Filed on behalf of TQ Delta, LLC
By: Peter J. McAndrews
Andrew B. Karp
McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 W. Madison St., 34<sup>th</sup> Floor
Chicago, IL 60661
Tel: 312-775-8000
Fax: 312-775-8100
E-mail: pmcandrews@mcandrews-ip.com

DOCKET

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

### ERICSSON INC. AND TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON Petitioners

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC Patent Owner

> Case IPR2018-00727 Patent No. 6,628,629

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner files the following

objections to evidence that Petitioners submitted with its Petition for Inter Parties

Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,628,629. A chart listing Patent Owner's objections

and its basis for the objections is provided below.

Exhibit	Objection
Ex. 1003 (Declaration	<i>Relevance</i> : These portions of Ex. 1003 are not relied on
of Zygmunt Haas), ¶¶	by the Petition, and therefore not relevant under FRE 402.
38–49, 91, 136, and all	See, e.g., 35 U.S.C. §§ 312(a)(3), (4); 37 C.F.R. §§
other uncited portions	42.104(b)(4), (5); 37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(2); 37 C.F.R. §
	42.6(a)(3); Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC,
	IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (Aug. 29, 2014) (informative).
	Confusion, Delay, and Waste of Time: To the extent that
	this exhibit has any other relevance to the Petition, that
	relevance was not raised by the Petition, and any
	argument for raising it now would result in confusion,
	delay, and wasted time. See FRE 403.
Ex. 1009	<i>Hearsay</i> : The exhibit constitutes inadmissible hearsay,
(PRMA/DA: A New	and no hearsay exception applies. See FRE 801-807.
Media Access Control	Additionally, Petitioner has provided no admissible
Protocol for Wireless	evidence establishing the date of publication.
ATM)	
Ex. 1012 (Computer	<i>Hearsay</i> : The exhibit constitutes inadmissible hearsay,
Dictionary &	and no hearsay exception applies. See FRE 801-807.
Handbook)	Additionally, Petitioner has provided no admissible
	evidence establishing the date of publication.
	Authenticity: The exhibit is not authenticated as required
	by FRE 901 and is not self-authenticating.

Δ

Exhibit	Objection
Ex. 1014 (Computer	<i>Hearsay</i> : The exhibit constitutes inadmissible hearsay,
Networks)	and no hearsay exception applies. See FRE 801-807.
	Additionally, Petitioner has provided no admissible
	evidence establishing the date of publication.
	Authenticity: The exhibit is not authenticated as required
	by FRE 901 and is not self-authenticating.
Ex. 1018 (SWAN: An	<i>Relevance</i> : This exhibit is not relied on by the Petition,
Indoor Wireless ATM	and therefore not relevant under FRE 402.
network)	
	Confusion, Delay, and Waste of Time: To the extent that
	this exhibit has any other relevance to the Petition, that
	relevance was not raised by the Petition, and any
	argument for raising it now would result in confusion,
	delay, and wasted time. See FRE 403.
	<i>Hearsay</i> : The exhibit constitutes inadmissible hearsay,
	and no hearsay exception applies. <i>See</i> FRE 801-807.
	Additionally, Petitioner has provided no admissible
	evidence establishing the date of publication.
	eridence estactioning the date of publication.
	Authenticity: The exhibit is not authenticated as required
	by FRE 901 and is not self-authenticating.
Ex. 1019 (Mobile	<i>Hearsay</i> : The exhibit constitutes inadmissible hearsay,
Cellular	and no hearsay exception applies. See FRE 801-807.
Telecommunications:	Additionally, Petitioner has provided no admissible
Analog and Digital	evidence establishing the date of publication.
Systems)	
	Authenticity: The exhibit is not authenticated as required
	by FRE 901 and is not self-authenticating.
Ex. 1020 (NEC	Hearsay: The exhibit constitutes inadmissible hearsay,
Combines High-Speed	and no hearsay exception applies. See FRE 801-807.
IP Packet Processing	Additionally, Petitioner has provided no admissible
with ATM	evidence establishing the date of publication.
Switching,)	
	Authenticity: The exhibit is not authenticated as required
	by FRE 901 and is not self-authenticating.

Exhibit	Objection
Ex. 1021 (RFC 2063)	<i>Relevance</i> : This exhibit is not relied on by the Petition, and therefore not relevant under FRE 402.
	<i>Confusion, Delay, and Waste of Time</i> : To the extent that this exhibit has any other relevance to the Petition, that relevance was not raised by the Petition, and any argument for raising it now would result in confusion, delay, and wasted time. <i>See</i> FRE 403.
	<i>Hearsay</i> : The exhibit constitutes inadmissible hearsay, and no hearsay exception applies. <i>See</i> FRE 801-807. Additionally, Petitioner has provided no admissible evidence establishing the date of publication.
	<i>Authenticity</i> : The exhibit is not authenticated as required by FRE 901 and is not self-authenticating.
Ex. 1022 (RFC 1483)	<i>Relevance</i> : This exhibit is not relied on by the Petition, and therefore not relevant under FRE 402.
	<i>Confusion, Delay, and Waste of Time</i> : To the extent that this exhibit has any other relevance to the Petition, that relevance was not raised by the Petition, and any argument for raising it now would result in confusion, delay, and wasted time. <i>See</i> FRE 403.
	<i>Hearsay</i> : The exhibit constitutes inadmissible hearsay, and no hearsay exception applies. <i>See</i> FRE 801-807. Additionally, Petitioner has provided no admissible evidence establishing the date of publication.
	<i>Authenticity</i> : The exhibit is not authenticated as required by FRE 901 and is not self-authenticating.

Dated: October 24, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/Peter J. McAndrews/ Peter J. McAndrews Registration No. 38,547 McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd. 500 West Madison Street, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, Illinois 60661 Office: (312) 775-8000 Fax: (312) 775-8100 Email: pmcandrews@mcandrews-ip.com

Lead Counsel for Patent Owner

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.