

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of USP 9,445,251

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:)
U.S. Patent No. 9,445,251)
Issued: September 13, 2016)
Application No.: 14/633,804)

For: **Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice
Networks**

FILED VIA E2E

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,445,251**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction..... 1

II. Mandatory Notices under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8..... 3

 A. Real Parties-in-Interest..... 3

 B. Related Matters..... 3

 C. Grounds for Standing 3

 D. Lead and Backup Counsel and Service Information..... 4

 E. Fee for *Inter Partes* Review 4

III. Identification of Challenges (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)) 5

IV. Background..... 5

 A. The '251 Patent (Ex. 1001) 5

 1. Brief Description..... 5

 2. Prosecution History (Ex. 1005 “’251 FH”) 6

 B. The Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art..... 11

 C. Claim Construction 11

 1. “georeferenced map”..... 12

V. The '251 Patent’s Effective Filing Date Is No Earlier Than October 31, 2014 14

 A. Legal Background 15

 1. Burden of Production..... 15

 2. Priority to an Earlier-Filed Application 16

 B. The '251 Patent’s Broken Priority Chain..... 17

 C. The '410 Application Does Not Incorporate The '724 patent 21

D.	The '251 Patent's Claims Lack Written Description Support in the '410 Application.....	23
1.	The '410 application lacks written description support for requesting, retrieving, and using the second georeferenced map and its georeferencing data.....	25
2.	The '410 application lacks written description support for the full scope of the “group” feature.....	33
3.	The '410 application lacks written description support for participating in the group “based on receiving the message from the second device”	38
4.	The '410 application lacks written description support for anonymous communications.....	42
VI.	All Claims of the '251 Patent are Obvious Over the '724 Patent	46
A.	Independent claims 1 and 24.....	46
1.	Overview	46
2.	Preambles and initial clause.....	50
3.	1[a] joining a group based on a message from another device	50
4.	1[b] participating in the group by exchanging location information via a server	51
5.	1[c] presenting a georeferenced map and symbols.....	51
6.	1[d], 1[e] requesting and receiving second georeferenced map from a second server	52
7.	1[f] presenting second georeferenced map and symbols.....	52
8.	1[g] selecting symbols and sending data using IP via server.....	53
9.	1[h] first device does not have access to other device's IP addresses	54

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of USP 9,445,251

B.	Dependent claims	56
1.	Claims 2 and 25: send SMS, text, image, or video.....	56
2.	Claims 3, 9, 26 and 32: first device is a PDA, PC, smartphone	56
3.	Claims 4 and 27: second georeferenced map is a satellite image.....	56
4.	Claims 5 and 28: update location based on time or distance travelled.....	57
5.	Claims 6 and 29: initiating phone call by selecting symbol.....	57
6.	Claims 7 and 30: message to join is an SMS message	57
7.	Claims 8 and 31: exchanging status information (battery level, etc.).....	59
8.	Claims 10 and 33: creating a second group using group identifiers	60
9.	Claims 11 and 34: send voice recording.....	60
10.	Claims 12 and 35: sending GPS location using Internet Protocol.....	60
11.	Claim 13: identify user-selected symbol based on spatial coordinates corresponding to selected location on display and map	61
12.	Claim 14: use database to identify symbol by location	61
13.	Claims 15 and 16: adding a new entity with the user- specified location and symbol.....	62
14.	Claim 17: specifying information for the new entity.....	63
15.	Claim 18: add new entity's spatial coordinates to database.....	64

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of USP 9,445,251

16.	Claim 19: determine new entity’s spatial coordinates based on selected location on displayed map	64
17.	Claims 20 and 21: entity database on device, server	65
18.	Claim 22: spatial coordinates include latitude and longitude.....	65
19.	Claim 23: initiating a VoIP or data call by selecting symbol.....	65
VII.	Secondary Considerations	65
VIII.	Conclusion	66

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.