DOCKET NO.: 1033300-00302US2

Filed on behalf of Apple Inc.

By: Monica Grewal, Reg. No. 40,056 (Lead Counsel) Ben Fernandez Reg. No. 55,172 (Backup Counsel)

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

60 State Street

Boston, MA 02109

Email: monica.grewal@wilmerhale.com ben.fernandez@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY, LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00809

U.S. Patent No. 9,530,137

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW
OF CLAIMS 1, 2, AND 5-12



Table of Contents

		<u>Pa</u>	ge			
Table	e of	Authorities	iv			
I.	INTRODUCTION					
II.		MANDATORY NOTICES	2			
	A.	Real Party-In-Interest	2			
	В.	Related Matters	2			
	C.	Counsel	3			
	D.	Service Information	4			
III.		LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL	4			
IV.		CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING5				
V.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED .					
	A.	Prior Art Patents and Publications	5			
		1. Ex-1113 – Jakobsson	5			
		2. Ex-1114 – Maritzen	6			
		3. Ex-1115 - Schutzer	7			
		4. Ex-1117 – Niwa	7			
	В.	Grounds for Challenge	9			
VI.		LEGAL PRINCIPLES	9			
VII.		BACKGROUND OF THE '137 PATENT	9			
	A.	A. Priority				
	В.	Brief Description of the '137 Patent Disclosure	10			
	C.	Prosecution History	11			



VIII.		PRC	PC	OSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS	14
	A.	-	Bio	ometric Information (All Challenged Claims)	14
	В.	;	Sec	eret Information	16
	C.	-	Au	thentication Information	17
	IX.			CLAIMS 1, 2, AND 5-12 OF THE '137 PATENT ARE TENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103	20
	A.			ound 1: Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 10, and 12 are Obvious Over tobsson in View of Maritzen	
		1	l.	Independent Claim 1	20
		2	2.	Dependent Claim 2	42
		3	3.	Dependent Claim 6	43
		4	l .	Dependent Claim 7	45
		5	5.	Dependent Claim 9	46
		6	ó.	Dependent Claim 10	47
		7	7.	Independent Claim 12	50
	В.			ound 2: Claim 5 is Obvious over Jakobsson in View of ritzen and Niwa	53
		1	l.	Dependent Claim 5	53
	C.			ound 3: Claim 8 and 11 Are Obvious Over Jakobsson in ew of Maritzen and Schutzer	63
		1	l.	Dependent Claim 8	63
		2	2.	Dependent Claim 11	69
. 7		G01		LUGION	70



Table of Authorities

	Page(s)
CASES	
In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc., 496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	14
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	9
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 101	2, 3
35 U.S.C. § 102	3, 6, 7
35 U.S.C. § 103	3, 4, 9, 12
35 U.S.C. § 112	12
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	9
Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284 (2011)	9
REGULATIONS	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	2, 3
37 C.F.R. § 42.22	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.100	14
37 C.F.R. § 42.101	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	5
37 C.F.R. § 1.102	12
77 Fed. Reg. 48764 (Aug. 14, 2012)	14



I. INTRODUCTION

The '137 patent is generally directed toward systems for authenticating a user and approving a transaction. The patent owner, Universal Secure Registry, LLC ("USR"), has described the claimed invention similarly, asserting that the '137 patent relates to a mobile transaction approval system that involves local authentication, remote authentication, a PIN, biometric information, and a timevarying code. *See* Plaintiff's Answer Brief in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss ("Opp."), 10 (Ex-1118) ("A person wishing to use a device for a transaction must first be authenticated by the device based on secret information and biometric information provided by the person...The device then generates authentication information, an indicator of the device's biometric authentication of the user, and a time varying value that creates a one-time variable token that can be sent via a merchant to a second device for transaction approval.").

When the application for the '137 patent was filed, however, the use of local and remote authentication, PINs, biometric information, and time-varying codes to authenticate a user engaged in a financial transaction was well known in the art. In fact, the prior art is replete with disclosures of systems that perform user authentication in this manner. For example, prior art reference WO 2004/051585 ("Jakobsson") (Ex-1113) discloses a handheld device configured to locally



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

