

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., PURDUE)	
PHARMACEUTICALS L.P., THE P.F.)	
LABORATORIES, INC., and RHODES)	
TECHNOLOGIES,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	C.A. No. _____
)	
v.)	
)	
AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
)	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, Purdue Pharma L.P., Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P., The P.F. Laboratories, Inc. (collectively, “Purdue”) and Rhodes Technologies (“Rhodes”) (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), for their Complaint against Defendant, Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC (“Amneal” or “Defendant”), aver as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, for infringement of United States Patent Nos. 9,492,392 (the ‘392 patent”); 9,492,393 (the ‘393 patent”); and 9,522,919 (the ‘919 patent”) (collectively, “the patents-in-suit”). This action relates to Abbreviated New Drug Application (“ANDA”) No. 203235 as amended (“Defendant’s Amended ANDA”) submitted, upon information and belief, in the name of Amneal to the United States Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”).

2. Plaintiffs seek judgment that Defendant has infringed the ‘392, ‘393 and ‘919 patents (collectively “the Orange Book patents”) which are listed in the FDA *Approved*

Drug Products With Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations (“Orange Book”) as covering Purdue’s OxyContin® (oxycodone hydrochloride) (“OxyContin®”), an extended-release pain medication. Defendant has infringed the Orange Book patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(2)(A) by filing ANDA No. 203235 as amended, submitted upon information and belief in the name of Amneal to the FDA. Defendant’s Amended ANDA seeks approval to market a generic version of Purdue’s OxyContin®, which is the subject of approved NDA No. 022272, in the 10 mg, 15 mg, 20 mg, 30 mg, 40 mg, 60 mg and 80 mg dosage strengths (“Defendant’s Amended ANDA Products”).

3. On December 15, 2015, Purdue filed a related complaint against Defendant, C.A. No. 15-1152-RGA, for patent infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,674,799 (the “’799 patent”); 7,674,800 (the “’800 patent”); 7,683,072 (the “’072 patent”); 8,337,888 (the “’888 patent”); 8,808,741 (the “’741 patent”); 8,894,987 (the “’987 patent”); 8,894,988 (the “’988 patent”); 9,060,976 (the “’976 patent”); 9,034,376 (the “’376 patent”); and 9,073,933 (the “’933 patent”). The previous action was filed in connection with Defendant’s Amended ANDA, which contained a “Paragraph IV” certification under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) alleging that, *inter alia*, the ’799, ’800, ’072, ’888, ’741, ’987, ’988, ’976, ’376 and ’933 patents, listed in the Orange Book as covering OxyContin®, are “unenforceable, invalid, and/or not infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by the manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, and/or importation of the drug product for which ANDA No. 203235 has been submitted by Amneal.”

4. On September 17, 2015, Purdue filed a related complaint against Defendant, C.A. No. 15-831-RGA, for patent infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,060,976 (the “’976 patent”) and 9,034,376 (the “’376 patent”). The previous action was filed in connection

with Defendant's ANDA, which contained a "Paragraph IV" certification under 21 U.S.C. § 355(j)(2)(A)(vii)(IV) alleging that the '976 patent, listed in the Orange Book as covering OxyContin®, is "unenforceable, invalid, and/or not infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by the manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, and/or importation of the drug product for which ANDA No. 203235 has been submitted by Amneal."

THE PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Purdue Pharma L.P. ("Purdue Pharma") is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a place of business at One Stamford Forum, 201 Tresser Boulevard, Stamford, CT 06901. Purdue Pharma is an owner of the '392, '393 and '919 patents, identified in paragraphs 20-22 below. Purdue Pharma is also the holder of approved NDA No. 022272 for OxyContin®, indicated for pain severe enough to require daily, around-the-clock, long-term opioid treatment and for which alternative treatment options are inadequate. Purdue Pharma sells OxyContin® in the United States.

6. Plaintiff Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P. ("Purdue Pharmaceuticals") is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a place of business at 4701 Purdue Drive, Wilson, NC 27893. Purdue Pharmaceuticals is an owner of the '392, '393 and '919 patents, identified in paragraphs 20-22 below.

7. Plaintiff The P.F. Laboratories, Inc. ("P.F. Labs") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, having a place of business at One Stamford Forum, Stamford, CT 06901. P.F. Labs is an owner of the '919 patent, identified in paragraphs 20-22 below.

8. Plaintiff Rhodes Technologies ("Rhodes") is a general partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a place of business at

498 Washington Street, Coventry, RI 02816. Rhodes is an owner of the '919 patent, identified in paragraph 22 below, and is involved in the manufacture of the active pharmaceutical ingredient ("API") used in OxyContin®.

9. On information and belief, Defendant is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a principal place of business at 400 Crossing Boulevard, 3rd floor, Bridgewater, NJ 08807.

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. § 271 and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

12. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), and 1400(b).

PERSONAL JURISDICTION

13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant by virtue of, *inter alia*, the fact that Amneal is a Delaware limited liability company, Defendant's systematic and continuous contacts with Delaware, and Defendant's contacts with Delaware in connection with the submission of its ANDA, as set forth below.

14. On information and belief, Amneal is registered to conduct business within the State of Delaware and maintains as a registered agent The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

15. On information and belief, Amneal holds current and valid “Distributor/Manufacturer CSR” and “Pharmacy-Wholesale” licenses from the Delaware Board of Pharmacy.

16. On information and belief, Defendant is in the business of preparing generic pharmaceuticals that it distributes in the State of Delaware and throughout the United States.

17. On information and belief, if ANDA No. 203235 as amended is approved, Defendant’s Amended ANDA Products would, among other things, be marketed and distributed in Delaware, and/or prescribed by physicians practicing and dispensed by pharmacies located within Delaware, all of which would have a substantial effect on Delaware.

18. On information and belief, Defendant has admitted to, consented to or has not contested, the jurisdiction of this Court, and/or has availed itself of the rights, benefits, and privileges of this Court by asserting counterclaims in prior District of Delaware actions, *e.g.*, *Purdue Pharma L.P. et al. v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC*, C.A. No. 15-1152; *Purdue Pharma L.P. et al. v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC*, C.A. No. 15-831; *Forest Laboratories, LLC v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC*, C.A. No. 15-756; *Hospira, Inc. v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC*, C.A. No. 15-697; *Forest Laboratories, LLC v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC*, C.A. No. 15-430; *Merck Sharpe & Dohme Corp. v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC*, C.A. No. 15-250; and *Forest Laboratories, Inc. v. Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC*, C.A. No. 14-508.

19. This Court further has personal jurisdiction over Defendant by virtue of the fact that Defendant has committed, or aided, abetted, contributed to, and/or participated in the commission of, the tortious act of patent infringement that has led to foreseeable harm and injury to Plaintiffs, including Plaintiffs Purdue Pharma and Purdue Pharmaceuticals, which are limited

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.