UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

CERTAIN ROBOTIC VACUUM CLEANING DEVICES AND COMPONENTS THEREOF SUCH AS SPARE PARTS Inv. No. 337-TA-1057

ORDER NO. 27: CONSTRUING TERMS OF THE ASSERTED PATENTS

(November 9, 2017)

The claim terms construed in this Order are done so for the purposes of this Investigation. Hereafter, discovery and briefing in this Investigation shall be governed by the construction of the claim terms in this Order. Those terms not in dispute need not be construed. *See Vanderlande Indus. Nederland BV v. Int'l Trade Comm'n*, 366 F.3d 1311, 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2004) (noting that the administrative law judge need only construe disputed claim terms).



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| INTR | TRODUCTION1 | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| RELE | EVANT LAW2 | | | | |
| LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL | | | | | |
| A. | Complainant's Contentions | | | | |
| B. | Resp | ondents' Contentions | 8 | | |
| C. | Anal | ysis | 9 | | |
| CLAI | M CO | NSTRUCTION OF AGREED TERMS | 9 | | |
| CLAIM CONSTRUCTION OF DISPUTED TERMS | | | | | |
| A. | "A SENSOR SUBSYSTEM FOR AN AUTONOMOUS ROBOT WHICH RIDES ON A SURFACE" / "A SENSOR SUBSYSTEM FOR AN AUTONOMOUS ROBOT" | | | | |
| | 1. | Complainant's Contentions | 12 | | |
| | 2. | Respondents' Contentions | 14 | | |
| | 3. | Analysis | 15 | | |
| В. | "НО | USING" | 18 | | |
| | 1. | Complainant's Contentions | 19 | | |
| • | 2. | Respondents' Contentions | 20 | | |
| • | 3. | Analysis | 21 | | |
| C. | "CONTROL SYSTEM CONFIGURED TO OPERATE THE ROBOT IN A PLURALITY OF OPERATIONAL MODES AND TO SELECT FROM AMONG THE PLURALITY OF MODES IN REAL TIME IN RESPONSE TO SIGNALS GENERATED BY THE OBSTACLE DETECTION SENSOR" | | | | |
| | 1. | Complainant's Contentions | 23 | | |
| | 2. | Respondents' Contentions | 25 | | |
| | 3. | Analysis | 28 | | |
| D. | "SPEED SETTING" | | | | |
| | 1. | Complainant's Contentions | 33 | | |
| | RELECTION A. B. C. CLAI A. B. C. CLAI CLAI CLAI CLAI A. | RELEVANT LEVEL OF A. Com B. Resp C. Anal CLAIM CO CLAIM CO A. "A S ON A ROB 1. 2. 3. B. "HO 1. 2. 3. C. "CO PLU THE GEN 1. 2. 3. D. "SPE | RELEVANT LAW LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL A. Complainant's Contentions B. Respondents' Contentions C. Analysis | | |



| | | 2. | Respondents' Contentions | 35 |
|-----|-----|--------|---|----|
| | | 3. | Analysis | 37 |
| | E. | "HE | ADING SETTING" | 38 |
| | | 1. | Complainant's Contentions | 39 |
| | | 2. | Respondents' Contentions | 39 |
| | | 3. | Analysis | 40 |
| | F. | "WH | HILE CONTINUING TOWARDS THE OBJECT" | 41 |
| | | 1. | Complainant's Contentions | 41 |
| | | 2. | Respondents' Contentions | 42 |
| | | 3. | Analysis | 44 |
| | G. | "INS | STRUCTIONS CONFIGURED TO CAUSE A PROCESSOR" | 45 |
| | | 1. | Complainant's Contentions | 47 |
| | | 2. | Respondents' Contentions | 50 |
| | | 3. | Analysis | 51 |
| VI. | CON | ICLUSI | IONS OF LAW | 55 |

TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS

| CIMB | Complainant's Initial Markman Brief | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|--|
| CRMB | Complainant's Reply Markman Brief | |
| CRIVID | | |
| CBPB | Complainant's Bullet Point Brief | |
| RIMB | Respondents' Initial Markman Brief | |
| RRMB | Respondents' Reply Markman Brief | |
| RBPB | Respondents' Bullet Point Brief | |
| Hr'g Tr. | Transcript of the Markman Hearing | |

I. INTRODUCTION

By publication of a notice in the Federal Register on May 23, 2017, the U.S. International Trade Commission ordered that:

Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain document cameras and software for use therewith by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–3, 7, 12, and 42 of the '490 patent; claims 1–3, 7, 11, 12, 17, 19, 20, 28, and 34 of the '308 patent; claims 1–3, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, and 17–19 of the '090 patent; claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 11, 12, 21, 22, and 25 of the '553 patent; claims 1, 10, 11, and 14–16 of the '233 patent; and claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 12, and 13 of the '924 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

82 FR 23593 (May 23, 2017). The Complainant in this Investigation is iRobot Corporation ("iRobot"). The named respondents are Hoover Inc., Royal Appliance Manufacturing Co., d/b/a TTI Floor Care North America Inc., The Black & Decker Corporation, Black & Decker (U.S.) Inc., Shenzhen Silver Star Intelligent Technology Co., Ltd., BISSELL Homecare, Inc., Matsutek Enterprises Co., Ltd., Bobsweep, Inc., Bobsweep USA, Shenzhen Zhiyi Technology Co., Ltd., Suzhou Real Power Electric Appliance Co., Ltd. ("Respondents"). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations has not and will not participate as a party in this Investigation.

On July 13, 2017, I issued the procedural schedule for this investigation. (*See* Order No. 11.) In accordance with that schedule, the parties exchanged: (i) on July 28, 2017, their lists of proposed terms for construction, as required by G.R. 8.1; and (ii) on August 8, 2017, their preliminary constructions for those terms, as required by G.R. 8.2. The parties filed their Joint Claim Construction Chart on August 18, 2017. Thereafter, on September 1, 2017, the parties filed their initial claim construction briefs, and, on September 15, 2017, the rebuttal claim construction briefs.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

