Case 1:13-cv-03372-SHS Document 67 Filed 04/08/15 Page 1 of 69

USDC SDNY	
DOCUMENT	
ELECTRONICALLY	FILED
DOC #:	
DATE FILED: 4/8	115

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re: OXYCONTIN ANTITRUST LITIGATION

04-Md-1603 (SHS)

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., THE P.F. LABORATORIES, INC., and PURDUE PHARMACEUTICALS L.P.,

Plaintiffs,

1 10111111

13-Cv-3372 (SHS)

-against-

AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC,

Defendant.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE	OF ABBREVIATIONSv
PART	1. INTRODUCTION
І. Т	THE RECORD AND RELEVANT PROCEEDINGS
A.	The '888 Patent and Asserted Claims
В.	The 2013 Teva Trial2
C.	Claim Construction
D.	The 2014 Trial
E.	This Opinion4
II. L	EGAL STANDARDS4
Α.	Procedural Context and the Hatch-Waxman Act4
В.	Claim Construction
D. С.	Claims of Patent Infringement
D. 1	The Affirmative Defense of Patent Invalidity
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3	
E.	Attorney's Fees
PART	2. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 13
I. (CLAIM CONSTRUCTION
Α.	Method of Testing Viscosity
1	
	ncludes, at a Minimum, Shear Rates Ranging from .01 to 100 Reciprocal
	Seconds
2	
Т	Cemperatures Above 45° C



3. Testing Temperature Is Not Limited to 25° C. but Does Not Exte	nd
to Temperatures at or Near Boiling.	20
4. The viscosity test is conducted after a visual inspection confirms	;
that the soluble components of the dosage form have dissolved,	
although insoluble particles may remain.	23
B. The Gelling Agent as a Whole May Confer the Requisite Viscosity.	24
II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND: ABUSE OF OXYCONTIN AND PURDUE'S RESPONSI	E. 28
III. Infringement	31
A. Findings of Fact	31
1. Amneal's tablets meet the limitations of claim 1 because its gelli	ng
agents impart a viscosity of at least 10 cP	32
2. Amneal's tablets meet the limitations of claim 5 because they att	ain
a viscosity of at least about 10 cP when dissolved in water	33
3. Amneal's tablets meet the limitations of claim 7 because they ob	tain
a viscosity of at least about 60 cP.	34
4. Amneal's tablets meet the limitations of claim 23 because they	
achieve the requisite viscosity when crushed and dissolved in water.	34
5. Amneal's tablets meet the limitations of claim 24 because they	
obtain the requisite viscosity when dissolved in water heated above	
45° C	35
B. Conclusions of Law	36
IV. Invalidity	36
A. Novelty Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 102	36
1. Findings of Fact	36
a) The '963 Patent does not disclose all the limitations of the '888	j
Patent.	36
b) The '591 Application does not disclose all limitations of the	
asserted claims of the '888 Patent.	38
2 Conducions of Law	40



B. Obviousness Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 103	40
1. Findings of Fact	40
a) Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	40
b) Scope and Content of the Prior Art	41
(1) The prior art teaches that gelling agents reduce abuse	
potential	41
(2) The prior art teaches that PEO functions as both a rate	
controlling agent and a gelling agent	43
c) Differences Between the '888 Patent and the Prior Art	44
(1) The '888 Patent differs from the prior art by claiming	
oxycodone and requiring a quantitative level of viscosity	44
(2) The '888 Patent does not represent a departure from the price	or
art in other significant ways	45
d) Objective Indicia of Nonobviousness	47
(1) There is insufficient evidence of the '888 Patent's commercia	ıl
success.	47
(2) Amneal's alleged copying of the invention is not an indication	
of nonobviousness.	50
(3) The '888 Patent did not fulfill a long-felt but unmet need	50
(4) Although Purdue received some acclaim for its invention,	
persons of skill in the art were not skeptical that the '888 Patent	
would work	51
2. Conclusions of Law	51
a) It would have been obvious to respond to the oxycodone abuse	e
crisis by creating a controlled release dosage form that utilizes PEO) as
a gelling and rate control agent	51
b) The remaining features of the claimed invention are obvious	54
c) All asserted claims of the '888 Patent are invalid as obvious	54
C. Indefiniteness Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 112	55
1. Findings of Fact	
a) Shoar Pato	55



Case 1:13-cv-03372-SHS Document 67 Filed 04/08/15 Page 5 of 69

(1) Shear rate determines whether some accused products me	et
claim 5's 60 cP viscosity limitation	55
(2) Specifying shear rate is standard practice among ordinaril	y
skilled artisans.	56
b) Tampering and Testing Temperature	57
c) Extent of Dissolution	60
2. Conclusions of Law	61
PART 3. CONCLUSION AND RELIEF	63



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

