

Paper No. ____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

FUJIFILM CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2018-00877
Patent No. 6,462,905

**PETITIONER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRO HAC VICE
ADMISSION OF NATHAN R. SPEED**

EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,462,905
1002	File History for U.S. Patent No. 6,462,905
1003	CV of Mr. Thomas W. von Alten
1004	Declaration of Mr. Thomas W. von Alten
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,901,916 (“McAllister-I”)
1006	Japanese Patent Publication No. H11-273307 (“Mizutani”)
1007	European Patent Publication No. 0 284 687 A2 (“Laverriere”)
1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,927,633 (“McAllister-II”)
1009	File History for European Patent No. 1 098 320 B1
1010	Japanese Patent Publication No. S63-11776 (“Morita-I”)
1011	European Patent Publication No. 0 926 676 A1 (“Morita-II”)
1012	Japanese Patent Publication No. H11-288571 (“Tsuyuki”)
1013	International Patent Publication No. WO 99/41513 (“Betzler”)
1014	Fujifilm Corp. and Fujifilm Recording Media U.S.A., Inc.’s Proposed Constructions in <i>Certain Magnetic Data Storage Tapes and Cartridges Containing the Same</i> , 337-TA-1076 (dated Jan. 18, 2018)
1015	Summary of Petitioner’s Proposed Claim Constructions
1016	Redline Comparison of Issued Claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,462,905 and Original Claim 4 of EP 1 098 320 B1
1017	U.S. Patent No. 2,778,636
1018	Excerpt from FUNK & WAGNALLS NEW INTERNATIONAL DICTIONARY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE (2000)
1019	Excerpt from THE AMERICAN HERITAGE DICTIONARY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE (2011)
1020	Excerpt from THE AMERICAN HERITAGE DICTIONARY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE (2011)
1021	Works, G., “CURVIC COUPLING DESIGN,” <i>Gear Technology</i>

	(November/December 1986)
1022	Excerpt from WEBSTER'S ENCYCLOPEDIA UNABRIDGED DICTIONARY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE (1989)
1023	Excerpt from RANDOM HOUSE UNABRIDGED DICTIONARY (1993)
1024	U.S. Patent No. 1,660,792
1025	Claim Comparison of Original Claim 4 of EP 1 098 320 B1 and Amended Claim 1 of EP 1 098 320 B1
1026	Claim Element Comparison of Primary References
1027	Standard ECMA-120 (Dec. 1993)
1028	Standard ECMA-196 (Dec. 1993)
1029	European Patent No. 1 098 320 B1
1030	Declaration of Nathan R. Speed in Support of Motion for Admission <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>

I. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) and Paper No. 3, Sony Corporation (“Petitioner”) respectfully requests that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board admit Nathan R.. Speed *pro hac vice* in this proceeding, IPR2018-00877. Petitioner is concurrently seeking admission of Mr. Speed *pro hac vice* in related case, IPR2018-00876. Patent Owner has been consulted and has indicated it does not object to Mr. Speed being admitted *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS SHOWING GOOD CAUSE FOR THE BOARD TO RECOGNIZE COUNSEL *PRO HAC VICE* DURING THE PROCEEDING

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions that the Board may impose. Section 42.10(c) indicates that “where lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon a showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” The facts here establish good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Speed *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

First, as set forth in Mr. Speed's declaration (Ex-1030) at paragraphs H through J, Mr. Speed has familiarity with the subject matter at issue in these proceedings. He has worked on preparing and reviewing the materials submitted in this proceeding.

Second, Mr. Speed has extensive patent litigation experience and is expected to use his experience to support Lead Counsel during the proceedings, including during depositions. Good cause exists to have Petitioner appoint as counsel Mr. Speed, as a litigator, to assist Lead Counsel.

Furthermore, as set forth in his declaration, Mr. Speed attests to all of the remaining matters set forth in Paper No. 7 from *Unified Patents v. Parallel Iron*, Case IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013).

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board admit Nathan R. Speed *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted
Sony Corporation

Date: July 23, 2018

/Richard Giunta /

Richard F. Giunta, Reg. No. 36,149
Michael N. Rader, Reg. No. 52,146
Randy J. Pritzker, Reg. No. 35,986
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
600 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, MA 02210
(617) 646-8000 Phone
(617) 646-8646 Fax

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.