UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SIRIUS XM RADIO INC.,

Petitioner

v. FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FORDERNUNG DER ANGEWANDTEN E.V.,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-00690 U.S. Patent No. 6,314,289 B1

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft Zur Forderung der Angewandten Forschung E.V. ("PO" or "Fraunhofer"), submits the following objections to evidence accompanying the Petition. These objections are timely under 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1) because they are being filed within ten (10) business days of service of the institution of the trial on August 19, 2019.

Fraunhofer reserves the right to present further objections to these or additional Exhibits submitted by Petitioner, as allowed by the applicable rules or other authority, including without limitation upon conclusion of any depositions taken of Petitioner's witnesses.

Evidence	F.R.E.	Objection(s)
Exhibit 1002	401, 402, 403	At least paragraphs 1-24, 26-30, 50-60, 62-82, 84, 85, 87, 99, 114, 118, 121, 124-126, 128, 133, 135-137, 139, 141, 143, 145-147, 149, 152, 154, 157, 162, 165, 169, 170, 172-178, 180, 183, 186, 189, 191, 192, 194, 195, 197, 199, 201, 203, 205-235, 241, 245, 247, 249, 270, 271, 276-280, 283, 288-290, 293, 294, 296, 298, 300-322, 327, 331, 332, and 334-336 which are cited nowhere in the Petition. Moreover, at least paragraphs 22-334 include conclusory statements and/or mischaracterizations of the patent and/or cited art. Accordingly, these are not relevant to any issue in the case and/or any probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
	701, 702, 703	At least paragraphs 22-334 include conclusory statements and/or mischaracterizations of the

[
		patent and/or cited art, which are not based on
		sufficient facts or data, are irrelevant, are not
		based on a reliable foundation, and/or constitute
		conclusory opinion without sufficient support.
		See also Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms, Inc.,
E 1 1 4 1002	401 402 402	509 U.S. 579 (1993).
Exhibit 1003	401, 402, 403	Not relevant to any issue in the case and/or any
		probative value is substantially outweighed by
		the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the
		issues, undue delay, and wasting time. The
		exhibit has not been shown to qualify as prior
E 1 1 4 1000	107	art against any challenged claim.
Exhibit 1008	106	The exhibit, excerpting from a book, is an
E 1.1.4 1010	401 402 402	incomplete part of a writing.
Exhibit 1010	401, 402, 403	Not relevant to any issue in the case and/or any
		probative value is substantially outweighed by
		the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the
		issues, undue delay, and wasting time. The
E-1:1:4 1011	401 402 402	exhibit is cited nowhere in the Petition.
Exhibit 1011	401, 402, 403	Not relevant to any issue in the case and/or any
		probative value is substantially outweighed by
		the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the
		issues, undue delay, and wasting time. The exhibit is cited nowhere in the Petition.
Exhibit 1012	401 402 402	Not relevant to any issue in the case and/or any
EXHIDIC 1012	401, 402, 403	
		probative value is substantially outweighed by
		the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
		issues, undue delay, and wasting time.
	106	The exhibit, excerpting from a book, is an
	100	incomplete part of a writing.
Exhibits	401, 402, 403	Not relevant to any issue in the case and/or any
1014-1016	101, 102, 103	probative value is substantially outweighed by
1014 1010		the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the
		issues, undue delay, and wasting time. Lack
		explanation or support demonstrating that the
		items serve to demonstrate the point of
		purported relevance.
L		1

Α

	901, 902	There is insufficient identifying or authenticating information supplied in conjunction with Exhibits 1014-1016 to prove the items are what the proponent claims them to be.
Exhibit 1017	401, 402, 403	Not relevant to any issue in the case and/or any probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time. Lacks explanation or support demonstrating that the item serves to demonstrate the point of purported relevance.
Exhibit 1018	401, 402, 403	Not relevant to any issue in the case and/or any probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay, and wasting time. The exhibit purports to set forth facts but constitutes unreliable, unsworn statements.
	801, 802, 803	Includes statements that are not sworn testimony or declaration made under penalty of perjury that are nevertheless offered for the truth of the matters asserted without any applicable hearsay exception.
	901, 902	There is insufficient identifying or authenticating information supplied in conjunction with Exhibit 1018 to prove the item is what the proponent claims it to be.

Dated: September 3, 2019

Respectfully submitted, By: <u>/Ben J. Yorks/</u>

Ben J. Yorks (Reg. No. 33,609) Babak Redjaian (Reg. No. 42,096) David McPhie (Reg. No. 56,412) IRELL & MANELLA LLP 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276 Tel.: (310) 277-1010 | Fax: (310) 203-7199 FraunhoferIPRs@irell.com Attorneys for Patent Owner

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.