

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.

Petitioner,

v.

UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC

Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-00810

U.S. Patent No. 9,100,826

**PATENT OWNER'S CONDITIONAL MOTION TO AMEND
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.121**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	iii
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. THE SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS ARE OF NARROWER SCOPE	1
III. THE NUMBER OF SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS IS REASONABLE.....	2
IV. THE SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS ADD NO NEW SUBJECT MATTER.....	2
V. THE PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS RESPOND TO AND OVERCOME THE GROUNDS OF RECORD	12
VI. CONCLUSION	12
APPENDIX A.....	A1
APPENDIX B	B1

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Cases</u>	
<i>Aqua Products, Inc. v. Joseph Matal et al.</i> , Case No. 2015-1177 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 4, 2017).....	1
<u>Statutory Authorities</u>	
35 U.S.C. § 316(d).....	1
35 U.S.C. § 316(d)(3)	2
<u>Rules and Regulations</u>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.121	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a)(2).....	12
37 C.F.R. § 41.121(a)(2)(ii).....	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a)(3).....	2

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit #	Description
Ex. 2001	Declaration ISO Motion Pro Hac Vice Harold Barza
Ex. 2002	Declaration ISO Motion Pro Hac Vice Jordan Kaericher
Ex. 2003	Declaration of Markus Jakobsson in Support of Patent Owner's Response
Ex. 2004	Curriculum Vitae of Markus Jakobsson
Ex. 2005	Transcript of December 14, 2018 Deposition of Dr. Victor John Shoup
Ex. 2006	N. Asokan, et. al, The State of the Art in Electronic Payment Systems, IEEE Computer, Vol. 30, No. 9, pp. 28-35 (IEEE Computer Society Press, Sept. 1997)
Ex. 2007	M. Baddeley, Using E-Cash in the New Economy: An Economic Analysis of Micropayment Systems, J. Electronic Commerce Research, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 239-253 (Nov. 2004)
Ex. 2008	U.S. Application No. 14/027,860.
Ex. 2009	U.S. Application No. 11/677,490.
Ex. 2010	U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/775,046.
Ex. 2011	U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/812,279.
EX. 2012	U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/859,235.
EX. 2013	Declaration by Dr. Markus Jakobsson Ph.D.

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 316(d) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.121, Patent Owner Universal Secure Registry LLC (“PO”) submits this conditional motion (“Motion”) to substitute proposed claims 36-61 shown in Appendix A for original claims 1-20 and 30-35 of U.S. Patent No. 9,100,826 (“the ’826 Patent”) should any of claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27, 30, 31, and 34 (“Challenged Claims”) be found unpatentable. PO has conferred with the Board prior to filing this Motion, as required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.121, and the Board authorized the filing of this Motion in an Order *Conduct of Proceeding* entered January 8, 2019. *See* Paper 16.

The proposed substitute claims satisfy the requisite showing for a motion to amend, as they (1) “do not impermissibly enlarge the scope of the claims;” (2) present a “reasonable number of substitute claims;” (3) “do not introduce new subject matter;” and (4) “respond to a ground of unpatentability in the trial.” *See* 35 U.S.C. § 316(d); 37 C.F.R. § 42.121. Patent Owner has thus met its burden of production. *Aqua Products, Inc. v. Joseph Matal et al.*, Case No. 2015-1177 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 4, 2017) (en banc). Accordingly, should the Board find any original Challenged Claim unpatentable in this proceeding, PO respectfully requests that the Board grant this Motion to Amend with respect to each corresponding substitute claim presented herein.

II. THE SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS ARE OF NARROWER SCOPE

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.