

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

VISA INC., and VISA U.S.A. INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY, LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00813¹

U.S. Patent No. 9,100,826

PETITIONER APPLE INC.'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

¹ Visa Inc. and Visa U.S.A. Inc., which filed a petition in IPR2019-00176, have been joined as a party to this proceeding.

Pursuant to the Board's November 8, 2018 Scheduling Order (Paper No. 10), Petitioner Apple Inc. ("Apple") respectfully requests oral argument currently scheduled on July 16, 2019. Petitioner requests the ability to use a computer, projector, and screen to display possible demonstratives and exhibits. Petitioner requests 60 minutes per side.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, Apple specifies the following issues to be argued:

- I. Whether challenged claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 21, 22, 24, 27, 30, and 31 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and 102(e) as anticipated by the '585 reference (Ex. 1104).
- II. Whether challenged claims 7, 14, 26, and 34 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over the '585 reference (Ex. 1104), Verbauwhede (Ex. 1107), and Maritzen (Ex. 1105).
- III. Whether challenged claims 8 and 15 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over the '585 reference (Ex. 1104) and Gullman (Ex. 1106).
- IV. Respond to any arguments raised in Patent Owner's Response (Paper 18) and Patent Owner's Sur-Reply (Paper No. 30).

- V. Whether substitute claims 36-37 and 45-46 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over the '585 reference (Ex. 1104) and Schutzer (Ex. 1130).
- VI. Whether substitute claims 42 and 49 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over the '585 reference (Ex. 1104), Schutzer (Ex. 1130), Verbauwhede (Ex. 1107), and Maritzen (Ex. 1105).
- VII. Whether substitute claims 56 and 57 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over the '585 reference (Ex. 1104) and Burnett (Ex. 1121).
- VIII. Whether substitute claim 60 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over the '585 reference (Ex. 1104), Burnett (Ex. 1121), Verbauwhede (Ex. 1107), and Maritzen (Ex. 1105).
- IX. Whether substitute claims 36-60 are unpatentable as drawn to ineligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101.
- X. Whether substitute claim 56 satisfies 35 U.S.C § 112.
- XI. Whether Patent Owner's Conditional Motion to Amend (Paper No. 19) should be denied for proposing an unreasonable number of claims under 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a)(3).

- XII. Whether Patent Owner's Conditional Motion to Amend (Paper No. 19) should be denied for failing to comply with 35 U.S.C. § 316(d)(1) by substituting claims 38-41, 44, 47, 48, 51-55, 58, 59, and 61 for unchallenged claims 3-6, 9, 12, 13, 16-20, 32, 33, 35.
- XIII. Whether Patent Owner has complied with its duty of candor under 37 C.F.R. § 42.11.
- XIV. Respond to any arguments raised in Patent Owner's Reply (Paper No. 31) to Petitioner's Conditional Motion to Amend Opposition.
- XV. Respond to Patent Owner's Motion to Strike (Paper No. 34) and any arguments raised in Patent Owner's Sur-Reply to Petitioner's Opposition to the Motion to Strike (*to be filed*).
- XVI. Respond to Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude, if one is submitted.
- XVII. Respond to any issues specified by Patent Owner in its request for oral argument.
- XVIII. Respond to Patent Owner's presentation on all matters.
- XIX. Any arguments raised by the Parties in their respective papers, motions, oppositions, responses, replies, and sur-replies.
- XX. Issues related to the Board's Decision on Institution and the grounds instituted in the Decision.

IPR2018-00813
U.S. Patent No. 9,100,826
Petitioner Apple's Request for Oral Argument

- XXI. Any issues raised in the briefing pursuant to the schedule.
- XXII. Any other outstanding motions and pleadings, and other issues that the Board deems necessary for issuing a Final Written Decision.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: June 10, 2019

/Monica Grewal/

Monica Grewal
Registration No. 40,056

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.