UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SIRIUS XM RADIO INC., Petitioner,

v.

FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FÖRDERUNG DER ANGEWANDTEN FORSCHUNG E.V., Patent Owner.

> Case No. IPR2018-00690 Patent No. 6,314,289

DECLARATION OF DAVID LYON IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S REPLY

DOCKET

IPR2018-00690 Declaration of David Lyon ISO Petitioner's Reply

Table of Contents

Page 1

I.		Challenged Claims are Obvious Over Chen With or Without panella
	A.	Chen Teaches the Claimed Partitioner
	B.	The Combination of Chen and Campanella Yields Two Signals Coded in a "Different Way"
	C.	Claims 7 and 24 are Obvious
	D.	The Challenged Claims are Unpatentable Over Chen Alone10
II.	the C	Claims of Campanella are Supported by the '591 Provisional and ited Subject Matter of Campanella Was Carried Over from the Provisional
	A.	Campanella's Claims are Supported by the '591 Provisional:12
	В.	The Cited Disclosures of Campanella Have Been Carried Over From the '591 Provisional15
		1. Element [k]15
III.	the C	Claims of Smallcomb are Supported by the '258 Provisional and ited Subject Matter of Smallcomb Was Carried Over from the Provisional
	A.	The '258 Provisional Supports the Claims of Smallcomb40
	B.	The Cited Disclosures of Smallcomb Have Been Carried Over From the '258 Provisional
		1. Elements [a] through [d]44

DOCKET

I, David Lyon, declare as follows:

1. I have been asked by Defendant Sirius XM Radio Inc. ("Sirius XM") to submit this Declaration in support of Petitioner, Sirius XM Radio Inc. ("Sirius XM"), to provide information and opinions, as set forth in this Declaration, to assist the Board in the determination of whether or not the Board should invalidate one or more claims of Fraunhofer-Gesellschft zur Förderung der angewandten Forschung e.V.'s ("Fraunhofer" or "Patent Owner") U.S. Patent No. 6,314,289 (Ex. 1001, the "289 Patent"). Specifically, counsel for Sirius XM asked me to reply to the assertions made by Fraunhofer's expert, Dr. Wayne Stark, and Fraunhofer's assertions that Campanella and Smallcomb are not prior art to the '289 Patent.

2. I have personal knowledge of the facts and opinions set forth in this Declaration, and, if called upon to do so, I am prepared to testify competently thereto.

I. The Challenged Claims are Obvious Over Chen With or Without Campanella

3. Fraunhofer's argument that Chen and Campanella do not render the challenged claims obvious relies on two fundamental mistakes: that (1) the claimed "partitioner" must partition the two portions of output bits "into two signals" when the claims recite no such requirement, and (2) a POSITA would not have

- 2 -

implemented Chen's convolutional coding technique in Campanella's system in order to achieve time and/or space diversity in spite of the fact that Chen explicitly states that "the invention can be implemented in communication system applications which utilize diversity in frequency, time, space, polarization or any other system parameter." Chen at 2:64–67. I address these arguments below.

A. Chen Teaches the Claimed Partitioner

4. I understand Fraunhofer's argument that Chen fails to teach the claimed partitioner to be based on its assertion that the partitioner must not only partition "the second number of output bits into the two portions of output bits" (as recited in each of the challenged claims) but that it also must also partition those bits "into two signals." POR at 28. Fraunhofer also argues that the partitioner must output the two portions of bits "onto two respective bit streams" and output those bit streams must be "output on two respective channels." *Id.* The challenged claims recite no such limitations.

5. Fraunhofer does not argue that Chen fails to teach the partitioner limitation as it is recited in the challenged claims. *See* POR at 25–28. As I previously stated, "Chen discloses "a partitioner" (i.e. the portion of Chen's convolutional encoder that "provides a mapping of code bits to sub carriers") for partitioning the second number of output bits" (i.e. the rate-2/5 code) "into two portions of output bits" (i.e. the bits mapped to the upper and lower sidebands,

- 3 -

respectively). See, e.g., Chen, 1:62-65; 6:18-23; 6:47-57; Lyon, ¶119.

6. However, even under Fraunhofer's asserted understanding of the partitioner limitation, Chen discloses the partitioner. Chen discloses that its modulator is responsible for "modulating the interleaved symbols onto one or more sub-carriers." Chen at 3:61–69. A POSITA would understand that in order to modulate these interleaved symbols onto separate sub-carriers as disclosed in Chen, the interleaved symbols must be partitioned into two separate bitstreams such that each bitstream can be separately modulated. This is further substantiated by the Chen's description of a preferred embodiment: "The invention provides a mapping of code bits to subcarriers which improves performance relative to conventional mappings.... For example, assume that the two complementary codes from the top line of TABLE 2 are the respective lower sideband and upper sideband half-bandwidth codes.... FIGS. 3A and 3B illustrate the above-described optimal bit assignment strategy for the lower sideband and upper sideband respectively." See Chen, 6:18-20; 6:23-26; 6:46-48. And contrary to Fraunhofer's argument, the interleaved bitstream is "partitioned into two signals" by separately modulating the interleaved bitstreams onto separate sub-carriers. I also note that Fraunhofer mistakenly alleges that Chen's interleaver "interleaves the upper and lower sideband codes with a carrier signal as part of a single bitstream." POR at 27.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.