## In the Matter of:

Sony Corporation vs Fujifilm Corporation

William J. Vanderheyden

February 27, 2019

68 Commercial Wharf • Boston, MA 02110 888.825.3376 - 617.399.0130 Global Coverage court-reporting.com



SONY Exhibit 1037

Δ

# Sony Corporation vs Fujifilm Corporation

# William J. Vanderheyden February 27, 2019

	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			Page
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	1	A P P E A R A N C E S:
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	2	
-	X	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	FOR THE PETITIONER:
4	SONY CORPORATION,	4	WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
5 6	Petitioner, v. Case No. IPR2017-		600 Atlantic Avenue
7	FUJIFILM CORPORATION,	5	
8	Patent Owner.	6	Boston, MA 02210
9	X	7	617-646-8275
10	DEBOGIETON OF WILLIAM A MANDEDUFYDEN	8	BY: NATHAN R. SPEED, ESQ.
11	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM J. VANDERHEYDEN	9	nspeed@wolfgreenfield.com
11	New York, New York	10	
12		11	FOR THE PATENT OWNER:
	Wednesday, February 27, 2019	12	BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
13		13	30 Rockefeller Plaza
14	8:55 a.m.	14	New York, NY 10112-4498
14 15		15	212-408-2541
16		16	BY: MARGARET M. WELSH, ESQ.
17		17	margaret.welsh@bakerbotts.com
18		18	BY: JENNIFER C. TEMPESTA, ESC
19		19	jennifer.tempesta@bakerbotts.com
	Reported by:	20	Jenniner.tempesta@bakerbotts.com
	LYNN VAN DEN HENDE		
	CRR, RMR, RPR, CSR-NY, CSR-CA, CSR-IL JOB NO: 11836	21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
	Page 2		Page
1		1	WILLIAM J. VANDERHEYDEN
2		2	called as a witness, having been duly
3	February 27, 2019	3	sworn by a Notary Public, was examined
4	8:55 a.m.	4	and testified as follows:
5		5	MR. SPEED: Nathan Speed on
6	Deposition of WILLIAM J. VANDERHEYDEN,	6	behalf of the Sony petitioners.
7	held at the offices of Wolf Greenfield &	7	Good morning.
8	Sacks, P.C., 405 Lexington Avenue, New York,	8	MS. WELSH: Margaret Welsh,
	New York, pursuant to Notice, before Lynn	9	Baker Botts, on behalf of Fujifilm
	Van Den Hende, Certified Realtime Reporter,	10	patent owners.
11		11	And also with me is Jen
	Certified Shorthand Reporter, State of	12	
			Tempesta with Baker Botts.
13	*	13	EXAMINATION
14		14	BY MR. SPEED:
15		15	Q. Good morning.
16	5	16	Could you please spell your
17	of New York.	17	name for the record?
18		18	A. Sure.
19		19	It's V-a-n-d-e-r-h-e-y-d-e-n.
20		20	Q. And is it Vanderheyden?
21		21	A. Vanderheyden.
22		22	Q. Heyden, okay. Thanks.
		23	This isn't your first
23		23	deposition, correct?
23 24			

5	ii Corporation	1°C01ualy 27, 2017
	Page 5	Page 7
1	A. Correct.	1 documents and other evidence cited in
2	Q. How many times have you been	2 my declaration.
	deposed?	3 You know, there are other
4	A. Twice before.	4 things I considered, like my experience
5	Q. You understand you're under	5 in the field at that time. So there
	oath today?	6 there's things beyond this list.
7	A. Yes. Yes, I do.	7 But this is the list cited
8	Q. I hand you an exhibit which	
	has been marked as Exhibit 2008.	<ul><li>8 here, yes.</li><li>9 Q. Is there anything else you</li></ul>
10	(Document review.)	10 considered beyond your experience in
10	Q. Do you recognize this	11 forming the opinions provided in your
12	exhibit?	12 declaration that's not listed on
13	A. Yes.	13 Exhibit 2?
13 14	<b>Q.</b> And this is your declaration	13 Exhibit 2: 14 (Document review.)
	in this proceeding, correct?	15 A. Not that I can think of right
16	A. Yes, it is.	16 now.
17	<b>Q.</b> And when I say "proceeding,"	17 Q. Okay. Did you review the
18	you understand that there's been two	18 petition that Sony filed in the what
	IPR petitions filed, correct?	19 I'll call the 876 IPR proceeding?
20	A. Yes, I do.	20 A. Yes, I read through that.
20 21	Q. So there's technically two	20 A. Fes, Fredd unough that. 21 Q. And did you review the
22	separate IPR proceedings, but you	22 petition that Sony filed in the 877
$\frac{22}{23}$	submitted one declaration for both	23 proceeding?
23 24	proceedings, correct?	24 A. Yes.
	Page 6	Page 8
1	A. That is correct.	1 Q. Did you review the
2	Q. If you could look at	2 preliminary patent owner response that
3	paragraph 14 of your declaration.	3 Fujifilm submitted in the 876
4	(Document review.)	4 proceeding?
5	A. Okay.	5 A. Could you repeat that?
6	Q. In paragraph 14 you state	6 Q. Did you review the
	that you've considered information from	7 preliminary patent owner response that
	various sources in forming your	8 Fujifilm submitted in the 876
	opinion, is that correct?	9 proceeding?
10	A. That is correct.	10 A. Yes, I did.
11	Q. And a list of those materials	11 Q. Did you disagree with any of
	that you considered, is that Exhibit 2	12 the arguments that you were provided in
	in your declaration?	13 that preliminary response?
14	A. Yes.	14 MS. WELSH: Objection, form.
15	Q. Okay. If we turn to Exhibit	15 A. I believe I read it.
	2 it's the very last page. It	16 I don't believe I formed an
	should be the very last page of your	17 opinion on on it. I think I just
	declaration. There you go.	18 read it for information.
19	So looking at Exhibit 2, is	19 Q. And did you review the
	this an accurate list of all the	20 preliminary patent owner response that
21	materials you considered in preparing	21 Fujifilm submitted in the 877
	your declaration?	22 proceeding?
23	(Document review.)	23 A. Yes, I did.
24	A. This is this is a list of	24 Q. And was that the same type of

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M

5 1	<b>.</b>
Page 9	Page 11
1 review for information that you did	1 proceeding?
2 with the 876 preliminary response?	2 A. Yes.
3 A. Yes.	<b>3 Q.</b> How much were you paid per
4 Q. Did you review the	4 hour?
5 institution decision that the board	5 A. \$300.
6 entered in the 876 proceeding?	6 Q. And how much time did you
7 A. Yes, I did.	7 spend on the declaration?
8 Q. And did you review the	8 A. I would estimate about 100
9 institution decision that the board	9 hours.
1 0	
11 A. Yes, I did.	11 retained you for this particular
12 Q. Okay. And looking at Exhibit	12 proceeding?
13 2 of your declaration, listed there is	13 A. I believe it was in November
14 Exhibit 2009.	14 roughly.
15 Do you see that?	15 Q. How many times have you
16 (Document review.)	16 served as an expert witness in a patent
17 A. Could you repeat that?	17 matter?
18 Q. Yes. You see listed in	18 A. It's really just my my
19 Exhibit 2 an Exhibit 2009?	19 work over the last couple of years,
20 A. Yes, I do.	20 which includes 779 IPR and, you know,
21 Q. And Exhibit 2009 is an	21 this IPR.
22 initial determination in the matter of	And then my work with the
23 certain magnetic data storage and tapes	23 ITC, that was more, as I mentioned,
24 and cartridges containing the same,	24 just advising on the technology.
Page 10	Page 12
1 correct?	1 Q. Did you ever testify at an
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> </ol>	1 Q. Did you ever testify at an 2 ITC hearing?
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>5 witness work with respect to patent</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>8 A. Yes.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> <li>that proceeding?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> <li>that proceeding?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>Q. If we look at paragraph 282</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> <li>that proceeding?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection.</li> <li>I'll just caution you not to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>Q. If we look at paragraph 282</li> <li>of your declaration, you state that you</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> <li>that proceeding?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection.</li> <li>I'll just caution you not to</li> <li>reveal any attorney-client</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>Q. If we look at paragraph 282</li> <li>of your declaration, you state that you</li> <li>reserve the right to supplement your</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> <li>that proceeding?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection.</li> <li>I'll just caution you not to</li> <li>reveal any attorney-client</li> <li>privileged information.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>Q. If we look at paragraph 282</li> <li>of your declaration, you state that you</li> <li>reserve the right to supplement your</li> <li>opinion to take into account new</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> <li>that proceeding?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection.</li> <li>I'll just caution you not to</li> <li>reveal any attorney-client</li> <li>privileged information.</li> <li>A. I advised on tape cartridge</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>Q. If we look at paragraph 282</li> <li>of your declaration, you state that you</li> <li>reserve the right to supplement your</li> <li>opinion to take into account new</li> <li>information that becomes available to</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> <li>that proceeding?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection.</li> <li>I'll just caution you not to</li> <li>reveal any attorney-client</li> <li>privileged information.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>Q. If we look at paragraph 282</li> <li>of your declaration, you state that you</li> <li>reserve the right to supplement your</li> <li>opinion to take into account new</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> <li>that proceeding?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection.</li> <li>I'll just caution you not to</li> <li>reveal any attorney-client</li> <li>privileged information.</li> <li>A. I advised on tape cartridge</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>Q. If we look at paragraph 282</li> <li>of your declaration, you state that you</li> <li>reserve the right to supplement your</li> <li>opinion to take into account new</li> <li>information that becomes available to</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> <li>that proceeding?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection.</li> <li>I'll just caution you not to</li> <li>reveal any attorney-client</li> <li>privileged information.</li> <li>A. I advised on tape cartridge</li> <li>technology, general general</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>Q. If we look at paragraph 282</li> <li>of your declaration, you state that you</li> <li>reserve the right to supplement your</li> <li>opinion to take into account new</li> <li>information that becomes available to</li> <li>you, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> <li>that proceeding?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection.</li> <li>I'll just caution you not to</li> <li>reveal any attorney-client</li> <li>privileged information.</li> <li>A. I advised on tape cartridge</li> <li>technology, general general</li> <li>information from my experience of many</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>Q. If we look at paragraph 282</li> <li>of your declaration, you state that you</li> <li>reserve the right to supplement your</li> <li>opinion to take into account new</li> <li>information that becomes available to</li> <li>you, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Has any new any such new</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> <li>that proceeding?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection.</li> <li>I'll just caution you not to</li> <li>reveal any attorney-client</li> <li>privileged information.</li> <li>A. I advised on tape cartridge</li> <li>technology, general general</li> <li>information from my experience of many</li> <li>years of cartridge design development;</li> <li>primarily a, you know, technical</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>Q. If we look at paragraph 282</li> <li>of your declaration, you state that you</li> <li>reserve the right to supplement your</li> <li>opinion to take into account new</li> <li>information that becomes available to</li> <li>you, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Has any new any such new</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> <li>that proceeding?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection.</li> <li>I'll just caution you not to</li> <li>reveal any attorney-client</li> <li>privileged information.</li> <li>A. I advised on tape cartridge</li> <li>technology, general general</li> <li>information from my experience of many</li> <li>years of cartridge design development;</li> <li>primarily a, you know, technical</li> <li>advising role.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>Q. If we look at paragraph 282</li> <li>of your declaration, you state that you</li> <li>reserve the right to supplement your</li> <li>opinion to take into account new</li> <li>information that becomes available to</li> <li>you, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Has any new any such new</li> <li>information become available to you?</li> <li>A. Not that I can recall or not</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And you signed an</li> <li>agreement to be bound to the protective</li> <li>order in investigation number</li> <li>337TA1076, is that correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Were you retained as an</li> <li>expert by Fujifilm in that proceeding?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And what was your role in</li> <li>that proceeding?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection.</li> <li>I'll just caution you not to</li> <li>reveal any attorney-client</li> <li>privileged information.</li> <li>A. I advised on tape cartridge</li> <li>technology, general general</li> <li>information from my experience of many</li> <li>years of cartridge design development;</li> <li>primarily a, you know, technical</li> <li>advising role.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. Did you ever testify at an</li> <li>ITC hearing?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. So has all of your expert</li> <li>witness work with respect to patent</li> <li>matters been for Fujifilm?</li> <li>MS. WELSH: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In the 779 IPR was Fujifilm</li> <li>the petitioner?</li> <li>A. I believe Sony was the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>Q. If we look at paragraph 282</li> <li>of your declaration, you state that you</li> <li>reserve the right to supplement your</li> <li>opinion to take into account new</li> <li>information that becomes available to</li> <li>you, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Has any new any such new</li> <li>information become available to you?</li> <li>A. Not that I can recall or not</li> </ol>

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

rujinin Corporation	redruary 27, 2019
Page 13	Page 15
1 Q. So do you have any	1 A. That is correct.
2 supplemental opinions to add to this	2 Q. Does "QIC" stand for
3 declaration at this time?	3 anything?
4 A. Not at this time.	4 A. Quarter inch cartridge.
5 Q. Now, you graduated from the	5 <b>Q.</b> In each of those future
6 University of Wisconsin in 1991, is	
7 that correct?	6 iterations was a data storage tape, is 7 that correct?
8 A. That's correct.	8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. And what was your degree?	
10 A. Mechanical engineering.	e
11 Q. And after graduating from the	1 0
12 University of Wisconsin you joined 3M?	
12 Oniversity of wisconsin you joined sive. 13 A. That's correct.	
14 Q. And when did you start at 3M?	8
15 A. In 1991.	14 A. When I joined 3M in 1991, 15 they had purchased the 3480 business
16 Q. And during your time at 3M	
17 you became familiar with the components	<ul><li>16 from IBM, and began at that time as</li><li>17 part of a technical team that met with</li></ul>
18 of the 3480-type cartridge, is that	18 the IBM engineers to understand the
19 correct?	19 workings of the 3480 tape cartridge and
20 A. That is correct.	20 gained their expertise.
20 A. That is concer. 21 Q. In using the foundational	
22 knowledge you gained about the	21 Then went on to so through 22 1991 through '91 through '96 it was
23 3480-type cartridge, you then worked on	22 1991 through 91 through 90 it was 23 3M.
23 several future iterations of storage	And then '96 through '99 they
24 several future nerations of storage	24 And then 90 through 99 they
Page 14	Page 16
1 tapes, is that correct?	1 spun it off to be Imation.
2 A. That is correct.	2 But throughout those years
3 Q. And this included I'm	3 the team I was on developed components,
4 looking at paragraph 6 of your	4 mechanisms for cartridge for tape
5 declaration, if it helps.	5 cartridges, including all these here.
6 But these future iterations	6 So the you know, kind of
7 included a 3490 cartridge?	7 in order, the 3480, the 3490. And
8 A. That is right.	
0 A. Induis fight.	8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590
	8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590
9 Q. And the future iterations	8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590
	<ul><li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li><li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li></ul>
9 Q. And the future iterations 10 included a Timberline cartridge, is	<ul> <li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li> <li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li> <li>10 cartridge was was being made.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>10 included a Timberline cartridge, is</li> <li>11 that correct?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li> <li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li> <li>10 cartridge was was being made.</li> <li>11 You know, through those years</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>10 included a Timberline cartridge, is</li> <li>11 that correct?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Future iterations included an</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li> <li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li> <li>10 cartridge was was being made.</li> <li>11 You know, through those years</li> <li>12 also I didn't so I assisted on that,</li> <li>13 on that quarter inch cartridge, you</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>10 included a Timberline cartridge, is</li> <li>11 that correct?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Future iterations included an</li> <li>14 SD-3 cartridge?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li> <li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li> <li>10 cartridge was was being made.</li> <li>11 You know, through those years</li> <li>12 also I didn't so I assisted on that,</li> <li>13 on that quarter inch cartridge, you</li> <li>14 know, through those years as well.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>10 included a Timberline cartridge, is</li> <li>11 that correct?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Future iterations included an</li> <li>14 SD-3 cartridge?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li> <li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li> <li>10 cartridge was was being made.</li> <li>11 You know, through those years</li> <li>12 also I didn't so I assisted on that,</li> <li>13 on that quarter inch cartridge, you</li> <li>14 know, through those years as well.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>10 included a Timberline cartridge, is</li> <li>11 that correct?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Future iterations included an</li> <li>14 SD-3 cartridge?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> <li>16 Q. And the future iterations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li> <li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li> <li>10 cartridge was was being made.</li> <li>11 You know, through those years</li> <li>12 also I didn't so I assisted on that,</li> <li>13 on that quarter inch cartridge, you</li> <li>14 know, through those years as well.</li> <li>15 Q. And how did your foundational</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>10 included a Timberline cartridge, is</li> <li>11 that correct?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Future iterations included an</li> <li>14 SD-3 cartridge?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> <li>16 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>17 included a 3490 cartridge, correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li> <li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li> <li>10 cartridge was was being made.</li> <li>11 You know, through those years</li> <li>12 also I didn't so I assisted on that,</li> <li>13 on that quarter inch cartridge, you</li> <li>14 know, through those years as well.</li> <li>15 Q. And how did your foundational</li> <li>16 knowledge of the 3480-type cartridge</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>10 included a Timberline cartridge, is</li> <li>11 that correct?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Future iterations included an</li> <li>14 SD-3 cartridge?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> <li>16 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>17 included a 3490 cartridge, correct?</li> <li>18 A. Did you mean 3590?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li> <li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li> <li>10 cartridge was was being made.</li> <li>11 You know, through those years</li> <li>12 also I didn't so I assisted on that,</li> <li>13 on that quarter inch cartridge, you</li> <li>14 know, through those years as well.</li> <li>15 Q. And how did your foundational</li> <li>16 knowledge of the 3480-type cartridge</li> <li>17 assist in the design of those future</li> <li>18 iterations?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>10 included a Timberline cartridge, is</li> <li>11 that correct?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Future iterations included an</li> <li>14 SD-3 cartridge?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> <li>16 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>17 included a 3490 cartridge, correct?</li> <li>18 A. Did you mean 3590?</li> <li>19 Q. Sorry, yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li> <li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li> <li>10 cartridge was was being made.</li> <li>11 You know, through those years</li> <li>12 also I didn't so I assisted on that,</li> <li>13 on that quarter inch cartridge, you</li> <li>14 know, through those years as well.</li> <li>15 Q. And how did your foundational</li> <li>16 knowledge of the 3480-type cartridge</li> <li>17 assist in the design of those future</li> <li>18 iterations?</li> <li>19 A. Well, I gained some of the,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>10 included a Timberline cartridge, is</li> <li>11 that correct?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Future iterations included an</li> <li>14 SD-3 cartridge?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> <li>16 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>17 included a 3490 cartridge, correct?</li> <li>18 A. Did you mean 3590?</li> <li>19 Q. Sorry, yes.</li> <li>20 The future iterations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li> <li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li> <li>10 cartridge was was being made.</li> <li>11 You know, through those years</li> <li>12 also I didn't so I assisted on that,</li> <li>13 on that quarter inch cartridge, you</li> <li>14 know, through those years as well.</li> <li>15 Q. And how did your foundational</li> <li>16 knowledge of the 3480-type cartridge</li> <li>17 assist in the design of those future</li> <li>18 iterations?</li> <li>19 A. Well, I gained some of the,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>10 included a Timberline cartridge, is</li> <li>11 that correct?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Future iterations included an</li> <li>14 SD-3 cartridge?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> <li>16 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>17 included a 3490 cartridge, correct?</li> <li>18 A. Did you mean 3590?</li> <li>19 Q. Sorry, yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li> <li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li> <li>10 cartridge was was being made.</li> <li>11 You know, through those years</li> <li>12 also I didn't so I assisted on that,</li> <li>13 on that quarter inch cartridge, you</li> <li>14 know, through those years as well.</li> <li>15 Q. And how did your foundational</li> <li>16 knowledge of the 3480-type cartridge</li> <li>17 assist in the design of those future</li> <li>18 iterations?</li> <li>19 A. Well, I gained some of the,</li> <li>20 you know, concerns and understanding of</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>10 included a Timberline cartridge, is</li> <li>11 that correct?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Future iterations included an</li> <li>14 SD-3 cartridge?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> <li>16 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>17 included a 3490 cartridge, correct?</li> <li>18 A. Did you mean 3590?</li> <li>19 Q. Sorry, yes.</li> <li>20 The future iterations</li> <li>21 included a 3590 cartridge?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li> <li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li> <li>10 cartridge was was being made.</li> <li>11 You know, through those years</li> <li>12 also I didn't so I assisted on that,</li> <li>13 on that quarter inch cartridge, you</li> <li>14 know, through those years as well.</li> <li>15 Q. And how did your foundational</li> <li>16 knowledge of the 3480-type cartridge</li> <li>17 assist in the design of those future</li> <li>18 iterations?</li> <li>19 A. Well, I gained some of the,</li> <li>20 you know, concerns and understanding of</li> <li>21 what's important in a tape cartridge.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>10 included a Timberline cartridge, is</li> <li>11 that correct?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Future iterations included an</li> <li>14 SD-3 cartridge?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> <li>16 Q. And the future iterations</li> <li>17 included a 3490 cartridge, correct?</li> <li>18 A. Did you mean 3590?</li> <li>19 Q. Sorry, yes.</li> <li>20 The future iterations</li> <li>21 included a 3590 cartridge?</li> <li>22 A. That is correct.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8 Timberline came next. Then SD-3 3590</li> <li>9 came after that. Quarter inch</li> <li>10 cartridge was was being made.</li> <li>11 You know, through those years</li> <li>12 also I didn't so I assisted on that,</li> <li>13 on that quarter inch cartridge, you</li> <li>14 know, through those years as well.</li> <li>15 Q. And how did your foundational</li> <li>16 knowledge of the 3480-type cartridge</li> <li>17 assist in the design of those future</li> <li>18 iterations?</li> <li>19 A. Well, I gained some of the,</li> <li>20 you know, concerns and understanding of</li> <li>21 what's important in a tape cartridge.</li> <li>22 Q. And you applied that those</li> </ul>

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

### **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

#### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.