

From: Brett Mangrum brett@etheridgelaw.com
Subject: RE: IPR2018-00884: Dr. Easttom Deposition
Date: March 7, 2019 at 7:21 AM
To: Paul Hart paul.hart@eriseip.com
Cc: Danny Butts danny@etheridgelaw.com, Ryan Loveless ryan@etheridgelaw.com, Jeff Huang jeff@etheridgelaw.com, Jim Etheridge jim@etheridgelaw.com, Adam Seitz adam.seitz@eriseip.com



Paul:

As we stated in prior communication, the Patent Owner Response ONLY cites Dr. Easttom's declaration (EX2001 ¶¶ 8-9) for the sole proposition that "a POSITA would understand that the entity intercepting a message would not be one of the intended recipients of that message." Our position is that it would be a waste of the parties resources to schedule a deposition that, under the rules, must be restricted to that sole proposition. Indeed, Petitioner has not indicated whether that straightforward proposition is even disputed. Please do so. Given your insistence in scheduling a deposition, we can only assume that you intend to expand the scope of the deposition beyond what the rules allow. Please clarify your position as to the scope and the authority in support of your position. Alternatively, and in the interest of compromise, we would not be opposed to you submitting a limited number of interrogatory questions directed to the single proposition for which the declaration is cited.

Regards,
Brett

From: Paul Hart <paul.hart@eriseip.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 2:58 PM
To: Brett Mangrum <brett@etheridgelaw.com>
Cc: Danny Butts <danny@etheridgelaw.com>; Ryan Loveless <ryan@etheridgelaw.com>; Jeff Huang <jeff@etheridgelaw.com>; Jim Etheridge <jim@etheridgelaw.com>; Adam Seitz <adam.seitz@eriseip.com>
Subject: Re: IPR2018-00884: Dr. Easttom Deposition

Brett,

Putting this back to the top of your inbox. Please let us know Uniloc's position.

To the extent we do not hear from you on this issue, your silence will be interpreted as confirmation that (1) you will not provide Dr. Easttom for cross examination and (2) you will not oppose a motion to strike his declaration and any references or citations thereto following the close of our discovery period.

Best,
Paul

Paul Hart | Shareholder
Erise IP, P.A.
5600 Greenwood Plaza Blvd.
Suite 200
Greenwood Village, CO 80111

(main) 913-777-5600
(direct) 720-689-5441
(fax) 913-777-5601
paul.hart@eriseip.com
www.eriseip.com

On Feb 28, 2019, at 9:43 AM, Paul Hart <paul.hart@eriseip.com> wrote:

Brett,

Given the Court's ruling (attached) that striking Easttom's declaration is premature prior to the expiration of our discovery period, we wanted to follow up with you all on next steps. To the extent that you are maintaining your prior position, please confirm that (1) you will not provide Dr. Easttom for cross examination and (2) you will not oppose a motion to strike his declaration and any references or citations thereto following the close of our discovery period. Otherwise, please provide dates of availability for Dr. Easttom so Petitioner can take his deposition in advance of our Reply.

Best,
Paul

Paul Hart | Shareholder
Erise IP, P.A.
5600 Greenwood Plaza Blvd.
Suite 200
Greenwood Village, CO 80111
(main) 913-777-5600
(direct) 720-689-5441
(fax) 913-777-5601
paul.hart@eriseip.com
www.eriseip.com

<Order denying motion to strike Easttom's Dec.pdf>

On Feb 21, 2019, at 9:44 AM, Paul Hart <paul.hart@eriseip.com> wrote:

Brett,

Here's what we intend to send the Board by COB this afternoon:

>>>

In IPR2018-00884, Petitioner Apple respectfully requests permission to file an Unopposed Motion to Strike under 37 CFR 42.5(a) and 42.20(a). Specifically, the Petitioner seeks to strike the Easttom Declaration (Exhibit 2001) and all references or citations to the declaration in any filing as an alternative to making the declarant available for cross-examination. Petitioner and Patent Owner have conferred, and Patent Owner indicates that they do not oppose the motion.

If needed, Petitioner and Patent Owner are available for a Board call on Monday or Tuesday next week (2/25 or 2/26) between 11:00am and 3pm EDT.

>>>

Paul Hart | Shareholder
Erise IP, P.A.
5600 Greenwood Plaza Blvd.
Suite 200
Greenwood Village, CO 80111
(main) 913-777-5600
(direct) 720-689-5441
(fax) 913-777-5601
paul.hart@eriseip.com
www.eriseip.com

On Feb 21, 2019, at 7:00 AM, Brett Mangrum
<brett@etheridgelaw.com> wrote:

Paul,

Please provide us a draft copy of the email you intend to send to the Board. While we do not believe a call with the Board is necessary, we can make ourselves available either Monday or Tuesday of next week from 10am to 2pm (CST).

Regards,
Brett

From: Paul Hart <paul.hart@eriseip.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2019 11:52 AM
To: Brett Mangrum <brett@etheridgelaw.com>

cc: Barry Bates <barry@etheridgelaw.com>, Ryan Loveless <ryan@etheridgelaw.com>; Jeff Huang <jeff@etheridgelaw.com>; Jim Etheridge <jim@etheridgelaw.com>; Adam Seitz <adam.seitz@eriseip.com>

Subject: Re: IPR2018-00884: Dr. Easttom Deposition

Counsel,

We've had a chance to confer with our client, and we agree that an unopposed motion to strike Dr. Easttom's declaration and any references or citations thereto is an acceptable alternative to cross examination. We'll reach out to the Board to request permission for the filing. To the extent they would like to convene a conference call to discuss, what's your availability next week? We can generally make ourselves available at any time next week.

Best,
Paul

Paul Hart | Shareholder
Erise IP, P.A.

5600 Greenwood Plaza Blvd.
Suite 200
Greenwood Village, CO 80111
(main) 913-777-5600
(direct) 720-689-5441
(fax) 913-777-5601
paul.hart@eriseip.com
www.eriseip.com

On Feb 7, 2019, at 2:07 PM, Brett Mangrum <brett@etheridgelaw.com> wrote:

Counsel,

Uniloc cites to Dr. Easttom's declaration solely for the proposition that "a POSITA would understand that the entity intercepting a message would not be one of the intended recipients of that message. EX2001 ¶¶ 8-9. In other words, a POSITA

would understand that the device that is intercepting a message would be a third party to the intended recipients of that message.”

Under these circumstances, Uniloc believes a cross examination of Dr. Easttom is a waste of the parties’ time and resources. If you still disagree, Uniloc would not oppose a motion to strike Dr. Easttom’s declaration.

Regards,
Brett

From: Paul Hart <paul.hart@eriseip.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 5, 2019 3:07 PM
To: Danny Butts
<danny@etheridgelaw.com>; Ryan Loveless
<ryan@etheridgelaw.com>; Brett Mangrum
<brett@etheridgelaw.com>; Jeff Huang
<jeff@etheridgelaw.com>; Jim Etheridge
<jim@etheridgelaw.com>
Cc: Adam Seitz <adam.seitz@eriseip.com>
Subject: IPR2018-00884: Dr. Easttom
Deposition

Counsel,

We would like to schedule Dr. Easttom’s deposition in IPR2018-00884. The week of March 18 works well on our end. Please let us know if Dr. Easttom is available any day that week.

Thanks very much.

Best,
Paul

Paul Hart | Shareholder
Erise IP, P.A.
5600 Greenwood Plaza Blvd.
Suite 200
Greenwood Village, CO 80111
(main) 913-777-5600
(direct) 720-689-5441
(fax) 913-777-5601
paul.hart@eriseip.com
www.eriseip.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.