

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY CORPORATION,
Petitioner

v.

FUJIFILM CORPORATION,
Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2018-00877
Patent 6,462,905

**PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.64
TO PETITIONER'S EVIDENCE SUBMITTED WITH
PETITIONER'S REPLY IN *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S.
PATENT NO. 6,462,905**

Patent Owner's Objections to Petitioner's Evidence for IPR2018-00877

FUJIFILM Corporation ("Patent Owner") respectfully submits the following objections to exhibits filed by Petitioner on March 27, 2019, in conjunction with Petitioner's Reply in the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,462,905 ("Petitioner's Reply"). These objections are made within five business days of service of evidence to which the objection is directed on March 27, 2019 (*see* Paper No. 21).

The following chart lists Patent Owner's objections to the admissibility of certain evidence (identified below) that is included within or accompanies the Petitioner's Reply and the basis for those objections:

Objected to Exhibit(s)	Basis for Objection
<p>Ex. 1033 ("Reply Declaration of Thomas W. Von Alten")</p> <p>¶¶ 7, 9-11, 15, 19, 21, 23, 26, 33, 34, 36, 43, 45, 46, 48, 49, 51-53, 57, 59, and 68.</p>	<p>FRE 702: The opinions expressed in these paragraphs are based on insufficient facts or data, do not help the trier of fact understand the evidence or determine a fact in issue, are not the product of reliable principles and methods, and include analysis that is not reliably applied to the facts of the case.</p> <p>FRE 802: This evidence cites to and incorporates hearsay. Because no hearsay exception applies, the identified paragraphs are inadmissible in this</p>

Patent Owner's Objections to Petitioner's Evidence for IPR2018-00877

Objected to Exhibit(s)	Basis for Objection
	<p>proceeding. For example, the declaration relies upon exhibits which, as explained below, are inadmissible.</p> <p>FRE 401-403: This evidence is irrelevant to the extent it cites to or relies on an exhibit or document not relied upon by Petitioner in any Ground of its <i>inter partes</i> review Petition, or which has not been served, authenticated or proven as a prior art reference.</p>
<p>Ex. 1034 ("ECMA-319")</p> <p>Ex. 1036 ("Definition of Projection")</p>	<p>FRE 901: These exhibits have not been authenticated. Petitioner has not provided sufficient evidence regarding the origin of the documents or whether the documents are true and correct copies.</p> <p>FRE 802-803: This evidence cites to and incorporates hearsay. The exhibits include statements made outside the proceeding and relied upon for the truth of the matters asserted therein. For example, the exhibits purport to establish the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill at the time of the '905 Patent's filing date, or the purported publication or access dates of the</p>

Patent Owner's Objections to Petitioner's Evidence for IPR2018-00877

Objected to Exhibit(s)	Basis for Objection
	<p>exhibits. Because no hearsay exception applies, the statements are inadmissible in this proceeding.</p> <p>FRE 401-403: These exhibits are irrelevant because they have not been authenticated and proven as prior art references. These exhibits were only included in the "APPENDIX LISTING OF EXHIBITS" in Petitioner's Reply, and were not cited to in any other section of Petitioner's Reply or its <i>inter partes</i> review Petition. Therefore, these exhibits are irrelevant to this <i>inter partes</i> review proceeding.</p>
<p>Ex. 1031</p> <p>Ex. 1032</p>	<p>FRE 401-403: These exhibits are irrelevant because they were not cited to in any other section of Petitioner's Reply or its <i>inter partes</i> review Petition. Therefore, these exhibits are irrelevant to this <i>inter partes</i> review proceeding.</p>

Patent Owner's Objections to Petitioner's Evidence for IPR2018-00877

Date: April 3, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

/Eliot D. Williams/

Eliot D. Williams
Reg. No. 50,822
Baker Botts L.L.P.
1001 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Phone: 650-739-7500
Fax: 650-739-7699
eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com

Robert C. Scheinfeld, Reg. No. 31,300
Robert Maier, Reg. No. 54,291
Jennifer C. Tempesta, Reg. No. 59,021
Margaret M. Welsh, Reg. No. 70,745
Baker Botts L.L.P.
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112
Phone: 212-408-2500
Fax: 212-408-2501
robert.scheinfeld@bakerbotts.com
robert.maier@bakerbotts.com
jennifer.tempesta@bakerbotts.com

COUNSEL FOR PATENT OWNER
FUJIFILM CORPORATION

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.