Addiction.(IM)
v. 99, no. 7 (July 2004)
General Collection
W1 AD131
2004-07-08

ISSN 0965-2140 a

O www.addic

Addiction

Published since 1884 by the Society for the Study of Addiction

VOLUME 99 • NUMBER 7 • JULY 2004



PROPERTY OF THE NATIONAL LIBRARY OF MEDICINE



Addiction Press



Addiction

Volume 99 Number 7 July 2004





ADDICTION

Aims and scope

Addiction is a refereed journal. Its goal is to serve international and interdisciplinary scientific and clinical communication, to strengthen links between science and policy, and to stimulate and enhance the quality of debate. Submissions are sought which are not only technically competent, but are original and contain information or ideas of fresh interest to our international readership. Books and major reports may be submitted for review, and material for the News and Notes section is welcomed. We seek to serve the developing as well as the developed world. We aim to handle submissions courteously and promptly, and welcome dialogue with our contributors and readers. We regret that we are not able to return manuscripts.

Subscriptions

Addiction [ISSN 0965-2140 (print); ISSN 1360-0443 (online)] is published monthly. All subscriptions are entered on a calendaryear basis, starting with the first issue of the current year and always expiring in December. Back issues are available, please contact us for details. Subscription rates for Volume 99 (2004) are:

	The	Rest of	
	Europe	Americas	World
Institutional Premium Rate*	£950	\$1694	£1045
Personal Rate	£268	\$478	£295

* Includes online access to the current and all available backfiles. Subscribers in Europe must quote their VAT registration number or state that they are not VAT-registered. Subscribers in the following countries who are not VAT-registered must add VAT to the subscription price at the appropriate rate for paper subscriptions: Belgium (6% TVA/BTW); France (2.1% TVA); Germany (7% MWST); Spain (4% IVA); The Netherlands (6% BTW). Subscribers in all other EC countries must pay UK VAT (currently 0% on paper subscriptions). Any subsequent changes in VAT rate will be implemented immediately. Customers in Canada must pay an additional 7% to allow for either the federal component of HST (in Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador) or 7% GST in all remaining provinces (GST currently 0% for online subscriptions).

Addiction (ISSN 1360-0443) is available online through Blackwell Synergy (www.blackwell-synergy.com), where users can search the articles and register for table of contents e-mail alerts. For more information about online access to Blackwell Publishing journals, including access information and terms and conditions, please visit www.blackwellpublishing.com. Other pricing options for institutions are also available on our website or on request from our customer service department, tel.: +44 (0)1865 778 315 (UK office).

Orders for current subscriptions and back issues should be sent to Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Customer Services Department, PO Box 1354, 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford OX4 2XG, UK. Tel. +44(0)1865 778 315; website www.blackwellpublishing.com; email customerservices@oxon.blackwellpublishing.com

Despatch

Addiction is despatched within the UK by second class post, within Europe by air mail, and to other continents by various forms of air-speeded delivery, to the US by air freight for forwarding by second class post, to India by air freight for guaranteed local delivery, and to all other countries by accelerated surface post. Second class postage paid at Rahway NJ, Postmaster send address changes to Addiction c/o Mercury Airfreight International Inc., 2323 EF Randolf Avenue, Avenel NJ 07001 (US Mailing Agent), USA. Add to the cost of a regular subscription £24.00/\$36.00 for air mail delivery outside Europe.

Business correspondence

All other business correspondence, including orders for offprints (offprints@oxon.blackwellpublishing.com) and advertising space (advert@oxon.blackwellpublishing.com) should be addressed to *Addiction*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford OX4 2DQ, UK. Tel: +44(0) 1865 776 868. Fax: +44(0) 1865 714 591.

Copyright and photocopying

©2004 Society for the Study of Addiction. All rights reserved. With the exception of fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior permission in writing of the Society for the Study of Addiction to Alcohol and Other Drugs. Authorization to photocopy items for internal and personal use is granted by the copyright holder for libraries and other users of the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA (www.copyright.com), provided the appropriate fee is paid directly to the CCC. This consent does not extent to other kinds of copying, such as copying for general distribution for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new works or for resale.

The opinions expressed in articles, whether editorials or otherwise, do not necessarily represent the official view of the Society for the Study of Addiction and the Society accepts no responsibility for the quality of goods or services advertised.

PDF offprints

Corresponding authors will receive a PDF offprint of their article in addition to a free copy of the issue in which their article appears. Certain terms and conditions, which apply to the use of the offprint, will be distributed with the offprint.

Paper

The publisher's policy is to use permanent paper from mills that operate a sustainable forestry policy, and which have been manufactured from pulp which is processed using acid-free and elementary chlorine-free practices.

Futhermore, the publisher ensures that the text paper and cover board used has met acceptable environmental accreditation etapologie.

Addiction is typeset by SNP Best-set Typesetter Ltd, Hong Kong and printed by Bell & Bain Ltd, Glasgow, UK.

© 2004 Society for the Study of Addiction



Efficacy and safety of naltrexone and acamprosate in the treatment of alcohol dependence: a systematic review

Bouza Carmen, Magro Angeles, Muñoz Ana & Amate José María

Agency for Health Technology Assessment, Madrid, Spain

Correspondence to:
Carmen Bouza
Agency for Health Technology Assessment
Instituto de Salud Carlos III
Sinesio Delgado 4
28029 Madrid
Spain
Fax: + 34 91 3877841
E-mail: cbouza@isciii.es

Submitted 11 August 2003; initial review completed 15 October 2003; final version accepted 13 March 2004

ABSTRACT

Aims To ascertain the efficacy and safety of naltrexone and acamprosate in the treatment of alcohol dependence.

Methods—Systematic review of the literature (1990–2002) and meta-analysis of full published randomized and controlled clinical trials assessing acamprosate or naltrexone therapy in alcohol dependence. Estimates of effect were calculated according to the fixed-effects model.

Measurements Relapse and abstinence rates, cumulative abstinence duration and treatment compliance were considered as primary outcomes.

Findings Thirty-three studies met the inclusion criteria. Acamprosate was associated with a significant improvement in abstinence rate [odds ratio (OR): 1.88 (1.57, 2.25), P < 0.001] and days of cumulative abstinence [WMD: 26.55 (17.56, 36.54]. Short-term administration of naltrexone reduced the relapse rate significantly [OR: 0.62 (0.52, 0.75), P < 0.001], but was not associated with a significant modification in the abstinence rate [OR: 1.26 (0.97,1.64), P = 0.08]. There were insufficient data to ascertain naltrexone's efficacy over more prolonged periods. Acamprosate had a good safety pattern and was associated with a significant improvement in treatment compliance [OR: 1.29 (1.13,1.47), P < 0.001]. Naltrexone's side effects were more numerous, yet the drug was nevertheless tolerated acceptably without being associated with a lower adherence to treatment (OR: 0.94 (0.80, 1.1), P = 0.5). However, overall compliance was relatively low with both medications.

Conclusions Both acamprosate and naltrexone are effective as adjuvant therapies for alcohol dependence in adults. Acamprosate appears to be especially useful in a therapeutic approach targeted at achieving abstinence, whereas naltrexone seems more indicated in programmes geared to controlled consumption. Both drugs are safe and acceptably tolerated but issues of compliance need to be addressed adequately to assure their usefulness in clinical practice.

KEYWORDS Acamprosate, alcohol dependence, alcoholism treatment, meta-analysis, naltrexone.

INTRODUCTION

At present, alcohol dependence constitutes one of the most serious public health problems, not only because of its high prevalence and impact on the personal, family, occupational and social spheres, but also because of its economic and medical consequences [1–8]. Treatment of alcohol dependence, the favourable effects of which have been demonstrated clearly in terms of related morbidity and mortality [4] and health-care costs [8], has made substantial progress in recent decades. Indeed, drugs are now available that seemingly improve on the results



yielded by standard techniques employed to date in the management of such patients [4–7].

In the forefront of the pharmacological options currently available are naltrexone and acamprosate. Naltrexone is a pure opioid antagonist, whose favourable effects were first noticed in the early 1990s [7,9-11]. Although its mechanism of action is not known fully, naltrexone exerts a competitive antagonism with respect to the opioid receptors: this, in turn, blocks the release of alcohol-induced dopamine, thereby reducing the stimulus and reinforcing effects of ethanol, and with it the ensuing craving to drink and loss of control [11]. Acamprosate (calcium acetylhomotaurinate) is a simple derivative of the essential taurine amino acid and displays a structural resemblance to gamma-amino butyric acid (GABA). Acamprosate enhances GABA reception and the transmission of the GABAergic system, reduced by chronic exposure to alcohol, and interferes with glutamate action in different pathways, such as the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors [12]. Acamprosate also acts on the calcium channels and reduces central nervous system hyperexcitability induced by suppression of alcohol [13].

However, experience with both drugs in the field of dependency is still limited. While some countries have officially approved acamprosate for treatment of alcohol dependence, others are still engaged in gathering evidence on its efficacy and safety. Naltrexone has been approved since 1994 for the treatment of alcohol dependence but the record shows that its use is less than might have been expected and that such underuse is due to the existence of considerable uncertainty surrounding its activity and possible toxicity [5].

The aim of this study was to analyse the collected body of evidence regarding the efficacy and safety of naltrexone and acamprosate for treatment of alcohol dependence.

METHODS

This review confined itself to full published, randomized and controlled clinical trials in peer review journals, which compared naltrexone or acamprosate with placebo or a reference group without medication, in adults with alcohol dependence. We excluded studies that had fewer than 10 participants, duration of less than 2 weeks, proceedings of meetings or congresses and publications that contained no relevant primary clinical data or failed to report results quantitatively. Studies were identified by means of a systematic search of the MEDLINE (SilverPlatter WebSPIRS), CINAHL (WebSPIRS) and EMBASE (Pollution and Toxicology, WebSPIRS) electronic databases, with no language restriction, covering the period January

1990–September 2002 and employing the following terms: alcohol-related-disorders, therapy, opioid-antagonists, narcotic-antagonists/therapeutic use, naltrexone, acamprosate, randomized-controlled-trial, clinical-trial. Similarly, the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register was examined, and bibliographies of relevant articles were examined manually for additional studies.

Two reviewers evaluated and extracted the data independently. To extract data, we designed a specific form that included the following: study design and scope: duration of treatment and follow-up period: inclusion and exclusion criteria; sample size and method employed for the calculation of same; interventions; type of randomization: baseline population characteristics; clinical outcomes and compliance with treatment. Duplicate articles were removed. During the trial selection and data extraction we were not masked to authors, institutions, journal or interventions assessed.

Quality assessment

Methodological quality and grade of scientific evidence was evaluated for each selected paper using the Jadad scale [14] and Hadorn's guidelines [15], respectively.

Data analysis

RevMan 4.1 software (Cochrane Collaboration 2000) was used to obtain a quantitative overall measure of the effect of naltrexone and acamprosate on the outcomes of interest. The studies were combined, by analogy, in terms of type of intervention, scope, treatment period and outcomes. Only those studies in which the analysis and the form of presentation of results was comparable and showed no statistically significant heterogeneity were included. This was evaluated with the Q statistic (P > 0.05) and potential reasons for heterogeneity were explored. The meta-analysis was conducted using a fixedeffect model with dichotomous outcomes being analysed by means of Peto's odds ratio (OR) (95% confidence interval) and continuous outcomes using weighted mean difference [95% confidence interval (CI)]. Scores obtained in the assessment of methodological quality allocated no weight to the meta-analysis. Sensitivity analyses were performed to assess the influence of methodological issues such as study setting, inclusion criteria—particularly the presence or absence of another dependence and the existence of a prior phase of detoxification or abstinence, study size and study quality on the effect estimation. In accordance with some recent literature we have not used funnel plots to examine the possibility of publication bias, given the limitations and potential misleading results of these graphs [16]. Heterogeneous data were analysed individually. Results were drawn largely from



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

