UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

BLITZSAFE TEXAS, LLC,

Patent Owner.

Patent No. 8,155,342 Filing Date: June 27, 2006 Issue Date: April 10, 2012

Title: MULTIMEDIA DEVICE INTEGRATION SYSTEM

DECLARATION OF RICHARD STERN, PH.D.

Case No. IPR2018-00926

Patent Owner Blitzsafe Texas, LLC – Exhibit 2001 RMW of North America v Rlitzsafe IPR2018-00926

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

Δ

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | Introduction | | | |
|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--|
| II. | Qualifications | | | |
| III. | Mate | Materials Considered | | |
| IV. | Legal | gal Principles9 | | |
| V. | Background1 | | 11 | |
| | A. | Technical Background | 11 | |
| | B. | The '342 Patent | 17 | |
| | C. | Level of Ordinary Skill | 18 | |
| | D. | Claim Construction | 19 | |
| | E. | The Cited References | 20 | |
| | | 1. Michmerhuizen | 20 | |
| | | 2. The ID3v2 References | 22 | |
| | | 3. Marlowe | 23 | |
| VI. | The Cited References Do Not Anticipate or Render Obvious an Integration Subsystem Receiving Audio Generated by a Portable Device for Playing on a Car Audio/Video System | | 24 | |
| | A. | Michmerhuizen Does Not Disclose a Portable Device Generating Audio for Playing on a Car Audio/Video System | 27 | |
| | B. | Michmerhuizen in Combination with Marlowe Does Not Render Obvious an Integration Subsystem Receiving Audio Generated by a Portable Device for Playing on a Car Audio/Video System | 34 | |
| VII. | Conclusion | | | |

Stern Declaration

I, Richard Stern, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained by Blitzsafe Texas, LLC ("Patent Owner") to provide my opinion regarding the validity of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,155,342 ("the '342 Patent," Ex. 1001) in response to the April 24, 2018 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review filed by BMW of North America, LLC ("Petitioner") and the Declaration of James T. Geier in support thereof ("Geier Declaration," Ex. 1015).

2. I am being compensated at my customary rate of \$450 per hour. My compensation is not dependent upon the substance of the opinions I offer below, the outcome of this petition, or any issues involved in or related to the '342 Patent. I have no financial interest in, or affiliation with, any of the real parties-in-interest or Patent Owner.

3. In particular, I have been asked to review and provide my opinion regarding whether claims 49–64, 66, 68–88, 94–97, 99–111, 113, 115–16, and 119–20 of the '342 Patent (collectively, the "Challenged Claims") are obvious as asserted by Petitioner and Dr. Geier in view of:

U.S. Patent No. 7,870,142 to Mark Michmerhuizen, *et al.*("Michmerhuizen," Ex. 1002);

Case IPR2018-00926 Patent No. 8,155,342

- ID3.org, *ID3v2 made easy* (bearing URL "http://id3.org/easy.html" and date "5/12/2003") and M. Nilsson, *ID3 tag version 2.3.0* (bearing URL "http://id3.org/id3v2.3.0.html and dates "3rd February 1999" and "5/12/2003") (collectively, "the ID3v2 References," Ex. 1004); and
- U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0215102 A1 to Ira Marlowe ("Marlowe," Ex. 1005).

 As set forth in detail below, in my expert opinion, the Challenged Claims are not obvious as asserted by Petitioner and Dr. Geier in view of Michmerhuizen, the ID3v2 References, and Marlowe.

II. QUALIFICATIONS

5. Ex. 2002 is a copy of my curriculum vitae that summarizes my education, work history and publications.

6. I have over 40 years of experience in the fields relevant to multimedia device integration. In that time, I have studied and researched signal processing, audio, and acoustics, including the creation of a signal, the communication of data through the signal from one device to another, and the interpretation of that signal by a human listener. While my main areas of current professional activity are primarily in signal processing for robust speech recognition and auditory perception, I have additionally studied and performed research in a wide range of related fields of audition, acoustics, signal processing, and instrumentation.

Stern Declaration

Case IPR2018-00926 Patent No. 8,155,342

7. I received an S.B. in Electrical Engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) in 1970; an M.S. in Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences from the University of California, Berkeley in 1972; and a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science from MIT in 1977.

8. While I was a student, I worked as a Teaching and Research Assistant in the Department of Electrical Engineering at MIT, from 1973 to 1976. My teaching experience was in the area of signal processing under the direct supervision of Professors Alan Oppenheim and Alan Willsky, and in the area of acoustics under the direct supervision of Professor Amar Bose. My research at MIT had been in the area of auditory perception.

9. I am a Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering at Carnegie Mellon University (CMU), where I have taught and carried out research since 1977. While my primary appointment is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, I am also a Professor by Courtesy in the Language Technologies Institute and Computer Science Department. I have also been a Lecturer in CMU's School of Music since 2008, and I was a Professor in CMU's Biomedical Engineering Department from 1977 to 1995. From 1995 to 2003, I was Associate Director of the CMU Information Networking Institute, where I was responsible for every aspect of its Master of Science in Information Networking, including admissions, curricular development and support, and student life. In

Stern Declaration

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.