UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Moderna Therapeutics, Inc.

Petitioner

v.

Protiva Biotherapeutics, Inc.

Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2018-00739 U.S. Patent No. 9,364,435

DECLARATION OF ANDREW S. JANOFF, PH.D. IN SUPPORT OF MODERNA THERAPEUTICS, INC.'S PETITIONER'S OPPOSITION TO PATENT OWNER'S CONTINGENT MOTION TO AMEND

Mail Stop: PATENT BOARD Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DOCKET

DOCKET

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I.	INTRODUCTION 1		
II.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS		
III.	QUALIFICATION AND EXPERIENCE		
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART		
V.	LEGAL PRINCIPLES7		
	A.	Claim construction7	
	B.	Prior Art	
	C.	Anticipation9	
	D.	Obviousness	
VI.	The Term "Serum Stabile" and the Limitation of Resisting Nuclease Degradation Do Not Render The Claims Patentable		
	A.	The Addition of the Term "Serum-Stable" Is Technically Deficient	
	B.	The Limitation of Resisting Degradation Does Not Differentiate the Prior Art	
VII.	The Narrowed Claimed Concentrations of Lipid Components Remain Obvious By A Preponderance of the Evidence		
	A.	Overlap with the Prior Art Ranges Is Even More Pronounced	
	В.	Test Data Does Not Demonstrate Unexpected Results Commensurate with the Scope of the Claims	
VIII.	The Proposed Substitute Claims Lack Written Description Support		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	A.	The Written Description in the '435 Patent Describes siRNA Payloads	19
	B.	A POSITA Would Not Consider Patentee To Be In Possession of Nucleic-Acid Lipid Particles with a mRNA Payload	23
	C.	The Board Has Found Comparable Disclosures Lacking	24
IX.	The Proposed Substitute Claims Lack Enablement		25
X.	CON	CLUSION	26

I, Dr. Andrew S. Janoff, PhD, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Andrew S. Janoff. I am a consultant in biotechnology and drug delivery, primarily focusing on lipid and liposome technology. I have been retained by counsel for Moderna Therapeutics, Inc. ("Moderna") as an expert in the relevant art.

 I submitted a declaration dated March 5, 2018 in support of Moderna's initial Petition for Inter Partes review of U.S. Patent No. 9,364,435 (the "'435 patent"). *See* EX1007.

3. On December 21, 2018, Patent Owner Protiva Biotherapeutics, Inc. ("Patent Owner") filed its response to Moderna's petition. I have been asked to provide additional opinions in response to Patent Owner's response that are relevant to Moderna's reply. My opinions concerning Moderna's reply are put forth in a separate declaration.

4. Also on December 21, 2018, Patent Owner filed its Contingent Motion to Amend ("MTA"). Patent Owner thereafter filed a Corrected Patent Owner's Contingent Motion to Amend on January 30, 2019. I have been asked to provide additional opinions in response to Patent Owner's MTA. The opinions discussed herein are my own.

5. This declaration is based on the information currently available to me. To the extent that additional information becomes available, I reserve the

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

right to continue my investigation and study, which may include a review of documents and information that may be produced, as well as testimony from depositions.

II. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS

6. The issued claims of the '435 patent cover disparate nucleic acid payloads, any of a host of potential lipid components, and ranges for lipid component concentrations for nucleic acid-lipid particles. As written, these claims overlap with the prior art, including the Patent Owner's own prior disclosures rendering them *prima facie* obvious. The set of substitute claims presented in Patent Owner's MTA do not remedy the invalidity issues raised. The proposed substitute claims purport to add "limitations" to the preamble, are based upon mischaracterizations of the knowledge in the art, and lack written description support and an enabling disclosure for the different nucleic acid payloads recited therein.

III. QUALIFICATION AND EXPERIENCE

7. I am formally trained as a membrane biophysicist. I obtained my Ph.D. degree in Biophysics from Michigan State University in 1980. Before that, I received my MS in Biophysics from Michigan State University in 1977, and my BS in Biology from The American University in 1971. I received postdoctoral training in Pharmacology at the Harvard Medical School and in Anesthesia at the Massachusetts General Hospital.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.