

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
of U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

DOCKET NO.: 1033300-00304US2

Filed on behalf of Apple Inc.

By: Monica Grewal, Reg. No. 40,056 (Lead Counsel)  
Ben Fernandez Reg. No. 55,172 (Backup Counsel)  
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
60 State Street  
Boston, MA 02109  
Email: monica.grewal@wilmerhale.com  
ben.fernandez@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY, LLC,

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2018-00812

U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**  
**OF CLAIMS 1-3, 5-8, 16-24, 26-30, and 37-38**

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
of U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u> .....	i
I. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)).....	2
A. Real Party-In-Interest .....	2
B. Related Matters.....	3
C. Counsel.....	4
D. Service Information.....	4
II. Certification of Grounds for Standing.....	5
III. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	5
A. Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications.....	5
1. Ex-1131 – Reber .....	5
2. Ex-1132 - Franklin.....	6
B. Grounds for Challenge .....	6
IV. LEGAL PRINCIPLES.....	6
V. BACKGROUND OF THE '539 PATENT .....	7
A. Priority.....	7
B. Brief Description of the '539 Patent Disclosure .....	7
C. Prosecution History .....	9
VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL .....	10
VII. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CHALLENGED CLAIM (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)) .....	11
A. Claims For Which Review Is Requested (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)) .....	11

	Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539
B.	Statutory Grounds Of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2)) .....11
C.	Standard For Granting A Petition For IPR Review .....11
VIII.	PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS FOR IPR REVIEW (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)).....12
A.	Provider (All Challenged Claims).....12
B.	Entity (All Challenged Claims).....13
C.	Time-Varying Multicharacter Code (All Challenged Claims) .....14
D.	Indication of the Provider (Challenged Claims 1-3, 5-8, 16-24, and 26-30).....15
E.	Account Identifying Information (All Challenged Claims) .....16
F.	Secure Registry (All Challenged Claims) .....16
IX.	SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION .....17
A.	Overview of Primary Prior Art References.....17
1.	Reber .....17
2.	Franklin .....18
B.	Claims 1-3, 5-8, 16-24, 26-30, and 37-38 Are Obvious in View of Reber and Franklin.....19
1.	Independent Claim 1 .....19
1.	Reasons to Combine Reber and Franklin .....23
2.	Dependent Claim 2 .....42
3.	Dependent Claim 3 .....43
4.	Dependent Claim 5 .....44
5.	Dependent Claim 6 .....45
6.	Dependent Claim 7 .....47

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
of U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

7.	Dependent Claim 8 .....	48
8.	Dependent Claim 16 .....	48
9.	Dependent Claim 17 .....	50
10.	Dependent Claim 18 .....	50
11.	Dependent Claim 19 .....	53
12.	Dependent Claim 20 .....	54
13.	Dependent Claim 21 .....	56
14.	Independent Claim 22 .....	58
15.	Dependent Claim 23 .....	60
16.	Dependent Claim 24 .....	60
17.	Dependent Claim 26 .....	61
18.	Dependent Claim 27 .....	62
19.	Dependent Claim 28 .....	63
20.	Dependent Claim 29 .....	63
21.	Dependent Claim 30 .....	64
22.	Independent Claim 37 .....	64
23.	Independent Claim 38 .....	69
	<u>TABLE OF EXHIBITS .....</u>	73

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
of U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>In re ICON Health &amp; Fitness, Inc.</i> , 496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	12
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	7
<b>STATUTES</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 101 .....	3, 4
35 U.S.C. § 102 .....	3, 4, 5, 6
35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	3, 4, 6, 11
35 U.S.C. § 112 .....	10
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....	6
35 U.S.C. § 321 .....	1, 11
35 U.S.C. § 324(a) .....	11
Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284 (2011) .....	1, 6, 11
<b>REGULATIONS</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8 .....	2, 4
37 C.F.R. § 42.22 .....	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.100 .....	1, 12
37 C.F.R. § 42.101 .....	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104 .....	5, 11, 12, 17
77 Fed. Reg. 48,764 (Aug. 14, 2012) .....	12

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.