

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

SONOS, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

IMPLICIT, LLC  
Patent Owner

---

IPR2018-00767  
U.S. Patent No. 8,942,252

---

**PETITIONER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS..... i

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... ii

I. INTRODUCTION .....1

II. STANDARD.....1

III. EXHIBITS 2002-2009, 2011-2078, AND 2083-2088 SHOULD BE EXCLUDED AS NOT BEING AUTHENTICATED UNDER FRE 901 .....1

    A. Sonos Timely Objected .....1

    B. The Exhibits Lack Sufficient Authentication.....2

IV. EXHIBITS 2081 AND 2082 SHOULD BE EXCLUDED AS BEING IMPROPERLY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE .....5

    A. Sonos Timely Objected .....5

    B. The Exhibits Were Improperly Incorporated By Reference .....5

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>Avant Technology, Inc. v. Anza technology, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-00828, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 16, 2018) .....	6
<i>Chen v. Bouchard</i> , 347 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	2, 3
<i>Cisco Systems, Inc. v. C-Cation Technologies, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 29, 2014) .....	6
<i>Cooper v. Goldfarb</i> , 154 F.3d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	3
<i>Hahn v. Wong</i> , 892 F.2d 1028 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	2
<i>Horton v. Stevens</i> , 1988 WL 252359 (BPAI Mar. 8, 1988.....	3
<i>IBG LLC v. Trading Technologies, Inc.</i> , CBM2016-00054, Paper 36 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 7, 2017) .....	6
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	2, 3
<i>Mahurkar v. C.R. Bard, Inc.</i> , 79 F.3d 1572 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	2
<i>Medichem, S.A. v. Rolabo, S.L.</i> , 437 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	3
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Surfcaster, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00292, IPR2013-00293, IPR2013-00294, and IPR2013-00295, Paper 33 (PTAB Oct. 14, 2014).....	4
<i>Neste Oil OYJ v. REG Synthetic Fuels, LLC</i> , IPR2013-00578, Paper 52 (PTAB Mar. 12, 2015) .....	2, 3
<i>Perfect Surgical Techniques, Inc. v. Olympus Am., Inc.</i> , 841 F.3d 1004 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	2

*White v. Habenstein*,  
1983 WL 50193 (BPAI May 11, 1983) .....3

*ZTE (USA), Inc. v. Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute*,  
IPR2015-00028, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 20, 2015).....5

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

77 Fed. Reg. 48,756 (Aug. 14, 2012).....1

**REGULATIONS**

37 C.F.R. §42.24(b)(2).....6

37 C.F.R. §42.6(a)(3) .....6

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.64(c) and 42.61(a) and the Federal Rules of Evidence, Sonos respectfully moves to exclude Exhibits 2002-2009, 2011-2078, and 2083-2088 as lacking sufficient authentication, and Exhibits 2081 and 2082 as being improperly incorporated by reference.

## **II. STANDARD**

A Motion to Exclude must (a) identify where in the record the objection was made, (b) identify where in the record the evidence sought to be excluded was relied upon by an opponent, (c) address objections to exhibits in numerical order, and (d) explain the objection. Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,767 (Aug. 14, 2012).

## **III. EXHIBITS 2002-2009, 2011-2078, AND 2083-2088 SHOULD BE EXCLUDED AS NOT BEING AUTHENTICATED UNDER FRE 901**

### **A. Sonos Timely Objected**

Implicit introduced Exhibits 2002-2009, 2011-2078, and 2083-2088 in its Patent Owner response (“POR”), arguing that these exhibits corroborate the declaration of its lead inventor and founder of Implicit (and its predecessor companies). POR, pp. 14-29; Patent Owner’s Exhibit List. Sonos timely objected to these Exhibits on December 26, 2018 as lacking sufficient authentication under FRE 901 and *Neste Oil OYJ v. REG Synthetic Fuels, LLC*, IPR2013-00578, Paper

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.