

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

DOCKET NO.: 1033300-00304US1

Filed on behalf of Apple Inc.

By: Monica Grewal, Reg. No. 40,056 (Lead Counsel)
Ben Fernandez Reg. No. 55,172 (Backup Counsel)
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
Email: monica.grewal@wilmerhale.com
ben.fernandez@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY, LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00811

U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF CLAIMS 1-3, 5-8, 12, 16-24, 26-31, 34, AND 37-38

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1))	3
A. Real Party-In-Interest.....	3
B. Related Matters	3
C. Counsel	4
D. Service Information	4
II. Certification of Grounds for Standing.....	5
III. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	5
A. Grounds for Challenge.....	6
IV. LEGAL PRINCIPLES	6
V. BACKGROUND OF THE '539 PATENT	7
A. Priority	7
B. Brief Description of the '539 Patent Disclosure.....	7
C. Prosecution History	10
VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL.....	11
VII. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CHALLENGED CLAIM (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b))	11
A. Claims For Which Review Is Requested (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)).....	11
B. Statutory Grounds Of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2))	11
C. Standard For Granting A Petition For IPR Review.....	12
VIII. PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS FOR IPR REVIEW (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)).....	12

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

A.	Provider (All Challenged Claims)	13
B.	Entity (All Challenged Claims)	14
C.	Time-Varying Multicharacter Code (All Challenged Claims)	15
D.	Indication of the Provider (Challenged Claims 1-3, 5-8, 12, 16-24, 26-31, and 34).....	16
E.	Account Identifying Information (All Challenged Claims)	17
F.	Biometric Information (Claims 12-15, 34-36)	19
G.	Secure Registry (All Challenged Claims)	21
IX.	CLAIMS 1-3, 5-8, 12, 16-24, 26-31, 34, AND 37-38 OF THE '539 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 AND 103	22
A.	Overview of Primary Prior Art Reference (Schutzer).....	22
B.	Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 5-8, 16-24, 26-30 and 37-38 are Anticipated by Schutzer.....	24
1.	Independent Claim 1	24
2.	Dependent Claim 2	38
3.	Dependent Claim 3	40
4.	Dependent Claim 5	41
5.	Dependent Claim 6	42
6.	Dependent Claim 7	43
7.	Dependent Claim 8	44
8.	Dependent Claim 16	45
9.	Dependent Claim 17	45
10.	Dependent Claim 18	46
11.	Dependent Claim 19	46

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

12.	Dependent Claim 20.....	47
13.	Dependent Claim 21	48
14.	Independent Claim 22	49
15.	Dependent Claim 23.....	51
16.	Dependent Claim 24.....	52
17.	Dependent Claim 26.....	52
18.	Dependent Claim 27.....	52
19.	Dependent Claim 28.....	53
20.	Dependent Claim 29.....	54
21.	Dependent Claim 30.....	54
22.	Independent Claim 37	54
23.	Independent Claim 38	61
C.	Ground 2: Claims 12, 31, and 34 are Obvious in view of Schutzer	63
1.	Dependent Claim 12.....	63
2.	Dependent Claim 31.....	67
3.	Dependent Claim 34.....	68

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 8,856,539

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.</i> , 496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	12
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	7
<i>Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California</i> , 814 F.2d 628 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	7
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 101	3, 4
35 U.S.C. § 102	4, 6, 7, 11, 226
35 U.S.C. § 103	4, 6, 7, 11, 22
35 U.S.C. § 112	10
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	6
35 U.S.C. § 321	1, 11
35 U.S.C. § 324(a)	12
Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284 (2011)	1, 6, 11
REGULATIONS	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	3, 4
37 C.F.R. § 42.22	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.100	1, 12
37 C.F.R. § 42.101	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	5, 11, 12, 22

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.