

Chapter 2100 Patentability

2101	[Reserved]	2106.07(b)	Evaluating Applicant's Response
-2102		2106.07(c)	Clarifying the Record
2103	Patent Examination Process	2107	Guidelines for Examination of Applications for Compliance with the Utility Requirement
2104	Inventions Patentable - Requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101	2107.01	General Principles Governing Utility Rejections
2105	Patent Eligible Subject Matter — Living Subject Matter	2107.02	Procedural Considerations Related to Rejections for Lack of Utility
2106	Patent Subject Matter Eligibility	2107.03	Special Considerations for Asserted Therapeutic or Pharmacological Utilities
2106.01	[Reserved]	2108	[Reserved]
2106.02	[Reserved]	-2110	
2106.03	Eligibility Step 1: The Four Categories of Statutory Subject Matter	2111	Claim Interpretation; Broadest Reasonable Interpretation
2106.04	Eligibility Step 2: Whether a Claim is Directed to a Judicial Exception	2111.01	Plain Meaning
2106.04(a)	Abstract Ideas	2111.02	Effect of Preamble
2106.04(a)(1)	Examples of Claims That Are Not Directed To Abstract Ideas	2111.03	Transitional Phrases
2106.04(a)(2)	Examples of Concepts The Courts Have Identified As Abstract Ideas	2111.04	“Adapted to,” “Adapted for,” “Wherein,” “Whereby,” and Contingent Clauses
2106.04(b)	Laws of Nature, Natural Phenomena & Products of Nature	2111.05	Functional and Nonfunctional Descriptive Material
2106.04(c)	The Markedly Different Characteristics Analysis	2112	Requirements of Rejection Based on Inherency; Burden of Proof
2106.05	Eligibility Step 2B: Whether a Claim Amounts to Significantly More	2112.01	Composition, Product, and Apparatus Claims
2106.05(a)	Improvements to the Functioning of a Computer or To Any Other Technology or Technical Field	2112.02	Process Claims
2106.05(b)	Particular Machine	2113	Product-by-Process Claims
2106.05(c)	Particular Transformation	2114	Apparatus and Article Claims — Functional Language
2106.05(d)	Well-Understood, Routine, Conventional Activity	2115	Material or Article Worked Upon by Apparatus
2106.05(e)	Other Meaningful Limitations	2116	[Reserved]
2106.05(f)	Mere Instructions To Apply An Exception	2116.01	Novel, Unobvious Starting Material or End Product
2106.05(g)	Insignificant Extra-Solution Activity	2117	Markush Claims
2106.05(h)	Field of Use and Technological Environment	2118	[Reserved]
2106.06	Streamlined Analysis	-2120	
2106.06(a)	Eligibility is Self Evident	2121	Prior Art; General Level of Operability Required to Make a Prima Facie Case
2106.06(b)	Clear Improvement to a Technology or to Computer Functionality	2121.01	Use of Prior Art in Rejections Where Operability is in Question
2106.07	Formulating and Supporting Rejections For Lack Of Subject Matter Eligibility	2121.02	Compounds and Compositions — What Constitutes Enabling Prior Art
2106.07(a)	Formulating a Rejection For Lack	2121.03	Plant Genetics — What Constitutes Enabling Prior Art
		2121.04	Apparatus and Articles — What Constitutes Enabling Prior Art

2123	Rejection Over Prior Art’s Broad Disclosure Instead of Preferred Embodiments	2133.03(e)	Permitted Activity; Experimental Use
2124	Exception to the Rule That the Critical Reference Date Must Precede the Filing Date	2133.03(e)(1)	Commercial Exploitation
		2133.03(e)(2)	Intent
		2133.03(e)(3)	“Completeness” of the Invention
2124.01	Tax Strategies Deemed Within the Prior Art	2133.03(e)(4)	Factors Indicative of an Experimental Purpose
2125	Drawings as Prior Art	2133.03(e)(5)	Experimentation and Degree of Supervision and Control
2126	Availability of a Document as a “Patent” for Purposes of Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a), (b), and (d)	2133.03(e)(6)	Permitted Experimental Activity and Testing
		2133.03(e)(7)	Activity of an Independent Third Party Inventor
2126.01	Date of Availability of a Patent as a Reference	2134	Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(c)
2126.02	Scope of Reference’s Disclosure Which Can Be Used to Reject Claims When the Reference Is a “Patent” but Not a “Publication”	2135	Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(d)
2127	Domestic and Foreign Patent Applications as Prior Art	2135.01	The Four Requirements of Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(d)
2128	“Printed Publications” as Prior Art	2136	Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)
2128.01	Level of Public Accessibility Required	2136.01	Status of U.S. Application as a Reference
2128.02	Date Publication Is Available as a Reference	2136.02	Content of the Prior Art Available Against the Claims
2129	Admissions as Prior Art	2136.03	Critical Reference Date
2130	[Reserved]	2136.04	Different Inventive Entity; Meaning of “By Another”
2131	Anticipation — Application of 35 U.S.C. 102	2136.05	Overcoming a Rejection Under Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)
2131.01	Multiple Reference 35 U.S.C. 102 Rejections	2137	Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(f)
2131.02	Genus-Species Situations	2137.01	Inventorship
2131.03	Anticipation of Ranges	2137.02	Applicability of Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(c)
2131.04	Secondary Considerations	2138	Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(g)
2131.05	Nonanalogous or Disparaging Prior Art	2138.01	Interference Practice
2132	Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a)	2138.02	“The Invention Was Made in This Country”
2132.01	Publications as Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a) Prior Art	2138.03	“By Another Who Has Not Abandoned, Suppressed, or Concealed It”
2133	Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(b)	2138.04	“Conception”
2133.01	Rejections of Continuation-In-Part (CIP) Applications	2138.05	“Reduction to Practice”
2133.02	Rejections Based on Publications and Patents	2138.06	“Reasonable Diligence”
2133.03	Rejections Based on “Public Use” or “On Sale”	2139	[Reserved]
		-2140	
2133.03(a)	“Public Use”	2141	Examination Guidelines for Determining Obviousness Under 35 U.S.C. 103
2133.03(b)	“On Sale”	2141.01	Scope and Content of the Prior Art
2133.03(c)	The “Invention”	2141.01(a)	Analogous and Nonanalogous Art
2133.03(d)	“In This Country”	2141.02	Differences Between Prior Art and Claimed Invention

2141.03	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	2152.02(a)	Patented
2142	Legal Concept of Prima Facie Obviousness	2152.02(b)	Described in a Printed Publication
2143	Examples of Basic Requirements of a Prima Facie Case of Obviousness	2152.02(c)	In Public Use
2143.01	Suggestion or Motivation To Modify the References	2152.02(d)	On Sale
2143.02	Reasonable Expectation of Success Is Required	2152.02(e)	Otherwise Available to the Public
2143.03	All Claim Limitations Must Be Considered	2152.02(f)	No Requirement of "By Others"
2144	Supporting a Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 103	2152.03	Admissions
2144.01	Implicit Disclosure	2152.04	The Meaning of "Disclosure"
2144.02	Reliance on Scientific Theory	2153	Prior Art Exceptions Under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)(1) to AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a)(1)
2144.03	Reliance on Common Knowledge in the Art or "Well Known" Prior Art	2153.01	Prior Art Exception Under AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(b)(1)(A) To AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a)(1) (Grace Period Inventor Or Inventor-Originated Disclosure Exception)
2144.04	Legal Precedent as Source of Supporting Rationale	2153.01(a)	Grace Period Inventor Disclosure Exception
2144.05	Obviousness of Similar and Overlapping Ranges, Amounts, and Proportions	2153.01(b)	Grace Period Inventor-Originated Disclosure Exception
2144.06	Art Recognized Equivalence for the Same Purpose	2153.02	Prior Art Exception Under AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(b)(1)(B) to AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a)(1) (Inventor Or Inventor-Originated Prior Public Disclosure Exception)
2144.07	Art Recognized Suitability for an Intended Purpose	2154	Provisions Pertaining to Subject Matter in a U.S. Patent or Application Effectively Filed Before the Effective Filing Date of the Claimed Invention
2144.08	Obviousness of Species When Prior Art Teaches Genus	2154.01	Prior Art Under AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a)(2) "U.S. Patent Documents"
2144.09	Close Structural Similarity Between Chemical Compounds (Homologs, Analogues, Isomers)	2154.01(a)	WIPO Published Applications
2145	Consideration of Applicant's Rebuttal Arguments	2154.01(b)	Determining When Subject Matter Was Effectively Filed Under AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(d)
2146	Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(c)	2154.01(c)	Requirement Of "Names Another Inventor"
2147	[Reserved]	2154.02	Prior Art Exceptions Under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)(2) to AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a)(2)
-2149		2154.02(a)	Prior Art Exception Under AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(b)(2)(A) to AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a)(2) (Inventor-Originated Disclosure Exception)
2150	Examination Guidelines for 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 as Amended by the First Inventor To File Provisions of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act	2154.02(b)	Prior Art Exception Under AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(b)(2)(B) to AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a)(2) (Inventor or Inventor-Originated Prior Public Disclosure Exception)
2151	Overview of the Changes to 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 in the AIA	2154.02(c)	Prior Art Exception Under AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(b)(2)(C) to AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a)(2) (Common
2152	Detailed Discussion of AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (b)		
2152.01	Effective Filing Date of the Claimed Invention		
2152.02	Prior Art Under AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a)(1) (Patented, Described in a Printed Publication, or in Public Use, on Sale, or Otherwise Available to the Public)		

	Ownership or Obligation of Assignment)	2162	Policy Underlying 35 U.S.C. 112(a) or Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, First Paragraph
2155	Use of Affidavits or Declarations Under 37 CFR 1.130 To Overcome Prior Art Rejections	2163	Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112(a) or Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, “Written Description” Requirement
2155.01	Showing That the Disclosure Was Made by the Inventor or a Joint Inventor	2163.01	Support for the Claimed Subject Matter in Disclosure
2155.02	Showing That the Subject Matter Disclosed Had Been Previously Publicly Disclosed by the Inventor or a Joint Inventor	2163.02	Standard for Determining Compliance With the Written Description Requirement
2155.03	Showing That the Disclosure was Made, or That Subject Matter had Been Previously Publicly Disclosed, by Another Who Obtained the Subject Matter Disclosed Directly or Indirectly From the Inventor or a Joint Inventor	2163.03	Typical Circumstances Where Adequate Written Description Issue Arises
2155.04	Enablement	2163.04	Burden on the Examiner with Regard to the Written Description Requirement
2155.05	Who May File an Affidavit or Declaration Under 37 CFR 1.130	2163.05	Changes to the Scope of Claims
2155.06	Situations in Which an Affidavit or Declaration Is Not Available	2163.06	Relationship of Written Description Requirement to New Matter
2156	Joint Research Agreements	2163.07	Amendments to Application Which Are Supported in the Original Description
2157	Improper Naming of Inventors	2163.07(a)	Inherent Function, Theory, or Advantage
2158	AIA 35 U.S.C. 103	2163.07(b)	Incorporation by Reference
2159	Applicability Date Provisions and Determining Whether an Application Is Subject to the First Inventor To File Provisions of the AIA	2164	The Enablement Requirement
2159.01	Applications Filed Before March 16, 2013	2164.01	Test of Enablement
2159.02	Applications Filed on or After March 16, 2013	2164.01(a)	Undue Experimentation Factors
2159.03	Applications Subject to the AIA but Also Containing a Claimed Invention Having an Effective Filing Date Before March 16, 2013	2164.01(b)	How to Make the Claimed Invention
2159.04	Applicant Statement in Transition Applications Containing a Claimed Invention Having an Effective Filing Date on or After March 16, 2013	2164.01(c)	How to Use the Claimed Invention
2160	[Reserved]	2164.02	Working Example
2161	Three Separate Requirements for Specification Under 35 U.S.C. 112(a) or Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, First Paragraph	2164.03	Relationship of Predictability of the Art and the Enablement Requirement
2161.01	Computer Programming, Computer Implemented Inventions, and 35 U.S.C. 112(a) or Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, First Paragraph	2164.04	Burden on the Examiner Under the Enablement Requirement
		2164.05	Determination of Enablement Based on Evidence as a Whole
		2164.05(a)	Specification Must Be Enabling as of the Filing Date
		2164.05(b)	Specification Must Be Enabling to Persons Skilled in the Art
		2164.06	Quantity of Experimentation
		2164.06(a)	Examples of Enablement
		2164.06(b)	Issues-Missing Information
			Examples of Enablement Issues — Chemical Cases

2164.06(c)	Examples of Enablement Issues – Computer Programming Cases	2173.05(k)	Aggregation
2164.07	Relationship of Enablement Requirement to Utility Requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101	2173.05(l)	[Reserved]
2164.08	Enablement Commensurate in Scope With the Claims	2173.05(m)	Prolix
2164.08(a)	Single Means Claim	2173.05(n)	Multiplicity
2164.08(b)	Inoperative Subject Matter	2173.05(o)	Double Inclusion
2164.08(c)	Critical Feature Not Claimed	2173.05(p)	Claim Directed to Product-By- Process or Product and Process
2165	The Best Mode Requirement	2173.05(q)	“Use” Claims
2165.01	Considerations Relevant to Best Mode	2173.05(r)	Omnibus Claim
2165.02	Best Mode Requirement Compared to Enablement Requirement	2173.05(s)	Reference to Figures or Tables
2165.03	Requirements for Rejection for Lack of Best Mode	2173.05(t)	Chemical Formula
2165.04	Examples of Evidence of Concealment	2173.05(u)	Trademarks or Trade Names in a Claim
2166	[Reserved]	2173.05(v)	Mere Function of Machine
-2170		2173.06	Practice Compact Prosecution
2171	Two Separate Requirements for Claims Under 35 U.S.C. 112 (b) or Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, Second Paragraph	2174	Relationship Between the Requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112(a) and (b) or Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, First and Second Paragraphs
2172	Subject Matter Which the Inventor or a Joint Inventor Regards as The Invention	2175	[Reserved]
2172.01	Unclaimed Essential Matter	-2180	
2173	Claims Must Particularly Point Out and Distinctly Claim the Invention	2181	Identifying and Interpreting a 35 U.S.C. 112(f) or Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, Sixth Paragraph Limitation
2173.01	Interpreting the Claims	2182	Search and Identification of the Prior Art
2173.02	Determining Whether Claim Language is Definite	2183	Making a Prima Facie Case of Equivalence
2173.03	Correspondence Between Specification and Claims	2184	Determining Whether an Applicant Has Met the Burden of Proving Nonequivalence After a Prima Facie Case Is Made
2173.04	Breadth Is Not Indefiniteness	2185	Related Issues Under 35 U.S.C. 112(a) or (b) and Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, First or Second Paragraphs
2173.05	Specific Topics Related to Issues Under 35 U.S.C. 112(b) or Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, Second Paragraph	2186	Relationship to the Doctrine of Equivalents
2173.05(a)	New Terminology	2187	[Reserved]
2173.05(b)	Relative Terminology	-2189	
2173.05(c)	Numerical Ranges and Amounts Limitations	2190	Prosecution Laches
2173.05(d)	Exemplary Claim Language (“for example,” “such as”)		
2173.05(e)	Lack of Antecedent Basis		
2173.05(f)	Reference to Limitations in Another Claim		
2173.05(g)	Functional Limitations		
2173.05(h)	Alternative Limitations		
2173.05(i)	Negative Limitations		
2173.05(j)	Old Combination		

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.