

Filed on behalf of Intellectual Ventures I LLC

By: Peter J. McAndrews
Andrew B. Karp
McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 West Madison Street
Chicago, Illinois 60661
Tel.: (312) 775-8000
Fax: (312) 775-8100
Email: pmcandrews@mcandrews-ip.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ERICSSON INC. AND TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON
Petitioners

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-00727
Patent No. 6,628,629

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	1
II.	THE PRIORITY DATE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS	2
III.	THE '629 PATENT FAMILY (“JORGENSEN FAMILY”).....	2
IV.	THE '629 PATENT.....	4
V.	BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY	6
A.	Circuit-Switched Networks	6
B.	Packets and Packet-Switched Networks.....	7
C.	Packet-Switched Networks <i>Versus</i> Circuit-Switched Networks.....	9
D.	ATM Cells, Unlike Packets, Are Communicated Using Circuit-Switched Networks	12
E.	Petitioners Wrongly Allege That ATM Is Packet-Centric	15
VI.	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	22
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	22
A.	“Isochronous”	23
B.	“Periodic Variation”	25
C.	“No Periodic Variation”	25
D.	“Packet”	26
VIII.	PETITIONERS FAILED TO MEET THEIR BURDEN UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	28
A.	Petitioners Have Not Met Their Burden To Show A Reasonable Likelihood That Claims 1, 3, And 4 Are Obvious Over Dyson And Raychaudhuri (Ground 1).....	29
1.	Dyson Discloses Only ATM Techniques And Not “Packets”	29

2.	Raychaudhuri Discloses ATM And Not “Packets”	32
B.	Petitioners Have Not Met Their Burden To Show A Reasonable Likelihood That Claim 2 Is Obvious Over Dyson, Raychaudhuri, And Chennakeshu (Ground 2).....	34
C.	Petitioners Have Not Met Their Burden To Show A Reasonable Likelihood That Claim 4 Is Obvious Over Dyson, Raychaudhuri, Goodman, And Yang (Ground 3).....	34
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	35

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc. et al.</i> , Case No. 2:17-cv-00577-JRG (E.D. Tex.)	24
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	30
<i>Kearns v. Chrysler Corp.</i> , 32 F.3d 1541 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	31
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	22
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	31
<i>Wellman, Inc. v. Eastman Chem. Co.</i> , 642 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	23

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 103	1
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	22, 28

Rules

Fed. R. Evid. 401	32
Fed. R. Evid. 402	32

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	22
----------------------------	----

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description
2001	IPR2014-00527 final written decision
2002	Jorgensen family listing of patents and applications from PAIR
2003	IPR2018-01058 petition
2004	IPR2018-01121 petition
2005	U.S. Appl. No. 09/349,975, applicant's response of 2002-11-06
2006	U.S. Pat. No. 6,188,671 (“Chase”)
2007	U.S. Appl. No. 09/349,477, applicant's response of 2001-12-20
2008	U.S. Appl. No. 09/349,477, applicant's response of 2001-11-30
2009	Wireless Intelligent ATM Network and Protocol Design for Future Personal Communication Systems (“Cheng”)
2010	IPR2018-01007 petition
2011	U.S. Pat. No. 6,682,622
2012	U.S. Appl. No. 09/349,477, applicant's response of 2001-05-14
2013	U.S. Pat. No. 6,640,248
2014	U.S. Pat. No. 5,787,080 (“Hulyalkar”)
2015	U.S. Appl. No. 09/349,482, applicant's response of 2003-04-02
2016	IPR2018-01007 Petitioners’ expert’s declaration
2017	U.S. Pat. No. 4,916,691 (“Goodman”)
2018	2:17-cv-00577, Ericsson’s claim construction proposals
2019	2:17-cv-00577, Intellectual Ventures I claim construction proposals

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.