

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:)
U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970)
Issued: July 3, 2012)
Application No.: 12/324,122)

For: **Method of Utilizing Forced Alerts for Interactive Remote
Communications**

FILED VIA E2E

**DECLARATION OF DR. BENJAMIN BEDERSON IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,213,970**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS.....	4
II.	SUMMARY OF MATERIALS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED	11
III.	LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART AND PERSPECTIVE APPLIED IN THIS DECLARATION.....	13
IV.	THE '970 PATENT.....	15
	A. Forced Message Alert.....	16
	B. PDA/Cell Phone	17
	C. Automatic Acknowledgement.....	18
	D. Manual Response and Response List.....	18
	E. Prosecution History Of The '970 Patent	20
	F. Claims Of The '970 Patent.....	23
	G. Effective Priority Date of The '970 Patent	23
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	25
	A. Forced message alert.....	26
	B. Forced message alert [application] software packet	27
	C. Forced message alert software application program.....	28
	D. Data transmission means.....	30
	E. Means for attaching.....	30
	F. Means for requiring a required manual response.....	31
	G. Means for receiving and displaying (Automatic).....	31
	H. Means for periodically resending.....	32
	I. Means for receiving and displaying (Manual)	32
	J. Means for transmitting the acknowledgment of receipt.....	33
	K. Means for controlling	34
	L. Means for allowing.....	34
	M. Means for clearing.....	35
VI.	UNDERSTANDING OF LEGAL PRINCIPLES RELEVANT TO OBVIOUSNESS.....	36
VII.	THE PRIOR ART AND BACKGROUND EVIDENCE.....	38
	A. Prior Art Relied Upon For Obviousness Combinations.....	38
	1. Casey (Ex. 1004).....	38

2.	Tanumihardja (Ex. 1005).....	42
3.	Haynes (Ex. 1006).....	46
B.	Background Evidence	48
1.	MACINTOSH HUMAN INTERFACE GUIDELINES (1992) (Ex. 1009).....	48
2.	U.S. Patent No. 6,271,835 (“Hoeksma”) (Ex. 1012).....	49
3.	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0135615 (“Lang”) (Ex. 1013)	49
4.	U.S. Patent No. 6,459,440 (“Monnes”) (Ex. 1014)	50
5.	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0103072 (“Ko”) (Ex. 1010)	50
6.	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0081011 (“Sheldon”) (Ex. 1011)	51

VIII. THE COMBINATION OF CASEY, TANUMIHARDJA, AND
HAYNES RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1-13 OF THE '970
PATENT

A.	Overview Of The Combination And Motivation To Combine.....	54
B.	Claim 6	63
1.	Preamble.....	63
2.	Forced message alert software application program	65
3.	Forced message alert.....	68
4.	Designating one or more recipients	74
5.	Electronically transmitting the forced message alert.....	76
6.	Automatic acknowledgements	78
7.	Periodically resending.....	83
8.	Receiving and displaying responses	84
9.	Manual response list	89
10.	Clearing the recipient’s display screen	99
C.	Claim 7 – Predetermined communication network.....	101
D.	Claim 8 – Default list	105
E.	Claim 9 – Custom response list.....	108
F.	Claim 1	111
1.	Preamble.....	111
2.	Predetermined network of participants	112
3.	Data transmission means.....	116
4.	Sender and recipient PDA/cell phone	119
5.	Forced message alert software application program	120
6.	Means for attaching.....	121

7.	Means for requiring a required manual response	123
8.	Means for receiving and displaying a listing (automatic)	125
9.	Means for periodically resending.....	126
10.	Means for receiving and displaying a listing (manual)	128
G.	Claim 2	132
1.	Means for transmitting.....	132
2.	Means for controlling.....	133
3.	Means for allowing	135
4.	Means for clearing	136
H.	Claim 3 – Data Transmission Protocol	137
I.	Claim 4 – Default response list	138
J.	Claim 5 – Custom response list.....	139
K.	Claim 10	139
1.	Preamble.....	139
2.	Receiving a message	141
3.	Identifying said electronic message	141
4.	Automatic acknowledgment	143
5.	Selected Required Response	144
6.	Displaying the response	145
7.	Providing a list	145
L.	Claim 11 – Predetermined communication network.....	146
M.	Claim 12 – Default list	146
N.	Claim 13 – Custom response list.....	146
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	147

I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

1. I have been retained by Apple Inc. (“Petitioner”) to provide my opinion concerning the validity of U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970 (attached to the accompanying Petition as Ex. 1001 and henceforth referred to as the “’970 patent”) in support of this Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970.

2. I have not previously been retained by Petitioner. I am simultaneously engaged by Petitioner to provide declarations for IPRs challenging U.S. Patent Nos. 9,408,055, 9,445,251, and 9,467,838.

3. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Computer Science with a minor in Electrical Engineering from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (“RPI”) in 1986. I received a Master of Science degree and a Ph.D. in Computer Science from New York University (“NYU”) in 1989 and 1992, respectively.

4. Since 1998, I have been a Professor of Computer Science at the University of Maryland (“UMD”), where I have joint appointments at the Institute for Advanced Computer Studies and the College of Information Studies (Maryland’s “iSchool”). I am also Associate Provost of Learning Initiatives and Executive Director of the Teaching and Learning Transformation Center. I am a member and previous director of the Human-Computer Interaction Lab (“HCIL”), the oldest and one of the best known Human-Computer Interaction research groups in the country. I was also co-founder and Chief Scientist of Zumobi, Inc. from

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.