

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONOS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

IMPLICIT, LLC
Patent Owner

Case: To Be Assigned

Patent No. 8,942,252

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,942,252
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §311 *et seq.* and 37 CFR §42.1 *et seq.***

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
TABLE OF EXHIBITS	v
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 CFR §42.8.....	1
III. STANDING TO FILE PETITION UNDER 37 CFR §§42.101 – 103	3
IV. PETITION REQUIREMENTS UNDER 37 CFR §42.104.....	4
V. OVERVIEW OF ‘252 PATENT	7
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	18
A. “device time”	18
B. “rendering time”	18
C. Sending/receiving “a plurality of master rendering times”	19
D. “smooth a rendering time differential . . .” / “determining a smoothed rendering time differential . . .”	20
VII. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGES.....	24
VIII. OVERVIEW OF JANEVSKI.....	26
IX. DETAILED ANALYSIS OF CHALLENGE#1	35
A. Independent Claim 1	36
B. Dependent Claims 2-3 & 8.....	47
C. Independent Claim 11	50
D. Dependent Claim 17	56

X.	DETAILED ANALYSIS OF CHALLENGES#2-4.....	57
XI.	DETAILED ANALYSIS OF CHALLENGE#5	64
XII.	CONCLUSION.....	68

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Ex Parte Peter Hartmann, Ching Tat Lai, & Leon R. Mitoulas,</i> APPEAL 2012-007518, 2015 WL 581245 (Feb. 10, 2015).....	47
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.,</i> 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	5
<i>Karl Storz Endoscopy-Am., Inc., 7,420,151 B2,</i> 2017 WL 950769 (Mar. 8, 2017).....	47
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,</i> 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	42
<i>Odom v. Microsoft Corp.,</i> 429 F. App'x 967 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	42

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 102(a)	25
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	25
35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	25
35 U.S.C. § 103	5
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 311	1
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2).....	1
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	1
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	3

REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 42.101(a).....	3
----------------------------	---

37 C.F.R. § 42.101(b)	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.101(c).....	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	5, 18
37 CFR § 42.1	1
37 CFR § 42.100(b)	5
37 CFR § 42.101	3
37 CFR § 42.102(a).....	4
37 CFR § 42.104(a).....	4
37 CFR § 42.104(b)(1).....	4
37 CFR § 42.104(b)(2).....	5
37 CFR § 42.15(a)(1)	4
37 CFR § 42.15(a)(2)	4
37 CFR § 42.22	1
37 CFR § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
37 CFR § 42.8(b)(2).....	2
37 CFR § 42.8(b)(3).....	3
37 CFR § 42.8(b)(4).....	3

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.