

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner,

v.

UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-00813
U.S. Patent No. 9,100,826

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY TO PETITIONER'S REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PATENT OWNER’S LIST OF EXHIBITS	II
I. PETITIONER FAILS TO PROVE JAKOBSSON DISCLOSES “RETRIEVES OR RECEIVES” SECOND AUTHENTICATION INFORMATION (CLAIMS 1-20)	2
II. PETITIONER FAILS TO PROVE CLAIMS 7, 14, 26 AND 34 ARE INVALID	6
A. The Reply Fails To Prove Jakobsson In View Of Maritzen Disclose Enabling Or Disabling	7
1. The Reply fails to prove Maritzen discloses enabling or disabling	7
2. The Reply fails to prove a POSITA would combine Jakobsson with Maritzen.....	9
B. The Reply Fails To Prove A POSITA Would Combine Jakobsson With Verbauwhede	15
1. The Reply fails to prove Jakobsson Discloses Comparing.....	16
III. PETITIONER FAILS TO PROVE CLAIMS 8 AND 15 ARE INVALID	19
A. The Reply Fails To Prove Gullman Discloses Storage For Multiple Distinguishable Users	20
B. The Reply Fails To Prove a POSITA Would Be Motivated To Combine Jakobsson With Gullman.....	22
IV. STRONG EVIDENCE OF SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS COUNSEL DENIAL OF THE PETITION	24
V. CONCLUSION.....	25

PATENT OWNER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS

Ex. 2001	Declaration ISO Motion Pro Hac Vice Harold Barza.
Ex. 2002	Declaration ISO Motion Pro Hac Vice Jordan Kaericher.
Ex. 2101	Declaration of Dr. Markus Jakobsson ISO Patent Owner's Response.
Ex. 2102	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Markus Jakobsson.
Ex. 2103	Transcript of December 14, 2018 Deposition of Dr. Victor John Shoup.
Ex. 2104	N. Asokan, et. al, The State of the Art in Electronic Payment Systems, IEEE Computer, Vol. 30, No. 9, pp. 28-35 (IEEE Computer Society Press, Sept. 1997).
Ex. 2105	M. Baddeley, Using E-Cash in the New Economy: An Economic Analysis of Micropayment Systems, J. Electronic Commerce Research, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 239- 253 (Nov. 2004).
Ex. 2106	U.S. Application No. 14/027,860.
Ex. 2107	U.S. Application No. 11/677,490.
Ex. 2108	U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/775,046.
Ex. 2109	U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/812,279.
Ex. 2110	U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/859,235.
Ex. 2111	Declaration by Dr. Markus Jakobsson ISO Motion to Amend.
Ex. 2112	U.S. District Court for Delaware Report and Recommendation.

Ex. 2113	Declaration by Dr. Markus Jakobsson ISO Reply to MTA Opposition.
Ex. 2114	Rough transcript of deposition of Dr. Ari Juels.
Ex. 2115	Juels and Hubaux, "Privacy Is Dead; Long Live Privacy," Communications of the ACM, Vol. 59, No. 6 (June 2016))
Ex. 2116	Brainard, Juels, et. al., A New Two-Server Approach for Authentication with Short Secrets (Apr. 9, 2003).

Patent Owner Universal Secure Registry, L.L.C. (“PO”) submits this Sur-Reply in opposition to Apple Inc.’s (“Petitioner”) Reply (Paper 24, “Reply”) to PO’s Response (Paper 18, “Response”). Petitioner has failed to prove the challenged claims are invalid for at least four reasons.

First, Petitioner has failed to demonstrate International Patent Application Publication No. WO 2004/051585 (“Jakobsson”) (Ex-1104) discloses “retrieves or receives” second authentication information (claims 1-20) because Jakobsson neither *receives nor retrieves* second authentication information; rather, it *creates* such information. “Creating” is a fundamentally different concept from “receiving or retrieving,” and Petitioner effectively asks the Board to rewrite the claim language (“retrieves or receives or creates”) to make up for Jakobsson’s shortcoming. It should decline to do so.

Second, Petitioner has failed to prove Jakobsson in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0236632 (“Maritzen”) (Ex-1105) disclose the limitation of enabling or disabling (cl. 7, 14, 26 and 24), or that a POSITA would be motivated to make such a combination. Further, Petitioner has failed to prove Jakobsson discloses “compar[ing]” stored authentication information, or that a POSITA would combine Jakobsson with International Patent Application Publication No. WO 2005/001751 (“Verbauwhede”) (Ex-1107).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.