UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONOS, INC., Petitioner,

v.

IMPLICIT, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00766 (Patent 7,391,791 B2) Case IPR2018-00767 (Patent 8,942,252 B2)

> Record of Oral Hearing Held: June 17, 2019

Before MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER, SHEILA F. McSHANE, and NABEEL U. KHAN, Administrative Patent Judges.

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

RORY P. SHEA, ESQUIRE COLE B. RICHTER, ESQUIRE Lee Sullivan Shea & Smith, LLP 224 N Desplaines Street Suite 250 Chicago, IL 60661 (312) 754-9606

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

KIRK VOSS, ESQUIRE The Davis Firm, PC 213 N. Fredonia Street, Suite 230 Longview, Texas 75601

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Monday, June 17, 2019, commencing at 1:00 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, USPTO Madison Building, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	
3	JUDGE McSHANE: You may be seated. Thank you.
4	Just bear with us one minute. Thank you.
5	Okay. Good morning or good afternoon rather
6	everyone. We're here to conduct an oral hearing in the Sonos
7	v. Implicit case. It's IPR 2018-00766 and 2018-00767. We are
8	going to hear both these cases in a combined manner at this
9	hearing. Can we have appearances please? Petitioner?
10	MR. SHEA: Yes, Your Honor. Rory Shea from Lee
11	Sullivan Shea & Smith, LLP on behalf of Petitioner Sonos, Inc.
12	Also with me is Cole Richter from Lee Sullivan firm as well.
13	JUDGE McSHANE: Thank you, Mr. Shea.
14	And for Patent Owner?
15	MR. VOSS: Kirk Voss, Davis Firm, on behalf of
16	Implicit, Patent Owner.
17	JUDGE McSHANE: Thank you. Okay. We included
18	the general order of arguments for today's hearing in the
19	trial order. To briefly review what we're going to do is
20	Petitioner will have will present its case. You will have
21	one hour to do so. You may reserve rebuttal time. Patent
22	Owner will then argue its opposition. You'll have one hour
23	total as well and you may reserve surrebuttal time. Then
24	we're going to have Petitioner's rebuttal and Patent Owner's
25	surrebuttal.
26	A couple of reminders. If you're using

DOCKET

1 demonstratives or if you're referring to demonstratives, which 2 you likely probably will be, if you could call out the sheet 3 number, the page number for that demonstrative it would be 4 greatly appreciated. It will help the reporter keep the 5 record correct and also Judge Khan is here remotely and it 6 will help him to be able to follow the slides. He doesn't see 7 a real -- I don't believe Judge Khan sees a real time of the 8 slides. He's got a slide deck on his computer. So please try 9 to remember the callouts. I know it's difficult particularly 10 when you get in the heat of the moment. So thank you for 11 that. 12 And if you could please remember, use the 13 microphone at the podium. Again, Judge Khan needs to hear it 14 and, again, it helps the court reporter. And also, when the 15 other party is on their feet please don't interrupt them with 16 objections. When you get up for your argument time you can 17 present your objections. Understood or no? 18 MR. SHEA: Yes, Your Honor. 19 JUDGE McSHANE: Okay. Thank you. And with 20 that we may proceed. Petitioner, Mr. Shea, when you're ready. 21 MR. SHEA: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 JUDGE McSHANE: Do you reserve rebuttal time? 23 MR. SHEA: Yes, I'm going to reserve 20 minutes for 24 rebuttal time, Your Honor. JUDGE McSHANE: What I'm going to do, Mr. Shea, 25

26 is I'm going to just run this clock which you should be able

1	to see here, I think, somewhere. Do you see the clock?
2	MR. SHEA: The only clock I see is back here.
3	JUDGE McSHANE: All right. Well, let me start
4	it. Let me see how we go. And I'll keep track and when
5	you're done I'll just note how much rebuttal time you have
6	remaining. Okay?
7	MR. SHEA: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE McSHANE: Thank you.
9	MR. SHEA: Good morning, Your Honors. Sonos' IPR
10	petitions in this case establish that every challenged claim
11	of Implicit's patents were unpatentable. Specifically the IPR
12	petition for the 791 Patent established that Janevski
13	anticipates every claim of that patent and the
14	petition for the 252 Patent establishes that Janevski in
15	combination with one of the clock sync references or any of
16	the clock sync references establishes unpatentability of every
17	challenged claim of the 252 Patent. And I apologize. I'm
18	looking at Slide 2 right now on the screen which highlights
19	that issue.
20	Sonos both those petitions rely on expert
21	declarations, and we're looking at Slide 3 now, from Dr. Roman
22	Chertov who provided testimony that a person of
23	ordinary skill in the art, how they would interpret the
24	disclosure of the prior art and the claims in this case and he
25	concluded that every challenged claim of both patents was
26	unpatentable.

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.