	ed States Patent	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER I P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22 www.uspto.gov	FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/553,107	09/03/2009	Brian Ault	POZN.P0026US	5949
108197 Parker Highlan	7590 06/16/2014 der PLLC	EXAMINER		
1120 South Cap	oital of Texas Highway	JUSTICE, GINA CHIEUN YU		
Bldg. 1, Suite 200 Austin, TX 78746			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2014	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@phiplaw.com

Δ

		Application No. 12/553,107		Applicant(s) AULT ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner GINA C. YU JUSTICE	Art Unit 1617	AIA (First Inventor to Fil Status No	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	n the corresponden		
THIS CO - Exter after - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep on. beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date o NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133	f this communication.	
	eply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	mailing date of this communication, even if tir	nely filed, may reduce any		
Status	Deepensive to communication(a) filed on	loguery 20, 2012			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CF		_		
		This action is non-final.	<u>.</u>		
/	An election was made by the applicant in		ment set forth durii	ng the interview on	
	; the restriction requirement and ele	ction have been incorporated in	to this action.	-	
4)	Since this application is in condition for all	-	•	to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims*				
-	Claim(s) <u>19,29,33,34,40,42 and 45</u> is/are				
	5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>19,29,33,34,40,42 and 45</u> is/are	rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
9)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a		at Duasacution High		
9) * If any cla	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may	be eligible to benefit from the Pater		way program at a	
9) * If any cla participatir	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ng intellectual property office for the correspond	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more informatio	n, please see	way program at a	
9) * If any cla participatir <u>http://www</u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ng intellectual property office for the correspond .uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more informatio	n, please see	iway program at a	
9) * If any cla participatir http://www Applicati	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ng intellectual property office for the correspond <u>.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more informatio send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u>	n, please see	way program at a	
9) * If any cla participatir http://www Applicati 10)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ng intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more informatio send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner.	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> .	iway program at a	
9) * If any cla participatir <u>http://www</u> Applicati 10)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ng intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner.] accepted or b)□ objected to b	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner.		
9) * If any cla participatir http://www Applicati 10)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ng intellectual property office for the correspond <u>.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner. accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85	(a).	
9) * If any cla participatir <u>http://www</u> Applicati 10) 11)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ng intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner. accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85	(a).	
9) + If any cla participatir <u>http://www</u> Applicati 10) 11) Priority u	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ng intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co under 35 U.S.C. § 119	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner. accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc prrection is required if the drawing(s	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85) is objected to. See	(a).	
9) * If any cla participatir <u>http://www</u> Applicati 10) 11) Priority u 12) 12)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ing intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner. accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc prrection is required if the drawing(s	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85) is objected to. See	(a).	
9) * If any cla participatir <u>http://www</u> Applicati 10) 11) Priority L 12) Certif	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ing intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for fied copies:	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner. accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc prrection is required if the drawing(s	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85) is objected to. See	(a).	
9) * If any cla participatir <u>http://www</u> Applicati 10) 11) Priority L 12) Certif	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ng intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for fied copies: All b) Some** c) None of the:	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner. accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand prection is required if the drawing(s reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85) is objected to. See	(a).	
9) * If any cla participatir <u>http://www</u> Applicati 10) 11) Priority L 12) Certif	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ing intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) [Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for fied copies: [All b) [Some** c) [None of the: 1. [Certified copies of the priority docu	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner.] accepted or b) objected to b the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc porrection is required if the drawing(s reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85) is objected to. See 119(a)-(d) or (f).	(a). 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
9) * If any cla participatir <u>http://www</u> Applicati 10) 11) Priority L 12) Certif	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ng intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for fied copies: All b) Some** c) None of the:	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner. accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyance prection is required if the drawing(s reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § ments have been received.	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85) is objected to. See 119(a)-(d) or (f).	(a). 37 CFR 1.121(d). 	
9) * If any cla participatir <u>http://www</u> Applicati 10) 11) Priority L 12) Certif	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ing intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for fied copies: All b) Some** c) None of the: 1 Certified copies of the priority docu 2 Certified copies of the priority docu	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner. accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand prection is required if the drawing(s precipient of the drawing set of the d	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85) is objected to. See 119(a)-(d) or (f).	(a). 37 CFR 1.121(d). 	
9) * If any cla participatir http://www Applicati 10) 11) Priority u 12) Certif a)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ng intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for fied copies: All b) Some** c) None of the: 1 Certified copies of the priority docu 2 Certified copies of the priority docu 3 Copies of the certified copies of the	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner.] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance prection is required if the drawing(s) reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § ments have been received. Imments have been received in App e priority documents have been in ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85) is objected to. See 119(a)-(d) or (f).	(a). 37 CFR 1.121(d). 	
9) * If any cla participatir <u>http://www</u> Applicati 10) 11) Priority u 12) Certi a)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ing intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) [Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for fied copies: [AIIb) [Some** c) [None of the: 1 Certified copies of the priority docu 3 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner.] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance prection is required if the drawing(s) reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § ments have been received. Imments have been received in App e priority documents have been in ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85) is objected to. See 119(a)-(d) or (f).	(a). 37 CFR 1.121(d). 	
 9)□ * If any cla participatir http://www Applicati 10)□ 11)□ Priority L 12)□ Certifaa) ** See the 	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ing intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co- inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for fied copies: All b) Some** c) None of the: 1 Certified copies of the priority docu 2 Certified copies of the priority docu 3 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bi attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified the complexation for a list of the certified copies of the priority docu	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner.] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance prection is required if the drawing(s) reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § ments have been received. Imments have been received in App e priority documents have been in ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85) is objected to. See 119(a)-(d) or (f).	(a). 37 CFR 1.121(d). 	
9) * If any cla participatir http://www Applicati 10) 11) Priority L 12) Certin a) ** See the Attachmen	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ing intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co- inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for fied copies: All b) Some** c) None of the: 1 Certified copies of the priority docu 2 Certified copies of the priority docu 3 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bi attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified the complexation for a list of the certified copies of the priority docu	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner.] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance prection is required if the drawing(s) reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § ments have been received. Imments have been received in App e priority documents have been in ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n, please see uspto.gov. y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85) is objected to. See 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this Na	(a). 37 CFR 1.121(d). 	
9) 1 * If any cla participatir <u>http://www Applicati 10) 11) Priority L 12) Certif a) ** See the Attachmen 1) □ Notic 2) ☑ Inform </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a ims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may ing intellectual property office for the correspond <u>uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</u> or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for fied copies: All b) Some** c) None of the: 1 Certified copies of the priority docu 2 Certified copies of the priority docu 3 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified the complexity office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority docu	be eligible to benefit from the Pater ling application. For more information send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@</u> miner.] accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand prection is required if the drawing(s reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § meets have been received. Imments have been received in Apple priority documents have been to ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Certified copies not received.	n, please see <u>uspto.gov</u> . y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85) is objected to. See 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National matry (PTO-413) /Mail Date	(a). 37 CFR 1.121(d). 	

Application/Control Number: 12/553,107 Art Unit: 1617

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 30, 2013 has been entered.

All claim rejections of the previous Office action dated June 30, 2012 are withdrawn in view of applicant's disclosure submitted on January 30, 2013. More specifically, the teachings of Hassan-Alin et al. ("Lack of drug-drug interaction between esomeprazole and naproxen in healthy subjects", Gastroenterology, 124(4), Supp. 1, p.

A541, April 2003) prompted new grounds of rejection.

DOCKF

New: Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 12/553,107 Art Unit: 1617

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 19, 29, 33, 34, 40, 42 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hassan-Alin et al. ("Lack of drug-drug interaction between esomeprazole and naproxen in healthy subjects", Gastroenterology, 124(4), Supp. 1, p. A541, April 2003) ("Hassan-Alin" hereunder) in view of Plachetka (US 6926907 B2).

Hassan-Alin that no drug-drug interactions between esomeprazole and naproxen was observed in a study conducted with 32 healthy subjects and mean weight of 69 Kg who received once/day dose of 40 mg of esomeprazole and twice/day 250 mg of naproxen or the two drugs in combination for 7 days. Blood samples for determination of the drugs were collected 24 hours post-dose on day 7 and were analyzed using normal-phase liquid chromatography with UV-detection. Pharmacokinetic parameters o[the two drugs were estimated by non-compartmental analysis and were calculated using analysis of variance (ANOVA). The study teaches that naproxen was chosen as a widely used representative of non-selective NSAIDs. The reference also teaches that **esomeprazole provides more time with intragastric pH>4 than other proton pump** *inhibitors and is expected to be even more effective than these for the prevention* of NSAID-associated ulcers and provide GI protection. The study concludes that

Application/Control Number: 12/553,107 Art Unit: 1617

DOCKE

RM

there was no evidence of any increase of adverse events as esomeprazole was well tolerated both alone and in combination in naproxen. The study further suggests that naproxen can be administered without dosage alteration, which is interpreted to mean that the amount of the NSAID which potentially damages GI track does not need to be reduced.

The user group of the Hassan-Alin study were healthy individuals and not in need of NSAID therapy as presently claimed. The regime in the study is also different from the claimed method in that the presently claimed method requires AM and PM dosage of 500 mg naproxen, which is greater than the amount used in prior art (250 mg twice a day). However, administering to patients having inflammatory diseases an amount of a NSAID greater than what was given to healthy subjects would have been obvious to those skilled in pharmaceutical art.

For example, Plachetka teaches a method for a coordinated delivery of naproxen in a gastroprotective, antiarthritic/analgesic combination unit dosage form to achieve pain and symptom relief with a reduced risk of developing gastrointestinal damage such as ulcers, erosions and hemorrhages. See abstract. Regarding the amount of naproxen in claim 19, Plachetka defines the effective amount of the NSAID in the specification, col. 6, lines 6 - 11:

Naproxen is particularly useful when contained in tablets or capsules in an amount from 250 to 500 mg. For naproxen sodium, tablets of about 275 or about 550 mg are typically used. Initial doses of from 100 to 1250 mg, and particularly 350 to 800 mg are also used, with doses of about 550 mg being generally preferred.

The reference also teaches, "[t]he most preferred NSAID is naproxen in an amount of between 50 mg and 1500 mg, and more preferably, in an amount of between 200 mg and 600 mg. See col. 4, lines 45-47.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.