

Filed on behalf of L'Oréal USA, Inc.

By: Michelle E. O'Brien
Timothy J. Murphy
Joanna Cohn

The Marbury Law Group, PLLC
11800 Sunrise Valley Drive
15th Floor

Tel: (703) 391-2900

Fax: (703) 391-2901

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,645,513

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. §42.8(a)(1))	3
REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	3
RELATED MATTERS	3
LEAD AND BACKUP COUNSEL	4
SERVICE INFORMATION:	4
II. Grounds For Standing (37 C.F.R. §42.104(a))	5
III. Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. §42.104(b))	5
A. Citation of Prior Art	5
B. Statutory Grounds for Challenge and Non-Redundancy	6
IV. The ‘513 Patent	7
A. Technical Background	8
B. Specification of the ‘513 Patent	9
C. Prosecution of the ‘513 Patent	11
V. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	13
VI. Claim Construction	13
A. Legal Standard	13
B. Claim Language of the ‘513 Patent	15
1. “Topical” application to “unbroken skin”	15
2. Adenosine “applied to the dermal cells”	20
3. PO’s Potential Alternative Interpretation Would Be Improper	26
VII. Grounds of Rejection	29
A. GROUND 1: Claims 1-7 and 9 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C.	
§102(b) as anticipated by JP ‘153	30
1. Claim 1	30
2. Claim 2	37

3. Claim 3.....	39
4. Claim 4.....	40
5. Claim 5.....	41
6. Claim 6.....	43
7. Claim 7.....	43
8. Claim 9.....	45
B. GROUND 2: Claim 4 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103 as obvious over JP ‘153	49
1. JP ‘153 Teaches and Renders Obvious Using a Concentration of “About 10⁻³M” Adenosine in His Compositions and Methods.....	49
2. Secondary Considerations	52
C. GROUND 3: Claims 1-7 and 9 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103 as obvious over JP ‘153 and DE‘107	52
1. The JP ‘153/DE ‘107 Combination Would Have Rendered Claims 1-7 and 9 Obvious to a POSITA	54
2. Secondary Considerations	68
VIII. CONCLUSION	69

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Advance Transformer Co. v. Levinson</i> , 837 F.2d 1081, 1083 (Fed.Cir.1988)	15
<i>Atlas Powder Co. v. IRECO, Inc.</i> , 190 F.3d 1342, 1347 (Fed.Cir.1999).....	36
<i>Biogen Idec, Inc. v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC</i> , 7713 F.3d 1090, 1095 (Fed.Cir.2013).....	2
<i>ClearValue Inc. v. Pearl River Polymers Inc.</i> , 668 F.3d 1340 (Fed.Cir.2012).....	51
<i>Cont'l Can Co. v. Monsanto Co.</i> , 948 F.2d 1264, 1268 (Fed.Cir.1991)	45, 62
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	29
<i>Hockerson-Halberstadt, Inc. v. Avia Grp. Int'l, Inc.</i> , 222 F.3d 951, 957 (Fed.Cir.2000).....	15
<i>In re Aller</i> , 220 F.2d 454, 456 (C.C.P.A. 1955)	51, 53
<i>In re Antonie</i> , 559 F.2d 618, 620 (C.C.P.A. 1977).....	51, 53, 55
<i>In re Arkley</i> , 455 F.2d 586, 587 (C.C.P.A. 1972).....	passim
<i>In re Wertheim</i> , 541 F.2d 257 (CCPA 1976).....	50
<i>In re Woodruff</i> , 919 F.2d 1575 (Fed. Cir. 1990).....	50
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	29, 51
<i>LSI Corp. and Avago Tech. U.S., Inc. v. Reg. of the Univ. of Minn.</i> , IPR2017-01068, Paper 19 at 10 (P.T.A.B. December 19, 2017).....	5
<i>Medichem, S.A. v. Rolabo, S.L.</i> , 437 F.3d 1157, 1167 (Fed.Cir.2006).....	55
<i>Modine Mfg. Co. v. United States ITC</i> , 75 F.3d 1545, 1557 (Fed.Cir.1996)	27
<i>Pfizer, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 480 F.3d 1348, 1364 (Fed.Cir.2007).....	55
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303, 1312-13 (Fed.Cir.2005).....	14
<i>Spansion, Inc. v. ITC</i> , 629 F.3d 1331, 1356 (Fed.Cir.2010).....	29
<i>Tempo Lighting, Inc. v. Tivoli, LLC</i> , 742 F.3d 973 (Fed.Cir.2014)	14

Statutes

35 U.S.C. §102.....	29
35 U.S.C. §102(a)	11
35 U.S.C. §102(b)	6, 29, 48
35 U.S.C. §102(e)	11
35 U.S.C. §103	7, 29, 50, 66
35 U.S.C. §103(a)	11
35 U.S.C. §112.....	26, 28

Rules

37 C.F.R. §42.10(a).....	4
37 C.F.R. §42.10(b)	4
37 C.F.R. §42.104(a).....	5
37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)	5
37 C.F.R. §42.8(a)(1)	3
37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(3).....	4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.