

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY CORPORATION

Petitioner,

v.

FUJIFILM CORPORATION

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00877
Patent No. 6,462,905 B1

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. CLAIMS 1-3 ARE UNPATENTABLE2

 A. Ground 1: Claim 1 Would Have Been Obvious Over Morita-I and
 Morita-II2

 1. A POSA Would Have Reasons to Make the Combination.....3

 2. The Combination Would Have Worked For Its Intended
 Purpose Without Substantial Redesign6

 3. Morita-I’s “Guide Surface” and the Claimed “Guide Member”
 Are Equivalents10

 B. Ground 2: Claim 2 Would Have Been Obvious Over Morita-I,
 Morita-II and Laverriere.....11

 1. A POSA Had Reason to Substitute a Single Guide Surface with
 Multiple Centering Ribs11

 2. Laverriere’s “Centering Ribs” Are “Guide Members”13

 C. Ground 3: Tsuyuki Anticipates Claim 3.....14

 D. Ground 4: Claim 3 Would Have Been Obvious Over Tsuyuki19

III. THE VANDERHEYDEN “DECLARATION” IS UNSWORN AND
ENTITLED TO NO WEIGHT26

IV. CONCLUSION.....26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>ASM IP Holding B.V. v. Hitachi Kokusai Elec. Inc.</i> , IPR2018-01523, 2019 WL 650552 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 15, 2019).....	16
<i>Bayer Pharma AG v. Watson Labs., Inc.</i> , 874 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	24
<i>Bumble Bee Foods, LLC v. Kowalski</i> , IPR2014-00224, 2014 WL 2584188 (P.T.A.B. June 5, 2014).....	26
<i>Caterpillar Inc. v. Deere & Co.</i> , 224 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	11
<i>ClassCo, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 838 F.3d 1214 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	9
<i>Cummins-Allison Corp. v. SBM Co., Ltd.</i> , 484 F. App'x. 499 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	15
<i>Elbrus Int'l. Ltd. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , 783 F. App'x. 694 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	9
<i>Geo. M. Martin Co. v. Alliance Mach. Sys.</i> , 618 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	25
<i>Hutchinson Tech. Inc. v. Nitto Denko Corp.</i> , IPR2017-01421, 2018 WL 5098867 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 10, 2018).....	16
<i>In re Beattie</i> , 974 F.2d 1309 (Fed. Cir. 1992).....	3
<i>In re Brandt</i> , 886 F.3d 1171 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	25
<i>In re Copaxone Consolidated Cases</i> , 906 F.3d 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	25
<i>In re Fulton</i> , 391 F.3d 1195 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	24

<i>In re Gorelik</i> , 652 F. App'x. 954 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	8
<i>In re ICON Health and Fitness, Inc.</i> , 496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	7
<i>In re Katz Interactive Call Processing Patent Litigation</i> , 639 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	3
<i>In re Kubin</i> , 561 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	25
<i>In re Mouttet</i> , 686 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	9
<i>In re Paulsen</i> , 30 F.3d 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	19
<i>In re Wagner</i> , 63 F.2d 987 (C.C.P.A. 1933).....	15
<i>In re Wolfensperger</i> , 302 F.2d 950 (C.C.P.A. 1962).....	16
<i>Intel Corp. v. Alacritech, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-01395, 2017 WL 6062957 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 22, 2017).....	26
<i>Koito Mfg. Co., Ltd. v. Turn-Key-Tech, LLC</i> , 381 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	16
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	7
<i>MCM Portfolio LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 812 F.3d 1284 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	9
<i>Rexnord Indus. LLC v. Kappos</i> , 705 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	20
<i>Telebrands Corp. v. Tinnus Enter. LLC</i> , PGR2017-00015, 2018 WL 5084901 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 10, 2018).....	16

ZTE (USA) Inc. v. Evolved Wireless LLC,
IPR2016-00757, 2017 WL 6206107 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 30, 2017)26

STATUTES

28 U.S.C. § 1746.....26

REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 1.6826

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.