

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC,

Petitioner

v.

BLITZSAFE TEXAS, LLC

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,155,342

Filing Date: June 27, 2006

Issue Date: April 10, 2012

Title: MULTIMEDIA DEVICE INTEGRATION SYSTEM

Case No. IPR2018-00926

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	U.S. PATENT 8,155,342	3
	A. Overview	3
	B. Priority Claim: The Effective Filing Date of the '342 Patent is June 27, 2006	9
	1. The '961 Application	10
	2. The '909 Application	11
	3. The '667 Application	12
	4. The '847 Application	13
	C. Prosecution History of “integration subsystem”	13
	D. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	14
III.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	15
IV.	CLAIMS 49-64, 66, 68-88, 94-97, 99-111, 113, 115, 116, 119 AND 120 OF THE '342 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE	15
	A. The Prior Art	16
	1. <i>Michmerhuizen</i>	16
	2. <i>Marlowe</i>	19
	3. <i>ID3v2</i>	21
	B. Ground 1: Claims 49-60, 62-64, 71, 73-84, 86-88, 95, 97, 99-107, 109-111, 113, and 120 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by <i>Michmerhuizen</i>	22
	1. Claim 49	22
	2. Claim 50 (and similarly claims 74 and 99): “said integration subsystem is positioned within the car audio/video system” and claim 51 (and similarly claim 75): “said first wireless interface is positioned within the car audio/video system”	36
	3. Claim 52 (and similarly claim 76): “said second wireless interface is positioned within the portable device”	37
	4. Claim 53 (and similarly claim 77): “said integration subsystem receives a control command issued at the car	

	audio/video system in a format incompatible with the portable device processes the control command into a formatted command compatible with the portable device and dispatches the processed control command to the portable device for execution thereby”	37
5.	Claim 54 (and similarly claim 78): “said integration subsystem receives data generated by the portable device in a format incompatible with the car audio/video system, processes the data into formatted data compatible with the car audio/video system, and transmits the processed data to the car audio/video system for subsequent display of the processed data on a display of the car audio/video system”	37
6.	Claim 55 (and similarly claims 79 and 102): “said integration subsystem further comprises a voice recognition subsystem for receiving and processing spoken control commands issued by a user”	38
7.	Claim 56 (and similarly claims 83 and 106): “said integration subsystem generates a device presence signal and transmits the device presence signal to the car audio/video system to maintain the car audio/video system in a state responsive to the portable device”	38
8.	Claim 57 (and similarly claims 80 and 103): “said integration subsystem instructs said portable device to play a desired file in response to a spoken command processed by the voice recognition subsystem”	40
9.	Claim 58 (and similarly claims 81 and 104): “said integration subsystem further comprises a speech synthesizer for generating synthesized speech corresponding to data generated by the portable device.”	40
10.	Claim 59 (and similarly claims 82 and 105): “said integration subsystem transmits the synthesized speech to the car audio/video system for subsequent playing of the synthesized speech by the car audio/video system”	41
11.	Claim 60 (and similarly claims 84 and 107): “the portable device comprises a portable receiver”	42

12.	Claim 62 (and similarly claims 86 and 109): “the portable device comprises a portable digital media player,” claim 63 (and similarly claims 87 and 110): “the portable digital media player comprises a video device, a portable media center, a portable media player, an MP3 player, an MP4 player, a WMV player, an Apple iPod, or an Apple video iPod,” claim 64 (and similarly claims 88 and 111) “the portable device comprises a cellular telephone”	43
13.	Claim 71 (and similarly claims 95 and 100): “the audio file comprises a song stored on the portable device”	43
14.	Independent claim 73	43
15.	Independent claim 97	45
16.	Claim 101: “the audio file is received by the portable device”	46
17.	Claim 113: “The system of claim 97, wherein said integration subsystem channels video generated by the portable device to the car audio/video system over the wireless communication link for subsequent playing of the audio on the car audio/ video system, the video corresponding to a video file played by the portable device”	47
18.	Independent claim 120	47
C.	Ground 2: Claims 66, 69, 70, 72, 94, 96, 116, and 119 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over <i>Michmerhuizen</i>	49
1.	Claim 66 (and similarly claim 94)	49
2.	Claim 69 (and similarly claim 116): “the video file comprises a video clip stored on the portable device”	51
3.	Claim 70 (and similarly claim 119): “said integration subsystem receives video generated by the portable device in a first format incompatible with the car audio/video system, processes the video into processed video in a second format compatible with the car audio/video system, and transmits the processed video to the car audio/video system for subsequent display of the processed video on a display of the car audio/video system”	51

4.	Claim 72 (and similarly claim 96): “the portable device is connected to the Internet, and said integration device processes information generated by the portable device and transmits processed information to the car audio/video system so that the display of the car audio/video system operates as an Internet browser”	52
D.	Ground 3 Claims 66, 68, 94 and 115 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over <i>Michmerhuizen</i> in view of <i>ID3v2</i>	53
1.	Claim 66 (and similarly claim 94)	53
2.	Claim 68 (and similarly claim 115) obvious: “the video file comprises a picture stored on the portable device”	56
E.	Ground 4: Claims 49-64, 66, 69-88, 94-97, 99-111, 113, 116, 119, and 120 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over <i>Michmerhuizen</i> in view of <i>Marlowe</i>	57
1.	Claim 49	57
2.	Claim 50 (and similarly claims 74 and 99), and claim 51 (and similarly claim 75)	64
3.	Claim 52 (and similarly claim 76)	64
4.	Claim 53 (and similarly claim 77)	64
5.	Claim 54 (and similarly claim 78)	64
6.	Claim 55 (and similarly claims 79 and 102)	65
7.	Claim 56 (and similarly claims 83 and 106)	65
8.	Claim 57 (and similarly claims 80 and 103)	65
9.	Claim 58 (and similarly claims 81 and 104)	65
10.	Claim 59 (and similarly claims 82 and 105)	66
11.	Claim 60 (and similarly claims 84 and 107)	66
12.	Claim 61 (and similarly claims 85 and 108): “the portable receiver comprises a digital audio broadcast (DAB) receiver, a high definition (HD) radio receiver, or a satellite receiver”	66
13.	Claim 62 (and similarly claims 86 and 109), claim 63 (and similarly claims 87 and 110), claim 64 (and similarly claims 88 and 111)	66
14.	Claim 66 (and similarly claim 94)	67

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.