

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2018-00884  
Patent 8,539,552 B1

---

Record of Oral Hearing  
Held: July 15, 2019

---

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KARL D. EASTHOM, and  
SEAN P. O'HANLON, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

Case IPR2018-00884  
Patent 8,539,552 B1

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

ADAM P. SEITZ, ESQ.  
PAUL R. HART, ESQ.  
Erise IP  
7015 College Boulevard, Suite 700  
Overland Park, Kansas 66211  
(913) 777-5600  
adam.seitz@eriseip.com  
paul.hart@eriseip.com

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

BRETT MANGRUM, ESQ.  
Etheridge Law Group  
2600 E. Southlake Boulevard, Suite 130  
Southlake, Texas 76092  
469-401-2659  
brett@etheridgelaw.com

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Monday, July 15, 2019, commencing at 1:00 p.m. at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

PROCEEDINGS

- - - - -

1:02 p.m.

JUDGE O'HANLON: Please be seated. Good afternoon, everyone. This is a hearing in Case Number 2018-00884, Apple, Inc. versus Uniloc 2017, LLC, formerly Uniloc Luxembourg SA.

I'd like to begin by having counsel for the parties introduce their selves. Beginning with the Petitioner, please?

MR. SEITZ: Good afternoon, Your Honors. Adam Seitz for Petitioner Apple. With me is my partner, Paul Hart. And then behind me is Ben Huh from Apple, in house counsel.

JUDGE O'HANLON: Thank you. And Patent Owner?

MR. MANGRUM: Good afternoon, Your Honors. Brett Mangrum. I'm with the Etheridge Law Group. I'll be representing the Uniloc entities today.

JUDGE O'HANLON: Thank you. I'm Judge O'Hanlon. I'm joined on my right by Judge Medley. On my left I have Judge Easthom.

Per our order dated June 11, each side will have 45 minutes to argue. Petitioner will go first. Patent Owner will go second. Either side can reserve time for rebuttal.

If you run over during your argument in chief, I will reset the clock with your reserve time. I will endeavor to let you know when that happens, but please be mindful of the clock and the lamps.

1           As usual, speaking objections are not allowed. If you have anything  
2 to note, you can do so during your time to argue. And I'll also ask counsel  
3 who are referencing demonstratives to please note the slide number for the  
4 record.

5           And with that I'll ask Mr. Seitz to begin. Would you like to reserve  
6 any time for rebuttal?

7           MR. SEITZ: I'd like to reserve 15 minutes, please, Your Honor.

8           JUDGE O'HANLON: Fifteen minutes.

9           MR. SEITZ: And as a matter of housekeeping, I have paper copies  
10 of the demonstratives. So, I know most people prefer electronic these days.  
11 But if any of Your Honors prefer paper, I certainly have them available.

12          JUDGE O'HANLON: I think we're set with our electronic copies.

13          MR. SEITZ: Very good.

14          JUDGE O'HANLON: So you said 15 minutes. So I'll set the clock  
15 for 30. Please begin.

16          MR. SEITZ: Thank you, Your Honors. May it please the Court.

17          I'd like to start today referring to demonstrative two, with an  
18 understanding of the purpose of the '552, the solution it was trying to solve.  
19 And then compare that to the primary reference that we'll be discussing  
20 today, which is the Kalmanek reference.

21          During the course of the briefing there was some discussion on  
22 whether these two references are even solving the same problem or  
23 addressing the same issue. And I want to start there to set our discussion for  
24 the rest of the day.

1           So the '552 patent teaches a centralized service authentication that is  
2 designed to prevent theft by intelligent end points. So the intelligent end  
3 points in the '552 patent are what we would look at today as IP phones.

4           They are smarter phones that have much more processing capability.  
5 That can handle the call set up and much of the signaling that traditionally  
6 would have been taking place on a network by a service provider.

7           These phones, however, have that processing capability. Could set  
8 up phone calls amongst themselves, and in doing so, would cut out the  
9 service provider and the revenue they would have made from otherwise  
10 allowing certain services, call waiting, caller ID, those types of traditional  
11 services.

12           So the invention of the '552 was directed at using a central service  
13 authentication that would intercept signals from these intelligent end points.  
14 And then authorize the services.

15           I'm going to jump forward to demonstrative four. Which is Figure 1  
16 of the '552 patent. It provides the basic network architecture for how this is  
17 accomplished in the '552 system.

18           Here, depicted in blue, are two intelligent end points, two user  
19 phones. They are communicating with each other through the core packet  
20 network.

21           You access the core packet network through the border elements,  
22 which are depicted in green. And then in the yellow box, at the top of EX-4  
23 are the components in the system that are responsible for intercepting the

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.