DOCKET NO.: 1033300-00304US2

Filed on behalf of Apple Inc.

By: Monica Grewal, Reg. No. 40,056 (Lead Counsel) Ben Fernandez Reg. No. 55,172 (Backup Counsel)

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

60 State Street Boston, MA 02109

Email: monica.grewal@wilmerhale.com ben.fernandez@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD APPLE INC., Petitioner, v. UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY, LLC, Patent Owner. Case IPR2018-00812

DECLARATION OF DR. VICTOR SHOUP IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			rage
TABLE (OF CON	ΓENTS	ii
I.	BAC	KGROUND	1
II.	LEGA	AL PRINCIPLES	3
	A.	Claim Construction	3
	B.	Obviousness	4
III.		CRIPTION OF THE RELEVANT FIELD AND THE EVANT TIMEFRAME	6
IV.	. THE	'539 PATENT	6
	A.	Specification and Claims	6
	B.	Brief Description of the '539 Patent Disclosure	7
	C.	Prosecution History	10
V.	LEVI	EL OF ORDINARY SKILL	26
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS		
	A.	Provider (All Challenged Claims)	28
	B.	Entity (All Challenged Claims)	29
	C.	Time-Varying Multicharacter Code (All Challenged Claims)	31
	D.	Indication of the Provider (Challenged Claims 1-3, 5-8, 16-24, and 26-30)	32
	E.	Account Identifying Information (All Challenged Claims)	33
	F.	Secure Registry (All Challenged Claims)	35



VII.	CLAIMS 1-3, 5-8, 16-24, 26-30, and 37-38 OF THE '539 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 10336			
	A.	Overview of Primary Prior Art References		36
		1.	Reber	36
		2.	Franklin	37
			ns 1-3, 5-8, 16-24, 26-30, and 37-38 Are Obvious in of Reber and Franklin	38
		1.	Independent Claim 1	38
		2.	Reasons to Combine Reber and Franklin	43
		3.	Dependent Claim 2	63
		4.	Dependent Claim 3	64
		5.	Dependent Claim 5	65
		6.	Dependent Claim 6	68
		7.	Dependent Claim 7	69
		8.	Dependent Claim 8	71
		9.	Dependent Claim 16	71
		10.	Dependent Claim 17	73
		11.	Dependent Claim 18	73
		12.	Dependent Claim 19	76
		13.	Dependent Claim 20	77
		14.	Dependent Claim 21	79
		15.	Independent Claim 22	81
		16.	Dependent Claim 23	83



Declaration of Dr. Victor Shoup in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

	17.	Dependent Claim 24	84
	18.	Dependent Claim 26	84
	19.	Dependent Claim 27	85
	20.	Dependent Claim 28	87
	21.	Dependent Claim 29	87
	22.	Dependent Claim 30	87
	23.	Independent Claim 37	88
	24.	Independent Claim 38	93
VIII.	CONCLUS	ION	95
IX.	AVAILAB	ILITY FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION	96
X.	RIGHT TO	SUPPLEMENT	96
II IR A	ΛT		97

Declaration of Dr. Victor Shoup in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

- I, Victor Shoup, Ph.D., declare as follows:
- 1. My name is Victor Shoup.
- 2. I have been retained by Apple to provide opinions in this proceeding relating to U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539 ("'539 patent").

I. BACKGROUND

- 3. I received a Bachelor of Science in Computer Science and Mathematics from the University of Wisconsin at Eau Claire in 1983. I received my Doctorate in Computer Science from the University of Wisconsin at Madison in 1989. I worked as a research scientist at Bellcore from 1995 to 1997 and at IBM Research Zurich from 1997 to 2002. My work there included design of cryptographic protocols such as a new public key cryptosystem (now called the Cramer-Shoup cryptosystem) that achieved higher levels of security than were previously thought possible in a practical scheme.
- 4. I have been Professor of Computer Science at the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences at New York University since 2002 (initially as an Associate Professor, and as a Professor since 2007). I teach a variety of graduate and undergraduate courses on cryptography. Since 2012, I have also been a part-time visiting researcher at the IBM T. J. Watson Research Center in Yorktown, New York, where I collaborate with the Cryptography Research Group, which does work on a range of projects from the theoretical foundations of cryptography



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

