77±4.25). All the volunteers were enrolled after passing a clinical examination, including a physical examination and laboratory tests (blood analysis: hemoglobin, hematocrit, WBC platelets, WBC differential, blood urea nitrogen, total bilirubin, cholesterol, total protein, albumin, alkaline phosphate, glucose fasting, ALT, and AST, and urine analysis: specific gravity, color, pH, sugar, albumin, bilirubin, RBC, WBC, and casts). Any with potential hypersensitivity to this type of medication, a history of the hepatic, renal, or cardiovascular disease, or chronic alcohol consumption or other medications was excluded. This criteria was applied to elimination the source of variation which can influence the pharmacokinetics of the drug. All the volunteers were restricted not to take using other drugs from at least one week before the study and until the completion of the study. They also refrained from alcoholic beverages and xanthine-containing foods

and beverages 48 hr before the study, until the last sampling time.<sup>6)</sup>

This study was based on a single-dose, randomized, two-treatment, two-period crossover design and was approved by a local ethics committee. All the volunteers signed a written informed consent, in accordance with the Korea Guidelines for Bioequivalence Tests (KGBT 1998). In the morning of period <sup>2</sup>, after an overnight fasting (10 hr) volunteers were given single dose of either formulation (reference or test) of naltrexone 50mg with 240 ml of water. No food was allowed after 4 hr after dose administration. Water intake was allowed after 2 hr of dose; water, lunch and dinner were given to all volunteers according to the time schedule. They were not permitted to lie down or sleep for the first 4 hr after the dose. Approximately 10ml of blood samples for naltrexone assay were drawn into heparinized tubes through indwelling cannula before(0 hr) and at 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, and 12 hr after drug administration. The blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min; plasma was separated and kept frozen at -70°C until the LC/MS/MS analysis. After a washout period of 6 days the study was repeated in the same manner to complete the crossover design.

#### **Chromatographic conditions**

The plasma naltrexone concentrations were quantified using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry with a PE SCIEX API 2000 LC/MS/MS System (Applied Biosystems Sciex, Ontario, Canada) equipped with an electrospray ionization interface used to generate positive ions [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. The HPLC system was an Agilent 1100 series (Wilmington, DE., USA). The separation was performed by using a reversed-phase Eclipse XDB-C<sub>18</sub> column (2.1×100 mm internal diameter, 3.5 µm particle size; Agilent technology, Wilmington, DE., USA). The column oven temperature was set at 30°C. The mobile phase consisted of 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid in purified water (95: 5% [vol/vol]). The mobile phase was eluted using an aglilent 1100 series pump G1312A (Agilent technology, Wilmington, DE., USA) at 0.2 ml/min.<sup>7)</sup>

A PE SCIEX API2000 triple-stage quadrupole mass spectrometer interfaced to a TurboIonSpray<sup>®</sup> source was used for mass analysis and detection. Ionization of analytes was carried out using the following settings of the electrospray ionization (ESI) in the positive ion mode: TurboIonSpray<sup>®</sup> temperature, 500°C; ion source voltage, 5500V; nebulizing gas flow (high-purity air), 1.04 L/min; curtain gas flow (nitrogen), 1.44 L/min; auxiliary gas flow, 4.0 L/min; collision gas (nitrogen) pressure,  $5 \times 10^{-5}$  torr; orifice voltage (declustering potential), 76 V; ring voltage (focusing potential), 320 V; entrance potential, 12 V; collision energy, 25 V; collision exit potential, 8.0 V. Quantitation was performed by multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) of the protonated precursor ion and the related product ion for naltrexone using the internal standard method with peak area ratios. The mass transition used for naltrexone and internal standard were m/z  $342 \rightarrow 324$ ,  $328 \rightarrow 310$ , respectively (dwell time 150 ms). Quadrupoles Q1 and Q3 were set on unit resolution. The analytical data were processed by Analyst software (version 1.2).

#### Extraction of naltrexone from plasma

The naltrexone concentration in plasma was analyzed using a reported LC/MS/MS method, with slight modification.<sup>7)</sup> 1 ml of plasma was extracted with 5 ml of methyl tert-butyl ether containing internal standard (naloxone 250 ng/ml in methanol) for 10 minute. After mixing and centrifugation, the organic phase was transferred and evaporated to dryness under nitrogen stream at about 40°C and residue was reconstituted in 100  $\mu$ l of 0.1 % fomic acid in acetonitrile. After brief mixing for 1min on a vortex mixer, 5  $\mu$ l of the reaction mixture was injected onto the chromatographic column.

#### Pharmacokinetic analysis

DOCKE.

The pharmacokinetic analysis was performed using noncompartmental methods and the non-compartmental parameters were derived using standard method. The maximum naltrexone concentration ( $C_{max}$ ) was determined by the inspection of the individual drug plasma concentration-time profile. The elimination rate constant ( $K_{el}$ ) was obtained from the least-square fitted terminal log-linear portion of the plasma concentration-time profile. The elimination half-life  $(T_{1/2})$  was calculated as  $0.693/k_{el}$ . The area under the curve to the last measurable concentration  $(AUC_{0-t})$  was calculated by the linear trapezoidal rule. The area under the curve extrapolated to infinity  $(AUC_{inf})$  was calculated as  $AUC_{0-t} + (C_t/k_{el})$ , where  $C_t$  is the last measurable concentration.<sup>8</sup>

#### Statistical analysis

For the purpose of bioequivalence analysis  $AUC_{0-t}$  and  $C_{max}$  were considered as primary variables. Bioequivalence was assessed by analysis of variance between groups (ANOVA) for crossover design and calculating standard 90% confidence intervals of the ratio test/reference. The product were considered bioequivalent if the difference between two compared parameters was found statistically insignificant (P≥0.05) and 90% confidence intervals for these parameters fell within 80-125%, and the range of equivalence for the non-parameter analysis was set to 20% of the reference mean. ANOVA was performed using logarithmic transformed AUC<sub>t</sub> and C<sub>max</sub>. All statistical comparisons were made using EquivTest version 1.0 (Statical Solution Ltd., Sangus, MA, USA).<sup>6,8)</sup>

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### HPLC/MS/MS analysis

With the LC/MS/MS method, no interference was observed in human plasma. The retention times for naltrexone and the internal standard (naloxone) were approximately 1.30 min (Fig. 2). The quantification limit for naltrexone in human plasma was 2 ng/ml, based on a single-to-noise ratio of 5.0. The intra- and inter-day coefficients of variation were less than 11.520% and 9.762%, respectively, for the concentration range from 2 to 50 ng/ ml.

#### **Clinical observation**

The tolerability of naltrexone 50 mg medication was acceptable. Clinically relevant or drug-related adverse effects were not observed in any of the 22 volunteers.



Fig. 2. Choromatogram of naltrexone. Upper chromatogram is double blank plasma uncontained naltrexone and internal standard, middle chromatogram is zero blank plasma contained only naltrexone. Lower chromatogram is plasma sample of subject 1 after 1 hour at a single naltrexone 50-mg oral dose.

#### Pharmacokinetic characteristics

The mean concentration-time profiles for the two brands of naltrexone 50 mg tablets are shown in Fig. 3 and the pharmacokinetic parameters for both formulations are shown in Table 1. All calculated pharmacokinetic parameter values were in good agreement with the previously reported values. The mean terminal half-life of naltrexone of reference and test brands was  $7.99\pm5.64$ hr and  $9.40\pm5.25$  hr, respectively (mean terminal half-life of two products  $8.70\pm5.43$ ).

#### Standard bioequivalence analysis

OCKF

No significant sequence effect was found for any of the

Table 1. Pharmacokinetic parameters of naltrexone for two brands (mean $\pm$ S.D., n=22)

Pharmacokinetic	Levia 50 mg	Traxone 50 mg
parameter	(Reference)	(Test)
AUC <sub>t</sub> (ng·hr/ml)	43.31±10.72	43.45±9.91
AUC (ng·hr/ml)	67.68±15.56	$70.15 \pm 22.26$
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/ml)	12.27±0.57	12.01±3.92
T <sub>max</sub> (hr)	$1.05 \pm 0.47$	$0.90 {\pm} 0.50$
$k_{el}$ (hr <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.12 \pm 0.04$	$0.10 \pm 0.05$
Cl <sub>total</sub> /F(L/hr)	768.10±137.68	817.16±370.17

bioavailability parameters, indicating that the cross-over design was properly performed. Significant F-test values were found between subjects and the subjects' nested



Fig. 3. Time course of the mean plasma concentration in healthy subjects after a single naltrexone 50-mg oral dose. Each point represents the mean + standard deviation. (n=  $22, \oplus$ ; Levia<sup>®</sup> (naltrexone 50 mg reference tablet),  $\bigcirc$ ; Traxone (naltrexone 50 mg test tablet))

sequence (SEQ) for  $AUC_t$  and  $C_{max}$ , indicating substantial inter-subject variation in the pharmacokinetics of naltrexone from the two formulation (table 2). No significant period effect in  $AUC_t$  or  $C_{max}$  was detected in this study.

The detailed statistical and bioequivalence analyses of naltrexone for  $AUC_t$  and  $C_{max}$  under the assumptions of multiplicative model are given in table 3. The geometric means of the parameters are given for the test and reference formulations of naltrexone, separately and as combined estimates. The parametric point estimates of the ratio of geometric mean of test and reference products

Table 2. Analysis of varience test ( $\alpha$ =0.05) for AUC<sub>t</sub> (log-transformed) and C<sub>max</sub> (log-transformed) for the sumatriptan tablets

A NOVA	Log-transformed	Log-transformed	
ANOVA	AUC <sub>t</sub> (F-value)	C <sub>max</sub> (F-value)	
Group or Sequence	0.049 (4.351)	0.291 (4.351)	
Subjects/Group	9.171 (2.124)	3.515 (2.124)	
Period	0.470 (4.351)	1.124 (4.351)	
Drug	0.060 (4.351)	0.005 (4.351)	

 Table 3. The 90% confidence intervals and results of geometric means on the target pharmacokinetic parameters of naltrexone

	Geometric means			00% C I
-	Test (T)	Reference (R)	T/R	- 9070 C.I.
C <sub>max</sub>	11.450	11.393	1.005	0.886-1.140
AUCt	42.382	42.040	1.008	0.952-1.068

for AUC<sub>t</sub> ans  $C_{max}$  were 1.008 and 1.005 (test/reference), respectively, and the parameteric 90% confidence intervals for AUC<sub>t</sub> and  $C_{max}$  were 0.8862-1.1398

#### Conclusion

The Statistical comparision of AUC<sub>t</sub> and  $C_{max}$  clearly indicated no significant difference in the two brands of naltrexone 50 mg tablet. 90% confidence intervals for the mean ratio (T/R) of AUC<sub>t</sub> and  $C_{max}$  were entirely within the Korea Food and Drug Administration acceptance range. Based on the pharmacokinetic and statistical results of this study, we can conclude that Traxone 50 mg tablets (Myung In Pharm. Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea) is bioequivalent to Levia 50 mg tablets (Jeil Pharm. Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea), and that two products can be considered interchangeable in medical practice.

#### Acknowledgment

This study was supported by the contract, Bioequivalence assessment of naltrexone 50mg tablets after administering a single oral dose to healthy volunteers, from Myung-In Pharm Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea.

#### References

- D. Hauschke, V.W. Steinijans, E. Diletti. A distributionfree procedure for the statistical analysis of bioequivalence studies, int. J. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. Toxicol. 1990; 28: 72-78.
- H.U. Schulz, V.W. Steinijans. Striving for standards in bioequivalence assessment: a review, Int. J. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. Toxicol. 1992; 30: S1-S6.
- 3. M. Heiling, M. Egli. Pharmacological treatment of alcohol dependence: Target symptoms and target mechanisms. Phamacol. Thetapeut., in press.
- 4. Gantt Galloway, Monika Koch, Ryan Cello, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and tolerability of a depot formulation of naltrexone in alcoholics: an open-label trial. BMC Psychiatry, 2005; 5: (online) http://www. biomedcentral.com/1471-244X/5/18.
- St. Louis. MO 63134 U.S.A. Mallinckrodt. Inc. (online) www.pharmaceuticals.mallinckrodt.com/\_attachments/ PackageInserts/06-Depade%2025\_50\_100.pdf

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

# DOCKET



## Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

